Regional brain responses in humans during body heating and cooling
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ABSTRACT
Functional brain imaging of responses to thermal challenge in humans provides a viable method to implicate widespread neuroanatomical regions in the processes of thermoregulation. Thus far, functional neuroimaging techniques have been used infrequently in humans to investigate thermoregulation, although preliminary outcomes have been informative and certainly encourage further forays into this field of enquiry. At this juncture, sustained regional brain activations in response to prolonged changes in body temperature are yet to be definitively characterized, but it would appear that thermoregulatory regions are widely distributed throughout the hemispheres of the human brain. Of those autonomic responses to thermal challenge investigated so far, the loci of associated brainstem responses in human are homologous with other species. However, human imaging studies have also implicated a wide range of forebrain regions in thermal sensations and autonomic responses that extend beyond outcomes reported in other species. There is considerable impetus to continue human functional neuroimaging of thermoregulatory responses because of the unique opportunities presented by the method to survey regions across the whole brain in compliant, conscious participants.
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Introduction
Maintenance of body temperature in humans is dependent on sensory, autonomic and behavioral responses. The central nervous system is likely to play a key role in the monitoring of temperature-related signals and the coordination of thermoregulatory responses. Contemporary functional brain imaging techniques provide opportunities to investigate these processes in humans, and there is a growing body of literature that implicates regional brain responses in thermoregulation. This review summarizes the neuroimaging of temperature challenges in order to characterize the regional representation of thermoregulatory-related processes in the human brain.

Neuroimaging approaches to the investigation of thermoregulation in humans
Investigating thermoregulation using functional brain imaging presents unique opportunities in humans, but is also constrained by technical aspects of the imaging methods. The range of neuroimaging studies of thermoregulation reflects this interaction between heuristic objectives and what is feasible, and consequently it is worthwhile devoting some attention to how these factors impact on the research agenda.

The capacity of functional brain imaging techniques to collect information concurrently from all brain regions in conscious humans is a major strength of the method. Albeit at macroscopic levels of spatial resolution, functional images can be used to survey responses across the brain hemispheres at a sampling rate sufficient for the investigation of a multiplicity of motor, sensory and autonomic processes. However, there are constraints on the level of experimental control that can be applied in human experimentation, and functional brain imaging of humans generally provides associative evidence at best. Balanced against procedural constraints and their impact on interpretation of outcomes, is the merit of functional measurements made from the fully integrated nervous system that strengthens the ecological validity of the approach.

Another advantage of human neuroimaging studies is the possibility of collecting complementary information...
about subjective experience, such as ratings of sensations, which remain latent in animal experiments. These measures can be used to implicate regional brain responses in the coding of sensations with relevance for thermoregulation. The subtleties of language make it possible to examine responses associated with differing discriminative qualities of sensory experience (i.e., coolness, warmth), as well as the investigation of the hedonic component of temperature sensation (i.e., thermal comfort/discomfort). These opportunities have been exploited to examine responses to thermal stimuli using functional brain imaging, but the motivation for many studies has been to understand responses to nociceptive levels of stimulation. Frequently, responses to innocuous warming or cooling in neuroimaging studies constitute points of contrast to painful experiences rather than effects of primary interest. Furthermore, many of the studies have limited ecological validity for thermoregulation because they involve very small stimulation areas (i.e. 5 to 10 cm²) of brief duration (i.e. 30 sec).

Temporal factors influence the design of thermoregulatory paradigms used during the acquisition of functional brain images. Implicating regional brain responses in functional processes is dependent on interpretable contrasts of signals measured during different states or events, and these contingencies can occur over a wide time range in the context of thermoregulation. For instance, an isolated sweating event can occur over the course of several seconds. Mapping sweating-related activation in the brain involves measures of regional signal levels during multiple, individual sweating events that are contrasted with signal levels measured during intervening time points when sweating events are not occurring. This relatively dynamic strategy for the identification of sweating activation is in distinction to the timing of experiments that investigate state-dependent changes in body temperature. Questions about thermoregulation that require contrasts between states such as thermoneutrality, hypothermia and hyperthermia entail the acquisition of functional brain images over tens of minutes to hours in order to gather the data needed for planned comparisons. Most functional brain imaging techniques cannot encompass this temporal range spanning seconds to hours, and consequently the nature of the questions about thermoregulation dictate the type of imaging technique that is used.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) using blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast is the most frequently reported functional brain imaging technique reported in the neuroimaging literature. Sequential BOLD images of the whole brain acquired every few seconds at resolutions approximating 40 mm³ are achievable at standard field strengths (i.e. 3 T). The latest ultra-high field scanners (i.e., 7 T) are capable of providing functional information at resolutions less than 1 mm³, although this technology has yet to be applied to the investigation of thermoregulatory responses. A time series of sequential images acquired over several minutes can be sufficient to identify regional brain activation in a single participant. However, BOLD signals are of arbitrary intensity and prone to low frequency baseline drifts that make the images incompatible with experimental paradigms involving contrasts over long periods. Ideally, contrasts of experimental conditions need to occur in the range of a few seconds to 2 minutes to identify activation using BOLD images. Consequently, standard fMRI is ideal for experiments involving frequent, brief events like sweating, but has serious limitations if applied to comparisons between slowly evolving conditions such as a contrast between thermoneutrality and a hypo or hyperthermia state.

