HORMONE THERAPY REDUCES BONE RESORPTION BUT NOT BONE FORMATION IN POSTMENOPAUSAL ATHLETES
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Abstract
Introduction: Independently, hormone therapy and exercise have well-established protective effects on bone parameters. The combined effects of hormone therapy and exercise, however, are less clear. We, therefore, examined the effects of hormone therapy on bone turnover markers in postmenopausal women undergoing regular high intensity exercise.

Methods: In a randomised, double blind study, postmenopausal athletes competing at Masters level, received either hormone therapy (50 µg transdermal oestradiol, 5 mg MPA, n = 8) or placebo (n = 7) for 20 weeks. Women were tested before and after treatment for plasma concentrations of oestradiol, FSH, LH, and serum bone formation marker -osteocalcin (OC); and urine bone resorption markers-pyridinoline (PYD) and deoxypyridinoline (DPD).

Results: As a result of treatment with hormone therapy there were significant reductions in levels of FSH (73.3 ± 13.7 to 48.6 ± 10.5 mmol/L, \(p = 0.01\)) and bone resorption markers (PYD, 81.9 ± 7.7 to 57.8 ± 3.7 nmol/mmol Cr, \(p = 0.001\), and DPD, 18.5 ± 3.1 to 11.8 ± 2.1 nmol/mmol Cr, \(p = 0.01\)). Oestradiol and bone formation markers were not significantly altered as a result of hormone therapy. There were no changes to any variables with placebo treatment.

Conclusion: Hormone therapy reduced bone resorption, but not bone formation, in postmenopausal athletes. These favorable reductions in bone turnover; therefore, provide an effective treatment in combination with high intensity exercise to further reduce the subsequent risk of osteoporosis and associated fractures.
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Introduction
Menopause is associated with an increase in bone turnover markers [1–3], and an elevated risk of osteoporotic fractures [1, 3, 4]. Hormone therapy and exercise are 2 interventions commonly prescribed to ameliorate this risk.

Estrogen administration has been shown to slow bone loss in postmenopausal women [5–7], providing protection against the development of osteoporosis and the risk of fracture [8]. This reduction in bone loss is apparent in measures of biochemical markers of bone formation and resorption, with estrogen producing a net reduction in these markers [9, 10].

A number of meta-analysis studies have been conducted that assess the effects of phy-
physical activity on bone loss in pre- and post-menopausal women. High impact exercise increases bone mineral density (BMD) in premenopausal women [11, 12], whereas, 8 months of Astanga yoga program provide beneficial effect on bone formation but did not improve BMD in premenopausal women. One study [13] found that the overall treatment effect of endurance training regimes prevented or reversed almost 1% of bone loss per year in lumbar spine and femoral neck, whilst two other meta analyses [14, 15] found a beneficial effect of impact exercise on the spine in post-menopausal women. Despite these positive independent associations of exercise and hormone therapy on bone parameters, there are only limited number of studies that have examined their combined effects in a postmenopausal population. The potential benefits of physical activity in synergy with hormone therapy are inconsistent, and the results unclear [16, 17]. Prospective studies have reported improved bone mineral density (BMD) after initiating hormone therapy and exercise in previously sedentary postmenopausal women [16, 17]. However, it is difficult to ascertain in these studies what proportions of the beneficial effects are attributable to initiating exercise or hormone therapy. Although this area is not new, it illustrates the need for a well-controlled approach to this topic, which addresses a direct comparison of exercise with hormone therapy, whereby both interventions are carried out optimally.

Herein, we determined whether hormone therapy would provide further improvement to bone turnover when given to postmenopausal women currently undertaking regular high intensity weight bearing exercise.

Methods

Subjects

Postmenopausal women were recruited from 'Masters' athletic running associations. Sixteen women were measured at baseline and fifteen women completed the study. The criteria for inclusion were: 1–5 years postmenopause; aged between 45–60 years; competing at 'Masters' level in athletics, or vigorously running, jogging or cycling at least 4 times a week; a VO2 max of greater than 30 ml/min/kg which is considered very good to high cardio-respiratory fitness for age. The criteria for exclusion were: taking any form of hormone therapy; previous hysterectomy or oophorectomy; family history of malignancies; established cardiovascular disease; smoking; excessive alcohol use or taking any drug therapy that would affect bone mineral and mineral metabolism. All women were cleared for participation following a full physical examination by a clinician. Recruited women were randomly assigned into two groups using a double blind design. Eight women were assigned into the 'ill group', and commenced using transdermal oestradiol patches (Estraderm 50 µg twice/week, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, North Ryde, NSW, Australia) and oral medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA, 5 mg / day, Pharmacia Pharmaceuticals, Rydalmere, NSW, Australia). Seven women were assigned to the 'placebo' group and commenced using placebo patches and oral placebo tablets. One woman dropped out of the study due to skin irritation caused by the trans-dermal patch. All women gave informed consent to participate in this study, which was approved by the Human Ethics Committee, Victoria University (991017/CO484).

