Dynamic Synaptotagmin-1-SNARE Complex Binding Mode in Solution

Kyle D. Brewer[1-3], Taulant Bacaj[4,5], Andrea Cavalli[6,7], Carlo Camilloni[7], James D. Swarbrick[8], Jin Liu[1-3,9], Amy Zhou[1-3], Peng Zhou[4,5], Nicholas Barlow[8], Junjie Xu[1-3], Alpay B. Seven[1-3], Eric A. Prinslow[1-3], Rashmi Voleti[1-3], Daniel Häussinger[10], Alexandre M.J.J. Bonvin[11], Diana R. Tomchick[1,2], Michele Vendruscolo[7], Bim Graham[8], Thomas C. Südhof[4,5], Josep Rizo[1-3,*]

Departments of [1]Biophysics, [2]Biochemistry and [3]Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 6000 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75390; [4]Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology and [5]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University Medical School, 265 Campus Drive, Stanford, California 94305; [6]Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Via Vicenzo Vela 6, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland; [7]Department of Chemistry, University of Chemistry, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK; [8]Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia; [9]Department of Chemistry and Center for Drug Discovery and Design, Southern Methodist University, 3215 Daniel Avenue, Dallas, TX 75275; [10]Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, 4056, Basel, Switzerland; [11]Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

[*]Correspondence: jose@arnie.swmed.edu

SUMMARY

Rapid neurotransmitter release depends on the Ca^{2+}-sensor Synaptotagmin-1 and the SNARE complex formed by synaptobrevin, syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25. How Synaptotagmin-1 triggers release remains unclear, in part because elucidating high-resolution structures of Synaptotagmin-1-SNARE complexes has been challenging. An NMR approach based on lanthanide-induced pseudocontact shifts now reveals a dynamic binding mode where basic residues in the concave side of the Synaptotagmin-1 C_2B domain β-sandwich interact with a polyacidic region of the SNARE complex formed by syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25. The physiological relevance of this dynamic structural model is supported by mutations in basic residues of Synaptotagmin-1 that markedly impair SNARE-complex binding in vitro and Synaptotagmin-1 function in neurons. Mutations with milder effects on binding have correspondingly milder effects on Synaptotagmin-1 function. Our results support a model whereby their dynamic interaction facilitates cooperation between synaptotagmin-1 and the SNAREs in inducing membrane fusion.

Neurotransmitter release is governed by a sophisticated protein machinery[1,2]. Central components of this machinery are the SNAREs synaptobrevin, syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25, which form a tight four-helix bundle[3,4] that brings the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes together and is key for membrane fusion[5] (Fig. S1a). Ca^{2+}-triggering of fast release is executed by synaptotagmin-1 (Syt1)[6] via its two C_2 domains. The C_2A and C_2B domains bind multiple Ca^{2+} ions through loops at the top of β-sandwich structures[7-9], and Ca^{2+}-dependent membrane binding through these loops is key for Syt1 function[6]. Ca^{2+}-binding to the C_2B domain appears to play a preponderant role in release[10], which may arise from the ability of C_2B to bind simultaneously to two membranes[11,12]. The function of Syt1 in release also depends on interactions with the SNAREs[13] and is tightly coupled to complexins[14-16], small soluble proteins with active and inhibitory roles in release[17-19]. Complexins bind to the SNARE complex through a central α-helix and contains an additional accessory α-helix[20] (Fig. S1a) that inhibits release[19,21], likely because of repulsion with the membranes[22].

These and other advances led to reconstitution of synaptic vesicle fusion with eight central components of the release machinery[23], but fundamental questions remain about the mechanism of release. This uncertainty arises in part from the lack of high-resolution structures of Syt1-SNARE complexes. Thus, it is unclear which of the diverse Syt1-SNARE interactions reported[24] are physiologically relevant. Syt1 interacts with isolated syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25[25-28], but it is unknown whether SNARE complex binding involves these interactions, and distinct regions of SNAP-25 were implicated in such binding[29,30]. Some studies reported that SNARE complex binding involves a polybasic region on the side of C_2B[30-32] (Fig. 1a), but other studies implicated the bottom of C_2B[33] or other weaker binding sites of Syt1 that contribute to aggregation with the SNARE complex[34]. It is also puzzling that Syt1 and a complexin-I fragment

spanning the central and accessory α-helices [CpxI(26-83)] bind simultaneously to the SNARE complex in solution, and yet compete for binding to SNARE complexes on membranes[35].