Investigation of regional brain activation associated with contrasts of slowly evolving states is feasible using quantifiable estimates of regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) or levels of metabolism. Positron emission tomography (PET) using labeled water (H₂O¹⁵) can be used to estimate rCBF at spatial resolutions of approximately 70 mm³. These estimates can be acquired about once every 8 minutes, and averaging of images from multiple participants is usually required to reliably identify regional brain responses. Alternatively, the uptake of glucose labeled with ¹⁸F (fluoro-deoxyglucose, FDG) measured with PET provides an index of regional metabolic activity in the brain at a similar spatial resolution to rCBF estimates, but at a much slower temporal resolution. The half-life of the compound limits acquisition to a single image from a participant in a scanning session, necessitating samples with multiple participants to show regional brain activation with FDG. Both rCBF and FDG measurements with PET are suitable for experiments examining effects associated with slow changes in body temperature.

Estimates of rCBF can also be obtained using perfusion-fMRI. Arterial spin labeling (ASL) images use radio frequency pulses to magnetically label (tag)
water molecules moving in the carotid and vertebral arteries.16 Perfusion levels can be calculated according to the difference in values between paired images of the brain acquired with, and without tagging. Additional parameters are used in combination with the perfusion images to calculate rCBF levels at a temporal resolution of approximately 6 to 8 seconds. Unlike BOLD images, these estimates of rCBF are stable over long periods, which means the technique is suitable for experiments involving slowly changing states.17 The relatively high sampling rate of ASL makes it possible to show activation in a single participant, but large samples are usually needed to show reliable activation because the method has a low level of sensitivity.18

**Regional brain responses associated with sustained changes of body temperature**

Regional responses in the brain hemispheres to temperature challenge are likely to involve multiple independent and related processes. Sensations arising from body warming or cooling would be expected to have representations in primary and associative cortices that process afferent inputs. Hedonic aspects of temperature sensation are likely to be associated with activity in limbic brain regions. The insula has been implicated in monitoring interoceptive signals and shaping autonomic outputs, and could be involved in responses to temperature changes. Cognitive and behavioral processes precipitated by temperature challenge are likely to have prefrontal correlates that influence responses to cooling or warming. The implication of this speculation is that regional activation associated with thermoregulation would be widely distributed throughout the brain. This proposition has been tested with measures of rCBF and levels of metabolism (FDG) in the brain during thermoneutral, hypothemic and hyperthermic states.

Regional brain responses associated with sustained perturbations of body temperature have been measured with PET and perfusion-fMRI.14,19-21 The objective of these experiments has been to identify brain regions that show significant increases or decreases in activity during whole-body cooling or warming in contrast to a thermoneutral state. The functional roles of brain regions identified by these simple contrasts are by no means definitive, but this approach does indicate those regions that are likely to be involved in temperature monitoring and related processes, and have the potential to reveal a putative thermoregulatory brain network. At this juncture, a clear pattern of response has not emerged among the studies reporting regional responses to temperature challenge.