Protocol

All women underwent a number of measures at baseline (T1) and at twenty weeks (T2). These included: blood samples for measurement of oestradiol, luteinising hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), and the bone formation marker osteocalcin (OC), urine samples two hours post-first morning void for measurement of the bone resorption markers pyridinoline (PYD) and deoxypyridinoline (DPD); and aerobic capacity. Height, weight and body mass index (BMI) were also determined for all subjects. The above-mentioned biochemical markers of bone formation and resorption are of value in estimating bone turnover and have been used to reliably and consistently identify rapid bone losses [18]. Cross-sectional studies [3, 19] have shown that bone turnover rates, evaluated by these markers, increase at menopause and remain elevated, whilst bone turnover rates in postmenopausal women correlate negatively with BMD [20]. Participating subjects were requested to maintain their current training routine and diet throughout the study.
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Assays
Serum oestradiol was measured using a competitive chemiluminescent immunoassay (Gba-Coming, Medfield, MA, USA). FSH and LH were measured using a two-site chemiluminescent (sandwich) immunoassay (Gba-Coming, Medfield, MA, USA). Serum OC was measured by radioimmunoassay using the osteocalcin RIA kit (Incstar Corp., Stillwater, MN, USA). Total PYD and DPD cross-links of type I collagen were measured in hydrolyzed urine samples by high performance liquid chromatography, corrected for urine creatinine and expressed as nmol/mmol Cr [1].

Aerobic Capacity (VO2 max)
The laboratory where the trials were conducted was maintained at a constant temperature (20 ± 1°Q and humidity (44 ± 2%). A 12 lead ECG (Montara, X-scribe, Stress testing System, Milwaukee, USA) was used to monitor subjects' heart rhythm and rate throughout the duration of the test. Subjects exercised on a stationary bicycle ergometer (Cybex Metabolic System, Met 100, Huntsville, USA) at a constant cadence of 70 rpm. Increments in cycle resistance occurred, commencing at 35 watts and increasing by 10 watts every minute. These increments continued until the subject felt they could not continue due to exhaustion, or until the subject experienced discomfort, or the practitioner stopped the test for medical reasons. Each subject's oral gas expiration was measured via Vacumetric Vista Turbofit Software package (Version 3.2, Ametek, Pittsburgh, USA) to determine their respiratory exchange ratio (RER) and VO2 max. Both variables were subsequently charted throughout the duration of the exercise to ensure that their aerobic threshold was reached. Subjects were expected to have reached their VO2 max (a measure of maximal aerobic capacity) when at least two of the following criteria were achieved (a) a plateau of VO2 max readings, (b) exercising heart rate to within 10 beats of subjects' maximal heart rate, (c) an RER of greater than 1.10.

Statistical Analysis
The data were analysed using Sigma Stat. 2.0 Gandell, USA). The data are reported at baseline as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Changes to variables with treatment are reported as percent change from baseline. A Student's paired t-test was used to determine significance of changes with treatment. At-test was used to determine differences between treatment groups at baseline. Significance was reported as p < 0.05.

Results
There were no significant differences between the two groups at baseline for: age, BMI, VO2 max, serum oestradiol and gonadotrophin levels, OC and DPD (Table 1). Urine PYD was significantly higher in the HRT group compared at baseline with the placebo group (p = 0.03) (Table 1).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Placebo N = 7</th>
<th>Hormone Therapy N = 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>54.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>53.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg.m²</td>
<td>22.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol, mmol/L</td>
<td>76.1 ± 12.1</td>
<td>55.1 ± 26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH, mmol/L</td>
<td>70.8 ± 13.5</td>
<td>73.3 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH, mmol/L</td>
<td>38.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>40.9 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2 max, ml/kg/min</td>
<td>35.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>35.2 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin, U/L</td>
<td>32.5 ± 9.9</td>
<td>31.2 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYD, nmol/mmol Cr</td>
<td>64.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>81.9 ± 7.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD, nmol/mmol Cr</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>18.5 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM. BMI indicates body mass index; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; LH, luteinising hormone; VO2 max, maximal aerobic capacity; PYD, pyridinoline; DPD, deoxypyridinoline. * indicates a significant difference, p < 0.05, between groups.
After 20 weeks of treatment, there were no significant changes in any parameter in the placebo group. However, in the HRT group FSH levels significantly decreased (73.3 ± 13.7 to 48.6 ± 10.5 mmol/L, \(p = 0.01\), mean ± SEM) indicating that subjects were compliant with HRT. Medication compliance was verified by medication counts.

VO\(_2\) max was unchanged in both groups (HRT group 35.2 ± 2.0 to 37.0 ± 2.1 ml/kg/min, \(p = 0.27\); placebo group 35.6 ± 3.0 to 34.6 ± 3.6 ml/kg/min, \(p = 0.66\)) indicating that subjects maintained their existing exercise training regimen and fitness level throughout the duration of the trial. The bone formation marker, OC, remained unchanged with HRT administration (31.26 ± 10.2 to 32.3 ± 11.8, \(p = 0.53\)). However, there were significant reductions in both bone resorption markers after 20 weeks of HRT, with PYD decreasing by 27.3% (81.9 ± 7.3 to 57.8 ± 3.7, \(p = 0.001\)) and DPD decreasing by 33.0% (18.5 ± 3.1 to 11.8 ± 2.1, \(p = 0.01\)) from baseline (Figure 1).