The structural analysis of the Syt1-SNARE complex described here culminates fifteen years of research and used sensitive NMR methods[36] to measure lanthanide-induced pseudocontact shifts (PCSs)[37] induced on Syt1 fragments by lanthanide probes attached to the SNARE complex. Our data delineate a dynamic structure in which binding is mediated by adjacent acidic regions from syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25, and by the basic concave side of the Syt1 C_2B domain β-sandwich, including residues from the polybasic region. The physiological relevance of this dynamic structure is supported by the parallel effects caused by mutations in basic residues of the C_2B domain on SNARE complex binding in vitro and on Syt1 function in neurons. Moreover, the observed Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode explains why Syt1 competes with CpxI(26-83) for binding to SNARE complex on membranes but not in solution. Although our results need to be interpreted with caution (see discussion), they are consistent with a model whereby binding to the SNARE complex places the Syt1 C_2B domain in an ideal position to release the inhibition caused by the CpxI accessory α-helix and to bridge the two membranes, cooperating with the SNAREs in membrane fusion.

RESULTS

The SNARE complex binds to the C_2B domain polybasic region

To overcome the tendency of Syt1-SNARE complexes to aggregate in the presence of Ca^{2+}, we used a Syt1 fragment containing both C_2 domains (C_2AB) that exhibits improved behavior[35], together with a buffer containing 125 mM thiocyanate, a chaotropic anion that disrupts non-specific protein binding[38,39] (Supplementary Methods). The SNARE complex induced shifts in

specific cross-peaks of the ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY-HSQC spectrum of ^{2}H,^{15}N-labeled C_2AB (Figs. 1b,c), most of which correspond to the C_2B polybasic region (Fig. 1a). Thus, the polybasic region constitutes the primary binding site on C_2AB for the SNARE complex under these conditions. The cross-peaks of G175 (in a C_2A Ca^{2+}-binding loop) and V283 (at the bottom of C_2B) also exhibited small shifts (Fig. 1). As these regions provide additional, weaker binding sites that contribute to aggregation of Syt1-SNARE complexes[34], these shifts suggest that these regions still bind to the SNARE complex but very weakly under our conditions. Note that the cross-peak shifts in the polybasic region are also small (Fig. 1c) because binding is mediated by ionic interactions between flexible side chains (see below). Indeed, reverse experiments with ^{2}H,^{15}N-labeled SNARE complexes did not reveal significant shifts (Fig. S1). The limited solubility of the SNARE complex hindered assignment of its side chain resonances[20] and hence the analysis of perturbations in side-chain chemical shifts to map the synaptotagmin-1 binding site.

Observation of pseudocontact shifts from the SNARE complex to the Syt1 C_2B domain

The existence of sparsely populated states hindered structural analyses of C_2AB-SNARE complexes using paramagnetic relaxation effects but is less of an obstacle for studies using lanthanide-induced PCSs[37] (Supplementary Methods). After attempts for several years with different lanthanide-chelating tags placed at diverse positions (Supplementary Methods), we used SNARE complexes labeled with a 1,4,7,10 tetraazacyclododecane-tetraacetic acid (DOTA)-based tag called C2 (no relation to the term C_2 domain)[40,41] loaded with Dy^{3+}. SNARE complexes labeled with Dy^{3+}-C2 on residues 41 or 166 of SNAP-25 (below referred to as

SC41Dy or SC166Dy, respectively) exhibited strong PCSs that could be fit to unique anisotropic magnetic susceptibility tensors ($\Delta\chi$ tensors; Fig. 2).

To analyze the Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode, we used $^{15}N,^{2}H$-labeled Syt1 fragments specifically $^{1}H,^{13}C$-labeled at Ile, Leu and Val methyl groups ($^{15}N,^{2}H$-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-labeling) for optimal relaxation properties[36]. Most of the PCSs induced by SC41Dy or SC166Dy on Syt1 C_2AB were observed for cross-peaks from C_2B, and very similar PCSs were observed using the isolated C_2B domain, or the C_2B domain with an R398Q,R399Q mutation that hinders aggregation with the SNARE complex[34] (Figs. 3a,b and S2a,b,d,e; see Supplementary Methods). Since the latter yielded the best-quality data and R398-R399 might mediate binding modes that promote aggregation, structural analyses were performed with PCS data obtained from ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY-TROSY HSQC and ^{1}H-^{13}C HMQC spectra of $^{15}N,^{2}H$-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-C_2B domain bearing the R398Q,R399Q mutation (below referred to as C_2B for simplicity). These included 149 and 151 PCSs induced by SC41Dy or SC166Dy, respectively, on the C_2B domain. C_2B binding did not affect the PCSs within SC166Dy but caused slight alterations of PCSs within SC41Dy (5-20%; Figs. S2f,g), showing that C_2B does not contact residue 166 but is close to residue 41. Nevertheless, we still analyzed the SC41Dy data to examine the consistency with the SC166Dy data. We also note that addition of CpxI caused no or very small changes in the PCSs induced by SC166Dy (Fig. S2c), indicating that the binding sites for Syt1 and CpxI on the SNARE complex in solution are distinct but proximal.