Two studies involving PET FDG measurement have investigated brain responses associated with whole-body temperature stimuli.19,20 Both studies reported regional responses during hyperthermia and variously described increased metabolism in the cerebellum and bulbar regions. The loci of the brainstem activations are of special interest because bulbar regions have received detailed attention in animal studies, and consequently provide opportunities to compare responses across species. Warming was associated with ventral midbrain activation in one of the human studies,20 at a location approximating the ventral tegmental area. Viral tract tracing and pharmacological studies in animals have indicated that the ventral tegmental area may be involved in responses to hypothermia,22-24 and consequently the reported activity during warming in humans is anomalous. An extensive cluster throughout the rostral and caudal medulla was reported as conjointly activated during both warming and cooling in the second PET FDG study. Accurate localization is necessarily limited in this circumstance, although the dorsal and lateral extent of the rostral component of the cluster was likely to incorporate medullary regions implicated by animal studies in vasomotor responses to cooling25 and thermoregulatory sweating.26-28

Activations in the hypothalamus and posterior cingulate cortex were also reported by one of the PET FDG studies,20 that notably involved a significant increase in core temperature that was not a feature of the alternative study. Another consistency across the studies was a hyperthermia-related deactivation in the insula cortex. Regional activation during body heating has also been assessed using PET and perfusion-fMRI measurement of rCBF.14,21 These studies reported more extensive hemispheric activations that included prefrontal regions (orbitofrontal cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, inferior frontal gyrus), temporal regions, the posterior parietal cortex, and the anterior and posterior cingulate cortices. However, inconsistencies were also apparent with one study reporting activations in the somatosensory cortex and insula,14
while the other study reported deactivations for these regions.21

Empirical studies of regional brain responses to whole-body cooling have appeared less frequently than studies involving heat stimuli.14,19 The outcomes of the cooling studies are very similar to the regional responses reported for body warming. Measures of PET FDG showed cooling-related activation in the cerebellum and brainstem, and deactivations of the insula and anterior cingulate cortex.19 Extensive hemispheric regions were activated during body cooling in a PET rCBF study that included responses in the prefrontal, temporal and parietal cortices.14 In contradistinction to the study that measured FDG, the measures of rCBF showed activations in the insula and anterior cingulate cortices.

Further replication of studies involving whole-body temperature change will be required before a consensus can be reached on which brain regions are implicated in thermoregulation. However, while outcomes have varied between imaging methods, the distributions of regional responses appear similar for warming and cooling. This is not unexpected, given the spatial resolution of images used to show activation. Individual neurons could feasibly have unitary responses relative to the valence of temperature changes, and it is likely that brain regions involved in thermoregulation would include assemblies of proximate neurones with “cooling” or “warming” related functions. Consequently, a volume of tissue of the voxel dimensions typically measured with PET or perfusion-fMRI could feasibly show both cooling and warming-related activation because constituent neurone populations have heterogeneous thermoregulatory functions. Interestingly, signals from the hypothalamus provide an exception to this general observation. In a single study involving both warming and cooling stimuli, the hypothalamus activated during temperature increases, and deactivated during temperature decreases.14 This outcome is unexpected, given that animal studies consistently report both cooling and warming-related activation, as indexed by c-fos levels, in hypothalamic nuclei.29-32

There are inconsistencies in the literature that are difficult to reconcile. For instance the anterior cingulate cortex has been identified as an activated region by PET rCBF measures,14,21 but has been reported as deactivated during cooling when measured with PET FDG.19 This region has been implicated in somatosensory processing and autonomic responses,5,33 and consequently the report of deactivation in this region is unexpected. Differences between studies in the perceptual and emotional responses to temperature change could provide an explanation for discrepant outcomes. However, analyses of the PET FDG data using sensory parameters were not reported, and consequently this proposition was not tested. Alternatively, the discrepancy between studies could reflect an interaction between the time frames over which the respective imaging methods operate and diminishing levels of activation in the cingulate cortex during sustained stimulation.34 The same explanation would not apply to the reports of deactivation in the insula that were evident during both warming and cooling, and when measured with both PET FDG and perfusion-MRI.19-21 While one study did report insula activation, the more frequent reports of decreased metabolism and rCBF in this region are not expected, given the demonstration of thermosensory functions in the insula in experiments involving small areas of cutaneous stimulation.1

The variability of outcomes across studies makes it difficult to provide defensible summary statements about a putative network of thermoregulatory activation in the human brain. Nevertheless, despite inconsistencies in the direction of signal change (activation, deactivation), the list of brain regions associated with major changes in body temperature conforms to the expectation of a widely distributed network. Furthermore, the locations of these brain regions are also consistent with functional processes that could potentially relate to thermoregulation including processing of thermoafferent inputs (somatosensory, insula, cingulate cortex), autonomic control (insula, cingulate cortex) and planning for behavioral responses (premotor cortex, prefrontal cortex) (Fig. 1).