![Figure 1 – Percentage change from baseline in resorption markers with placebo (white) and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) (black) treatment in postmenopausal athletes](image)

**Discussion**

The results of this study demonstrate that athletically trained postmenopausal women are able to significantly reduce bone resorption with the use of hormone therapy; indicating that a combined intervention exceeds the effects of exercise alone on bone.

Many older women are involved in endurance-based exercises such as running, jogging and cycling, and compete at 'Masters' level athletics. For these women skeletal integrity is an important issue that may affect their continued performance in their chosen event after menopause. An improvement in BMD is shown with endurance exercise [13]; however, this form of exercise is not as effective as resistance or weight training [21]. Animal studies have shown that maximal osteogenesis occurs with high-magnitude strains applied with few strain cycles, as performed with resistance training [22–25]. Moreover, in a postmenopausal osteoporosis rat model, exercise significantly increased bone mass by both inhibiting bone resorption and increasing bone formation in trabecular bones [26]. Therefore, to assist in the maintenance and/or improvement of skeletal integrity after menopause, hormone therapy is a viable option.
There have been a number of prospective studies assessing the effect of combined treatment on BMD in postmenopausal women yielded conflicting results [16, 17]. One study identified that weight bearing exercise and hormone therapy independently increased BMD at sites of lumbar spine and proximal femur, while a combined treatment provided an additive effect on BMD at lumbar spine and was synergistic for total body BMD [16]. Another study has shown that combined resistance training and hormone therapy increased BMD at sites of radial mid-shaft, spine and total body, while hormone therapy alone was associated with no change, or bone maintenance, after one year [17]. The effect of exercise alone was not measured in this study making it difficult to assess whether BMD accretion was due to the combination of treatments or due to the effect of exercise alone. In contrast to these studies, another prospective study found moderate exercise alone, including weight lifting, provided no changes in BMD, whilst the combination of exercise and hormone therapy provided no greater benefit in comparison to hormone therapy alone [27]. All of these studies introduced exercise in previously sedentary women, making it difficult to determine whether any changes in bone status were due to the initiation of exercise or the commencement of hormone therapy. None of the studies addressed endurance based exercise regimes. One recent cross-sectional study investigating 'Masters' trained postmenopausal athletes found that the women replacing estrogen had greater BMD of the hip, spine and total body compared with athletes not replacing estrogen [28]. However, years post-menopause were significantly greater in the women not replacing estrogen, possibly affecting BMD values and limiting the conclusions from this study.

The present study investigated women currently involved in endurance-based weight bearing exercises and provided a direct comparison of interventions using a well-controlled approach. This study assessed the impact of hormonal therapy treatment for 20 weeks on bone turnover markers that, to the best of our knowledge, have not been addressed in this population of postmenopausal athletes before.

Interestingly in this population of postmenopausal athletes, hormone therapy for 20 weeks provided added benefit to bone status by significantly decreasing bone resorption but not bone formation, suggesting that these interventions may be highly effective when used in combination to further reduce the risk of bone loss and osteoporotic fracture. Larger controlled prospective studies of longer duration are required to assess effects of hormonal therapy and endurance-based weight bearing exercise on bone density.
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мале или хормонска терапија (50 μg трансдермален естрадиол, 5 mg МПА, n = 8) или плацебо (n = 7). Жените беа тестираны пред и по третманот за плазма концентрациите на естрадиол, FSH, LH и серумскиот маркер за формирање на коска – остеокалцин (OC); и уринскиот маркер за коскена ресорпција – пиридинолин (PYD) и декокси пиридинолин (DPD).

Резултати: Како резултат на третманот со хормонската терапија имаше значително намалување на нивото на FSH (73,3 ± 13,7 до 48,6 ± 10,5 mmol / L, p = 0,01) и маркерите за коскена ресорпција (PYD, 81,9 ± 7,7 до 57,8 ± 3,7 nmol / mmol Cr, p = 0,001, и DPD, 18,5 ± 3,1 до 11,8 ± 2,1 nmol / mmol Cr, p = 0,01). Естрадиолот и маркерите за формирање на коските не беа значително променети како резултат на хормонската терапија. Немаше промени ква кои биле варијабли со плацебо третманот.

Заклучок: Хормонската терапија ја намали коскена ресорпција, но не и формирањето на коските, кај атлетите во постменопауза. Овие поволни намалувања на коскениот метаболизам обезбедуваат ефективен третман во комбинација со висок интензитет на вежбање за натампонување на последователниот ризик од остеопороза и поврзани фрактури.

Ключни зборови: вежба, менопауза, коскен метаболизам, хормонска заменска терапија, естрадиол