Dynamic nature of the Syt1-SNARE complex in solution

Standard methods used for stable protein complexes[37] could not be applied to derive a structure of the C_2B-SNARE complex from the PCSs induced on C_2B by SC166Dy (see Supplementary

Methods and Fig. S3) or SC41Dy. Since these PCSs exhibit defined patterns of positive-negative values when mapped onto the structure of C_2B (Figs. 3c,d), we manually matched these patterns with the positive-negative lobes of the $\Delta\chi$ tensors obtained from the PCSs measured within SC166Dy and SC41Dy (referred to as SC166 and SC41 tensors, respectively; Fig. 2a) while keeping contact between C_2B and the SNAREs. The C_2B PCSs calculated with the resulting models (referred to as the 166- and 41-manual models, respectively; Figs. 3e,f) and the SC166 or SC41 tensors have reasonable correlations with the measured PCSs, albeit with large slopes (Figs. 3g,i). These slopes need to be interpreted with caution, since they depend strongly on the position of the center of the tensors, which has some uncertainty (ca. 4 Å). Thus, varying the tensor centers within this range can yield smaller slopes with slightly improved correlations between measured and calculated PCSs (Figs. 3h,j).

The large slopes in Figs. 3g-j can be attributed to a highly dynamic structure where the C_2B domain binds to the SNARE complex in multiple orientations at the same or nearby sites. This dynamic nature leads to averaging of the PCSs to smaller values than those expected for a static structure, and is also manifested in the different shapes of the tensors derived from PCSs measured on C_2B and the SNARE complex (Figs. S3a,b). Indeed, attempts to derive single C_2B-SNARE complex structures consistent with the SC166Dy-induced PCSs using HADDOCK-PCS[42] yielded structures where C_2B was 'pushed away' from the center of the SC166 tensor, an expected effect of dynamic averaging of PCSs[43] (illustrated in Fig. 4a for a representative structure, referred to as 166-HADDOCK model). Moreover, the HADDOCK-PCS structures exhibited few salt bridges between C_2B and the SNAREs, and the pattern of positive-negative PCSs did not match the SC166 tensor lobes well (e.g. Fig. S4b). Interestingly, in unrestrained molecular dynamics (MD) simulations started with the 166-HADDOCK model, C_2B moved

naturally toward the position of the 166-manual model (Figs. 4a,b). A representative structure from the end of the simulation (referred to as 166-MD model) exhibits abundant C_2B-SNARE salt bridges (see below), a relatively good correlation between calculated and measured PCSs (Fig. S4c), and a good match of positive-negative PCS patterns with the SC166 tensor lobes (Fig. S4d). Note that the position of C_2B domain in the 166-MD model is also close to that observed in the 41-manual model (Fig. 4c).

To explore the range of structures that form the ensemble of C_2B-SNARE complex binding modes, we performed extensive MD simulations using chemical shift restraints[44]. Importantly, the 166-MD model and 41-manual model are located in the middle of the ensemble of structures visited during the simulations (Fig. 4d), thus showing a striking consistency with the PCS analysis. Calculation of C_2B PCSs for representative MD structures and optimization of population weights (Supplementary Methods) yielded some degree of correlation between the population-averaged and measured PCSs, with slopes much closer to 1 than those obtained with individual models (Figs. 4e,f). The correlations are rather modest, indicating that additional structures are needed to fully account for the observed PCSs, but these results illustrate how conformational averaging decreases the calculated PCSs to values closer to those observed experimentally.

Overall, our analysis shows that the Syt1 C_2B-SNARE complex binding mode is highly dynamic under our conditions. The 166-MD (or manual) model and the 41-manual model can be considered representative structures located approximately at the center of the ensemble of binding modes. Differences between the two models could arise from slight perturbation of the binding ensemble caused by the tag in SC41Dy (see above) but, considering the uncertainty of

this analysis, the two models are quite similar (Fig. 4c) and verify the consistency of the two PCS data sets.

The Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode in solution

We anticipate that the development of restrained molecular dynamics simulations incorporating replica-averaged PCS restraints, as described for residual dipolar couplings[45], will allow a more extensive exploration of the ensemble of C_2B-SNARE complex orientations to fit the PCS data better. Importantly, despite the relative uncertainty remaining about this ensemble, our results yield a clear picture of the nature of the Syt1-SNARE complex interactions that predominate under our conditions, including models that can be used to probe the functional importance of these interactions and to elucidate how Syt1 and the SNAREs cooperate in triggering release.