**Functional neuroanatomy of temperature sensation**

Afferent inputs are critical for thermoregulation. Monitoring of tissue temperature contributes to the control of autonomic effectors of thermoregulatory control, and the sensory experience of temperature change can influence behaviors that impact on energy exchange between body and environment. The investigation of thermoafferent processes in humans has
focused on two components of temperature sensation: discrimination and hedonics (comfort/discomfort).

**Discriminative dimensions of temperature sensation**

Spatially discrete thermal stimuli have been used to identify regional brain responses associated with the sensory experience of temperature change. In most of these reports the small surface area and brief duration of stimuli were not substantive challenges to thermoregulatory stasis, but did evoke discrete temperature sensations. Importantly, investigators have adjusted stimulus temperatures within the innocuous range and manipulated the sites of stimulation to identify brain regions that code stimulus attributes and/or the intensity of perceptual experiences.

The network of brain regions implicated in sensory coding of temperature-related inputs is generally consistent across studies. These regions include the primary and secondary somatosensory cortices, insula, anterior cingulate cortex, and prefrontal cortices. The temperature sensation network is very similar to the regions that activate during the experience of pain, and this common hemispheric anatomy may be a reflection of the shared spinothalamic pathway that conveys both temperature and nociceptive-related inputs from the periphery.

The functional roles of distributed brain regions in the discriminative aspects of temperature sensation are the subject of debate. Data from some studies is consistent with a primary role of the insula in the intensity coding of thermal stimuli. Furthermore, the insula shows topographic responses that are consistent with a somatotopic representation of skin temperature changes. However, other investigators have provided qualitatively similar outcomes for responses measured in the somatosensory cortices. Interestingly, the inculpation of either the insula or the somatosensory cortices as the primary temperature-coding cortex appears to be dependent on the valence of temperature change, in that the insula shows graduated responses to increments of skin cooling, whereas the intensity of warming stimuli are reflected in the size of signal changes in the somatosensory cortices. Further experiments will be required to establish the veracity of this possible functional division. In particular, the collection of functional brain images from a single cohort during the application of both cooling and warming stimuli would be a sensible addition to the literature. An early example of this approach has been reported using images with a circumscribed field of view, but must be replicated to provide information about all the salient brain regions.

**Hedonic dimensions of temperature sensation**

Thermal stimuli in the non-noxious range can evoke positive or negative affective responses. The experience of temperature change as unpleasant or pleasant is dependent on the prevailing homeostatic
state.39 For instance, a cold stimulus applied to the skin when the core temperature is elevated is likely to be described as pleasant, whereas the same stimulus would be rated as unpleasant under hypothermic conditions.40 Arguably, it is this attribute of temperature sensation that could influence the likelihood of behaviors that impact on thermoregulation, such as seeking shelter or clothing choices. Importantly, for the purposes of investigating regional brain responses, the hedonic dimensions of temperature sensation (pleasant, unpleasant) can vary independently of the discriminative attributes of the experience (warm, cool, mild intensity, moderate intensity, etc).39-42 This independent variance makes it possible to search for brain regions where signal changes most accurately match changes in one particular aspect of the multifaceted sensory experience, thus potentially distinguishing between brain regions that code hedonic versus discriminative aspects of temperature sensation.

The earliest functional brain imaging studies of the hedonic dimension of thermal sensation involved cooling or warming of extensive areas of the body surface to evoke prolonged or intermittent experiences of discomfort or comfort.4,43 However, analyses of these studies did not include independent modeling of discriminative aspects of the sensation, and consequently it is unclear to what extent the reported activations were driven by affective processes. Other studies have reported regional brain activations associated with non-painful skin temperature change that partitioned signal variance associated with hedonic ratings from variance associated with discriminative attributes of the stimuli or sensations.3,44,45 All 3 of these studies identified the mid cingulate cortex as a brain region with signal changes predicted by the concurrent level of thermal comfort or discomfort. In addition, one study identified orbitofrontal activations related to hedonic responses, and these activations showed either a medial or lateral localization depending on whether the affective response was positive or negative.45 Collectively, these outcomes are consistent with the important roles posited for the cingulate and orbitofrontal regions in the processing of affective responses and reward contingencies more generally. While yet to be demonstrated definitively, the hedonic dimension of temperature sensation is likely to influence responses that impact on thermoregulation, and prospective contributions from the cingulate and orbitofrontal cortices to motivated behavior is certainly compatible with prevailing knowledge about these brain regions.

Regional brain responses associated with thermoregulatory effectors

Autonomic functions such as vasomotor and sudomotor responses influence body temperature. Animal studies have focused on the hypothalamic and bulbar regions involved in the control of thermoregulatory autonomic responses,46 although it is likely that other hemispheric brain regions are also involved. Human functional brain imaging studies have shown thermoregulatory responses in the hindbrain homologous with other species, and more recently have provided novel insights into the role of hemispheric regions in these processes.

The investigation of bulbar regions involved in thermoregulation in humans presents technical challenges because BOLD images can be adversely influenced by pulsatile movements of the brainstem, and are also subject to geometric distortions related to inhomogeneity of the magnetic field caused by the proximate air filled sinuses.47,48 Additionally, the small sizes of brainstem nuclei approach the limits of spatial resolution of BOLD images. However, optimisation of image acquisitions and additional analytic processes make it feasible to show activation in the brainstem, but usually these approaches do not permit the simultaneous recording of data from both the forebrain and hindbrain. These methods have been applied to the investigation of vasomotor and sudomotor responses during thermal challenge to provide data that supports homology of brainstem nuclei across species. For instance, prolonged cooling of the body leads to graduated BOLD signal increases in the midline, dorsal, rostral medulla consistent with the location of the raphe pallidus nucleus implicated in vasomotor thermoregulatory responses in other animals including the rat and rabbit.49 More recently, human brainstem functional imaging has provided evidence that symmetrical regions of the rostral lateral medulla and the rostral lateral midbrain are involved in the control of sweating (Fig. 2).50 Experiments in cats have previously implicated these regions in sweating control,26-28 although the human brainstem imaging experiment was also able to show that the midbrain and medullary regions were activated during
sweating irrespective of whether the impetus was a thermoregulatory or mental challenge.50

Hemispheric regional activity during sweating events has been investigated using functional brain imaging in humans. A series of studies have reported a distributed network of hemispheric regions with sweating-related signal increases that includes the anterior cingulate cortex, prefrontal cortex, premotor cortex, posterior parietal cortex, insula, and thalamus.7-10 However, all of these studies used mental stress to evoke sweating responses. More recently, a study involving both mental stress and whole body heating was able to directly contrast hemispheric regions activating during sweating events precipitated by the respective challenges.51 This study revealed that many of the regions activated during sweating evoked by mental stress were also activated during sweating events elicited by whole body heating (Fig. 3). However, a region of the mid cingulate cortex was preferentially activated during psychogenic, but not by thermogenic sweating. A body of research has implicated the mid cingulate cortex as a critical region in the control of stress-related autonomic responses.52 The absence of activation in this region during thermogenic sweating could indicate a reduced role of stress and arousal in the context of autonomic outputs contributing to thermoregulatory responses to heating.

Another notable difference between thermogenic and psychogenic sweating in humans is the appearance of activation in the preoptic area during the former and not the later type of challenge.51 The preoptic area has been implicated by animal experiments as a central control region for thermoregulatory responses, and so it is not surprising that this hypothalamic structure shows activation during thermal challenge in humans. However, the utility of human functional brain imaging to rapidly survey regional activity throughout the brain has provided information about the functional connections of the preoptic area that goes beyond outcomes previously reported in animal research.53 BOLD signals extracted from a region inferior to the anterior commissure that included voxels showing thermogenic sweating activation were used to perform analyses that identified brain regions with signal changes that correlated with the preoptic seed. This analysis revealed widespread brain regions showing levels of functional connectivity with the preoptic area that increased when the body was heated compared to a thermoneutral state, clearly implicating this small hypothalamic region as a key component in a network of neocortical regions that likely contribute to a multiplicity of processes related to thermoregulation.

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) contributes to thermoregulatory responses to cold challenge through thermogenesis, and also plays a role in energy homeostasis. It is only relatively recently that the presence of functional BAT was first demonstrated in adult humans. PET FDG images acquired of the body to screen for cancer provided the first indications of BAT in humans,54 and subsequent studies have examined metabolic responses of BAT to cold challenge in healthy people.55,56 More recently still, PET FDG images have been used to examine metabolic changes in brain regions associated with BAT activation.57,58