The PCS data establish that the polybasic region of the C_2B domain binds to a polyacidic region formed by residues from syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25 (e.g. Figs. 5a-d), which is consistent with the high sensitivity of Syt1-SNARE complex interactions to ionic strength[14]. The abundance of basic residues from C_2B and acidic residues from the SNAREs in the binding interface provide a natural explanation for the dynamic nature of Syt1-SNARE complex interactions. Thus, any single structure would represent one of many binding modes existing in solution. Even with the caveat just mentioned, common features observed in the manual and MD models lead to defined predictions about which residues are most important for binding. A central feature is that the concave surface of the C_2B β-sandwich is oriented toward the SNARE complex (Figs. 5a,b). Thus, while the polybasic β-strand of C_2B (residues 321-327) was viewed as a functional unit, the observed orientation predicts key distinctions between the functional importance of basic side chains in this region: R322, K325 and K327 from this β-strand and

K313 from the adjacent β-strand are oriented toward the SNARE complex and hence are likely to play critical roles in binding; in contrast, K324 and K326 are not directly oriented towards the SNARE complex and are predicted to have less prominent roles.

There is more variability in the residues of the SNARE complex that bind to C_2B in the different models. In the 166-MD model, the C_2B concave side exhibits multiple ionic interactions with an acidic region of syntaxin-1 (E224, E228, D231 and E234) but is also near an acidic region of SNAP-25 (D51, E52 and E55) (Fig. 5c). In the 41-manual model, C_2B interacts primarily with this SNAP-25 acidic region (Fig. 5b). A detailed analysis is not useful in this case because the model was built manually, but multiple structures from the chemical-shift restrained MD simulations placed C_2B in locations close to that observed in the 41-manual model (e.g. the structure of Fig. S5, referred to as 41-MD model) and illustrate how K313, R322, K325 and K327 of C_2B can establish multiple salt bridges with the SNAP-25 acidic region (Fig. 5d).

This analysis shows that the large number of charges in the C_2B polybasic concave side and the polyacidic region formed by syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25 allow formation of multiple salt bridges in many different orientations, explaining the dynamic nature of the binding mode. Importantly, our results also provide a clear explanation for the finding that Syt1 C_2AB and CpxI(26-83) bind simultaneously to soluble SNARE complex but compete for binding to membrane-anchored SNARE complex[35]. Thus, the SNARE complex binding sites for CpxI(26-83) and C_2B are distinct, allowing simultaneous binding in solution; however, Ca^{2+}-induced binding of C_2B to a membrane would cause strong steric and electrostatic repulsion between the membrane and the CpxI accessory helix, both of which are negatively charged (Fig. 5e).

Mutagenesis confirms the Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode

To test the Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode derived from our PCS data, we used an assay that monitors the decrease in intensity of the strongest methyl resonance (SMR) in 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of ^{13}C-labeled C_2AB upon binding to unlabeled SNARE complex (Fig. 6a)[46]. In initial experiments performed in the absence of KSCN following a recent study[34], we found that single residue substitutions in the C_2B polybasic region did not alter binding strongly (Figs. S6a,b). Given the large number of charges that form the binding surface of C_2B, we prepared ^{13}C-labeled C_2AB mutants where two basic residues were replaced with glutamates and analyzed binding in 125 mM KSCN to minimize interactions that contribute to aggregation, as used for the PCS measurements. Importantly, three double mutations that replaced basic residues in the concave side of C_2B (K313E,K325E; R322E,K325E and K325E,K327E) strongly impaired binding to the SNARE complex (Fig. 6b), whereas binding was not affected by control double mutations in basic residues (K297E,R388E and K354E,R388E; see Fig. 1a). Moreover, double mutation of the two residues that are in the polybasic region but are not located in the concave side of C_2B (K324E,K326E) impaired binding but to a much lesser extent than the mutations in the concave side (Fig. 6b). The apparent K_ds derived from these data (Fig. S6c) give a semiquantitative idea of the effects of the mutations and clearly confirm the conclusion that the concave side of C_2B is primarily responsible for binding to the SNARE complex under these conditions. Furthermore, titrations of WT ^{13}C-C_2AB with SNARE complexes containing double mutations in residues of the polyacidic regions of syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25 that form the primary binding sites for C_2B based on the analysis of the PCS data (SNAP-25 E52K,E55K and syntaxin-1 E228K,D231K) markedly impaired binding, whereas a control mutation in another acidic region (SNAP-25 E24K,E27K) had no effect (Figs. 6c,S6d). These results provide strong support for the binding mode derived from the PCS data.

Since Ca^{2+}-dependent phospholipid binding is crucial for Syt1 function[6], we analyzed the effects of selected double mutations in C_2AB on this activity using a FRET assay (Fig. 6d). The relative effects of the mutations on the apparent K_ds observed in these titrations were distinct from those caused on SNARE complex binding: R322E,K325E had the most marked effect on Ca^{2+}-dependent phospholipid binding, K313E,K325E and K324E,K326E had similar moderate effects and the control K354E,R388E mutation had the smallest effect (Fig. 6e). We also analyzed the effects of these mutations on Ca^{2+}-independent binding of C_2AB to PIP_2, which was proposed to steer Syt1 to the plasma membrane[47]. Because binding of C_2AB to PIP_2-containing liposomes is weak and not easily detectable [e.g. by FRET assays[48]], we again used 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of ^{13}C-C_2AB, which are expected to exhibit marked decreases in SMR intensity even for small percentages of binding. Liposomes containing 1% PIP_2 (1 mM total lipid) caused a 40% decrease in the SMR intensities of WT C_2AB (Fig. 6f), confirming that a fraction of C_2AB binds to the liposomes but binding is weak. The double mutations in the polybasic region, but not the control K354E,R388E mutation, abolished binding regardless of whether the side chains are in the concave side of C_2B (Fig. 6f). These data confirm that the C_2B polybasic region mediates PIP_2 binding to Syt1[47] and suggest that mutations in this region disrupt PIP_2 binding more indiscriminately than SNARE-complex binding.

A question that arises is whether PIP_2 could prevent binding of Syt1 to the SNARE complex before Ca^{2+} influx. To address this question, we first examined the effects of the C_2B double mutations on Ca^{2+}-independent binding of C_2AB to the SNARE complex using 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra and KSCN-free buffer, as C_2AB-SNARE complexes aggregate less in the absence of Ca^{2+} and these conditions facilitate the observation of Ca^{2+}-independent binding, which is weaker than Ca^{2+}-dependent binding[34]. The relative effects of the three double

mutations in the C_2B polybasic region (Fig. 6g) paralleled to those observed in the presence of Ca^{2+} (Fig. 6b), supporting the notion that the primary Ca^{2+}-independent and Ca^{2+}-dependent C_2AB-SNARE complex binding modes are similar under these conditions. To test whether PIP_2 binding to Syt1 precludes binding to the SNARE complex, we used a competition assay monitoring 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of SNARE complex containing ^{15}N,^{2}H-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-labeled syntaxin-1. Liposomes containing 1% PIP_2 (3 mM total lipid) had no effect on the SMR intensity of 15 μM SNARE complex (Fig. 6h), showing that the SNARE complex does not bind to the liposomes, whereas 15 μM WT C_2AB caused a marked decrease in the SMR intensity (Figs. 6h), consistent with the expectation that binding is close to quantitative based on the titration of Fig. 6g and previous data[46]. Addition of PIP_2-liposomes to 15 μM WT C_2AB and 15 μM SNARE complex, yielded a modest recovery of the SMR intensity, reflecting partial release of C_2AB from the SNARE complex (Fig. 6h), but only 38% of the signal was recovered even with a large excess of PIP_2 (6 mM total lipids; Fig. 6i). Hence, PIP_2 hinders but does not abrogate Ca^{2+}-independent binding of C_2AB to the SNARE complex.

Disruption of Syt1 function correlates with impairment of Syt1-SNARE complex binding

To investigate the functional relevance of the Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode described above, we performed electrophysiological rescue experiments. As previously described[49], lentiviral expression of WT Syt1 rescued evoked release in Syt1 KO neurons (Figs. 7a-c). Three single Syt1 mutants with substitutions in residues from the polybasic region (K313E, R322E and K326E) rescued evoked release almost as efficiently as WT Syt1 (Figs. 7a-c), in correlation with the finding that such mutations do not markedly impair C_2AB-SNARE complex binding (Figs. S6a,b). Importantly however, two double mutations in the concave side of C_2B (K313E,K325E

and R322E,K325E) strongly impaired rescue of evoked release in Syt1 KO neurons, whereas much milder effects were observed for the control double mutant (K354E,R388E) and the mutant with substitutions in residues of the polybasic region that are not in the concave side (K324E,K326E) (Figs. 7d-f). These differences in rescue activities do not arise from inefficient protein overexpression, as all double mutants were overexpressed at similar levels (Fig. S7). These results establish a striking correlation between the disruption of Syt1 function in neurons and the impairment of C_2AB-SNARE complex binding (Fig. 6b) caused by the double mutations.