Figure 2. Regions of the brainstem have been implicated in the control of thermoregulatory responses in humans. A. The yellow lines indicate the level of axial slices displayed in the remaining panels of the figure. B. An axial slice 5 mm below the anterior commissure (z = −5) has been rendered with activations associated with sweating events in response to a thermal challenge. The yellow arrows point to symmetrical dorsal midbrain regions that show increases in BOLD signal intensity when sweating events occur. C. Sweating activation also occurs in the rostral medulla (z = −49), in symmetrical lateral regions indicated by the yellow arrows. (Data used to create this figure has been presented elsewhere using different analyses and renderings.50)
However, these 2 studies involved naturalistic comparisons of participants differentiated by the presence or absence of BAT activation at mildly cool temperatures, which may have militated against the demonstration of robust effects. Indeed, there was a virtual absence of corresponding loci of regional brain responses across the studies, with the exception of the inferior parietal lobule that was activated in one instance and deactivated in the other. It appears that additional studies will be required to characterize the hemispheric brain regions in humans that co-activate with BAT.

Disorders of thermoregulation: Regional brain responses associated with hot flashes

Hot flashes are experienced by up to 70% of postmenopausal women and are associated with substantial negative impact on mood, sleep and quality of life. The mechanisms contributing to hot flashes remain latent, but there is understandable interest in the role played by the central nervous system in the generation and representation of this symptom. Implicating regional brain signal changes in hot flashes is technically challenging because the events can occur unpredictably, which makes timely image acquisition difficult. Despite these challenges, there have been 2 key publications that report hemodynamic responses measured with BOLD images from brain regions that become active immediately prior to a flash and those regions activating during the vasomotor event itself. Midbrain regions including the substantia nigra and red nuclei are symmetrically activated approximately 10 seconds prior to the onset of predefined increases in skin conductance levels in groups of symptomatic postmenopausal women. The timing of these midbrain responses is compatible with an

Figure 3. Widely distributed brain regions have been implicated in thermoregulation in humans. The panels in this figure include brain activations associated with heating-related sweating as an exemplar of the regions that most consistently show responses during thermal challenges. A. The dotted yellow lines indicate the positions of slices that appear in the remaining panels. Distances in millimeters lateral to the midline of sagittal slices are indicated as 'x' values. The axial slice is 12 mm superior of the anterior commissure (z = 12). B. The cingulate cortex frequently activates in studies involving thermal challenge. These activations have been recorded in the posterior cingulate cortex (1) and the anterior cingulate cortex (2). C. The insula cortex (3) has been ascribed a role in temperature sensation and also activates in association with thermoregulatory sudomotor responses. D. The prefrontal cortex (4) is another region that activates during thermal stimulation. (Data used to create this figure has been presented elsewhere using different analyses and renderings).
active role of the regions in the generation of flashes, and differed notably from cingulate, insula and prefrontal regions that activated during the events.\textsuperscript{60,61} It is notable that electrical and pharmacological stimulations of the substantia nigra and adjacent midbrain regions in animals are associated with heat loss-related responses (i.e. sweating, BAT inhibition).\textsuperscript{62,63} The timings of later hot flush-related activations are likely to represent sensorimotor responses to sudomotor events, and their loci resonate with the reports of regional brain responses to thermal stimuli discussed in earlier sections.

**Conclusion**

Functional brain imaging of thermoregulatory responses in humans has revealed 2 main findings. Firstly, bulbar regions implicated in the control of autonomic functions subserving thermoregulation appear to be homologous across species. Discrete bulbar nuclei implicated in both vasomotor and sudomotor responses in humans are localized to regions that correspond with data collected in other animals using alternative imaging techniques. This preservation of functional neuroanatomy lends support to inferences about the likely implications of animal studies for the understanding of human physiology. The second main contribution of human studies is the demonstration of a network of hemispheric regions implicated in thermoregulatory processes. Brain regions including limbic cortex, insula, somatosensory cortex, premotor regions and prefrontal cortex activate in association with thermal challenge. These hemispheric responses in humans add to the body of knowledge contributed by animal studies, which have had a tighter focus on hypothalamic and brainstem regions. The distributed nature of human hemispheric responses is consistent with multiple related functions contributing to thermoregulation. The challenge for future human brain imaging studies is to more accurately dissect the functional neuroanatomy of thermoregulation in hemispheric regions.

**Abbreviations**

ASL Arterial Spin Labeling  
BAT Brown Adipose Tissue  
BOLD Blood Oxygen Level-Dependent  
FDG Fluorodeoxyglucose  
fMRI functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging  
PET Positron Emission Tomography  
rCBF regional Cerebral Blood Flow
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