We also analyzed spontaneous release in Syt1 KO neurons rescued with the R322E,K325E and K324E,K326E double mutants. As described earlier[50], overexpression of WT Syt1 suppressed the large increase in spontaneous release observed in excitatory and inhibitory Syt1 KO neurons, without altering the amplitudes of individual minis (Figs. 7g-l). Overexpression of all double mutants led to similar suppression of the increased minis, suggesting that Syt1-SNARE complex interactions are not critical for clamping the secondary Ca^{2+} sensor that mediates the increase in spontaneous release in Syt1 KO neurons.

We furthermore measured the dependence of evoked release on extracellular Ca^{2+} in Syt1 KO neurons rescued with WT Syt1 or the two double mutants (Fig. 8). Analysis of the Ca^{2+}-dependence of both IPSC amplitude and charge transfer further illustrated the strong impairment of evoked release caused by the R322E,K325E mutation, and showed that the EC50 for extracellular Ca^{2+} is significantly increased for this mutant with respect to rescue with WT Syt1 (Figs. 8d,h). In contrast, the K324E,K326E mutant did not cause a significant shift in the EC50, but exhibited a slight decrease in release with respect to the WT rescue at higher extracellular Ca^{2+} concentrations. These results suggest that Syt1-SNARE complex interactions may play a key role in Ca^{2+}-triggering of release. To examine whether the R322E,K325E mutation impairs

Syt1-SNARE interactions in neurons we performed co-immunoprecipitations with a syntaxin-1 antibody. The R322E,K325E mutation caused a 62% decrease in the amount of Syt1 that co-immunoprecipitated with syntaxin-1 without significantly affecting the co-immunoprecipitation of synaptobrevin used as a control (Figs. 8j,k). This result further supports the notion that the partial impairment of neurotransmitter release caused by the R322E,K325E mutation arises because of partial disruption of Syt1-SNARE interactions.

Discussion

Syt1-SNARE complex interactions are likely key for coupling Ca^{2+} sensing to membrane fusion during neurotransmitter release. The study presented here illustrates the difficulties involved in studying such interactions and shows how a PCS-based approach allowed us to define a dynamic structure that represents the preferred Syt1-SNARE complex binding mode under the conditions of our experiments. Our results need to be interpreted with caution because of the potential existence of other binding modes, but the relevance of our PCS-derived structure is supported by biochemical and functional data, and its dynamic nature may be an intrinsic feature that enables the fast speed of neurotransmitter release, in parallel with the increasing realization that dynamics can be key for biological function[51]. The dynamic Syt1-SNARE complex structure suggests a mechanism for how Syt1 relieves the inhibition caused by CpxI and supports a model whereby Syt1 brings the synaptic vesicle and plasma membranes together upon Ca^{2+} influx, cooperating with the SNAREs in membrane fusion (Fig. S8).

Our analysis shows that no single structure of the C_2B-SNARE complex can fit all the PCS data, which hinders application of standard computational tools to interpret these data. The manual procedure used to match the C_2B PCS patterns with the lobes of the tensors determined

with the SNARE complex PCSs does yields an approximate but unambiguous definition of the preferred location and orientation of C_2B with respect to the SNARE complex under our conditions, leading to a clear picture of the binding mode that readily explains its dynamic nature. Although the data used for our structural analysis were acquired in 125 mM KSCN using R398Q,R399Q mutant C_2B, the measured PCSs parallel those observed with WT C_2B and C_2AB (Fig. S2a,b,d,e), and the validity of the derived binding mode is supported by in vitro binding assays (Fig. 6b,c), physiological data (Figs. 7,8), and co-IP experiments (Fig. 8j,k). Moreover, this binding mode is consistent with many previous studies. Thus, Syt1 binding was ascribed to syntaxin-1 [e.g. [25]] or SNAP-25 [e.g. [27]]; our data show that both SNAREs contribute to binding of the SNARE complex to Syt1. Our results also correlate with studies that mapped the Syt1-binding region to residues D51, E52 and E55 of SNAP-25 [e.g. [30,52]], and show that the adjacent acidic residues of syntaxin-1 also contribute to binding. Our data also agree with results that mapped the SNARE binding site to the polybasic β-strand of the C_2B domain [e.g. [30-32,34,53,54]], but make key distinctions between residues located at the concave side of the β-sandwich that is crucial for SNARE complex binding and residues not located in the concave side that have less prominent roles in binding. Moreover, our results correlate very well with EPR data on C_2AB-SNARE complex binding[32].

The structure of the Syt1-SNARE complex provides an attractive model for how Syt1 relieves the inhibition of neurotransmitter release caused by CpxI[14-16] and cooperates with the SNAREs in membrane fusion (Fig. S8). The model postulates that, before Ca^{2+} influx, C_2B binds to partially assembled SNARE complexes (Fig. S8a) via similar interactions to those defined here, which is supported by our Ca^{2+}-independent C_2AB-SNARE complex binding assays (Fig. 6g) and by studies suggesting that Ca^{2+}-independent binding of Syt1 to syntaxin-1-SNAP-25

heterodimers involves the same surfaces[52,53]. Note that, although the interaction is weaker in the absence of Ca^{2+} (Supplementary note 1), it should be strongly enhanced by co-localization and could cooperate with binding of R398-R399 to the plasma membrane. In this putative primed state, CpxI could be simultaneously bound to the SNARE complex because the CpxI and Syt1 binding sites are distinct, and the negatively charged accessory helix of CpxI would inhibit release because of repulsion with the vesicle membrane[22] (Figs. 5e, S8a). Based on our structure, in this primed state the C_2B domain would be ideally positioned to quickly bind simultaneously to the vesicle membrane via its Ca^{2+}-binding loops and to the plasma membrane through the bottom side upon Ca^{2+} influx (Fig. S8b). Our model proposes that this action forces melting of the CpxI accessory helix (Fig. S8b) (Supplementary note 2) and occurs concomitantly with full SNARE complex zippering, leading to membrane fusion and neurotransmitter release. This model is based in part on the observation that simultaneous binding of Syt1 to two membranes brings them within 4 nm[11,55], and accounts for the critical role of R398-R399 in neurotransmitter release[12]. The proposed action of Syt1 would likely require some reorientation of C_2B with respect to the SNARE complex for optimal efficiency. The dynamic nature of the C_2B-SNARE complex binding mode may be a key feature to facilitate such rearrangement.

While the model of Fig. S8 explains a large amount of experimental evidence, further research will be required to test its relevance and to address several unresolved issues. First, the change in Ca^{2+}-dependent phospholipid binding to the R322E,K325E mutant (Figs. 6d,e) correlates with the shift in the Ca^{2+}-dependence of release caused by this mutant (Figs. 8). This shift can also be explained by the disruption of SNARE complex binding caused by the R322E,K325E mutation, given the synergy between Ca^{2+} and SNARE complex binding to Syt1 (Supplementary note 1), and the correlation between disruption of Ca^{2+}-dependent phospholipid

binding and impairment of Syt1 function is only partial (Figs. 6d,e and 7). These observations argue against the notion that the functional effects caused by the double mutants arise from alteration of phospholipid binding, but the finding that the mutations can affect binding both to the SNARE complex and to phospholipids underlines the limitations of studying these interactions separately, as they likely influence each other (Supplementary note 3). Second, there are additional binding modes between Syt1 and the SNAREs[29,31-34] that, although less populated under our conditions than the mode defined here, could be critical for function (Supplementary note 4). Third, although the effects of the C_2B double mutations on SNARE complex binding correlate better with disruption of Syt1 function than their effects on PIP_2 binding (Figs. 6b,f and 7), and our competition assays (Fig. 6i) argue that the presence of PIP_2 in the plasma membrane should not abrogate binding of Syt1 to the SNARE complex, the interplay between interactions of Syt1 with PIP_2 and the SNARE complex needs to be further investigated (Supplementary note 5). Fourth, while our model agrees with the importance of Ca^{2+}-binding to the Syt1 C_2B domain[10], normal release depends also on the C_2A domain[6,56,57]. In our C_2B-SNARE complex structure, C_2A emerges at the N-terminus of C_2B, on the opposite side of the SNARE-binding region (Fig. 5e). This location would allow binding of C_2A to the vesicle and/or plasma membranes, which could cooperate with the action of C_2B in triggering release. Note however that some evidence suggests that C_2A-SNARE interactions are important for release[13,58], and there are weak C_2A-SNARE complex interactions that contribute to aggregation of C_2AB-SNARE complexes in solution[34] but could be functionally important.

Challenging structural studies of Syt1-SNARE complex interactions on membranes, or ideally between two membranes, will likely be required to resolve these remaining issues. Even with all these concerns, the dynamic structure of the Syt1-SNARE complex described here

provides a framework to rationalize the available data, and will serve as a guide for future research in this field.

ONLINE METHODS

Protein Expression and Purification

The expression and purification of fragments spanning the SNARE motifs of rat synaptobrevin 2 (residues 29-93), rat syntaxin-1A (residues 191-253), and human SNAP-25 (residues 11-82 and 141-203) from a pGEX-KT vector, and rat Syt1 C_2B (residues 271-421), C_2B R398Q,R399Q mutant and C_2AB (residues 131-421 and 140-421) from a pGEX-KG, vector were previously described[11,20,35]. Constructs to express single-cysteine SNARE mutants were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis using PCR and custom-designed primers. See extended methods for additional details and for paramagnetic labeling of cysteine mutants.

NMR Spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were acquired at 25°C on Varian INOVA spectrometers operating at 600 or 800 MHz equipped with cold probes. ^{1}H-^{13}C HMQC and ^{1}H-^{15}N HSQC TROSY spectra were acquired under the conditions indicated in the figure legends using 10% D_2O as the solvent. Total acquisition times were 4–56 h. NMR data were processed with NMRPipe[59] and analyzed with NMRView[60]. All data for PCS measurements were acquired in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 125 mM KSCN, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 10% D_2O. See extended methods for measurement and analysis of PCSs.

Synaptotagmin-SNARE Complex Binding Assays

1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra for SNARE-complex binding assays were obtained by acquiring the first trace of standard ^{1}H-^{13}C HSQC spectra as described[34]. Samples contained 10 μM uniformly ^{13}C-labeled Syt1 C_2AB in 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 125 mM KSCN, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.5 mM TCEP, 10% D_2O, or in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM TCEP, 10% D_2O (except for the experiments of Figs. S6a,b, which were performed with 3 μM ^{13}C-labeled C_2AB mutants in 25 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 125 mM NaCl and 1 mM $CaCl_2$). All C_2AB samples used in these assays contained the native R398-R399 residues at the bottom of C_2B. Unlabeled SNARE complex was titrated into the sample at the indicated concentrations. The strongest methyl resonance (SMR) intensity was measured for each point, and the natural ^{13}C abundance signal from unlabeled SNARE complex was subtracted, scaled from the SMR measurement of a sample of 20 μM SNARE complex alone. Competition assays were performed similarly by acquiring 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 15 μM SNARE complex containing ^{15}N,^{2}H-ILV-^{13}CH$_3$-labeled syntaxin-1 with different additions as indicated in Figs. 6h,i. Liposomes contained 99% POPC and 1% PIP_2. See extended methods for lipid binding assays.

Syt1 KO rescue experiments

Neuronal cultures were produced from WT and Syt1 KO mice as described[61]. Hippocampi were dissected from P0 pups, dissociated by papain digestion, and plated on Matrigel-coated glass coverslips. Neurons were cultured for 14-16 days *in vitro* in MEM (Gibco) supplemented with B27 (Gibco), glucose, transferrin, fetal bovine serum, and Ara-C (Sigma). For rescue experiments, a rat Syt1 cDNA (carrying mutations when desired) was introduced into a lentiviral construct that has been described[62]. To make viruses, human embryonic kidney 293T cells were

co-transfected with the lentiviral vector and three packaging plasmids. Supernatant containing the viruses was collected 48 h after transfection and was used to infect hippocampal neuronal cultures at DIV4. Cultures were used for biochemical or physiological analyses at DIV14-16. See extended methods for electrophysiology and immunoprecipitation experiments.

Coordinates. The coordinates of five energy-minimized structures that illustrate the dynamic Syt1 C_2B domain-SNARE complex binding mode are available in the online Supplementary Material. The ensemble includes the 166-MD model and four of the structures from the chemical shift-restrained MD simulations that contribute to the optimized population-weighted PCSs of Figs. 4e,f, including the 41-MD model.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lewis Kay for fruitful discussions and Fabian Peters for generously providing a sample for Cys-Ph-TAHA labeling. The DD2 console of one of the Agilent 600 MHz NMR spectrometers used for the research presented here was purchased with shared instrumentation grant S10RR026461 from the NIH (to Michael K. Rosen). The authors acknowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at the University of Texas at Austin for providing HPC resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this paper. This work was supported by a grant from the Welch Foundation (I-1304 to JR), ARC Discovery Grant DP150100383 (to BG), ARC Future Fellowship FT130100838 (to BG), Swiss National Science Foundation grant 200021_130263 (to DH), and NIH grants NIH K99NS087086 (to TB) and NS040944 (to JR).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. A polybasic region of the Syt1 C_2B domain binds to the SNARE complex. (**a**) Ribbon diagram of the Syt1 C_2B domain showing the side chains that form the polybasic region, other basic residues that were mutated in this study, and V283, R398 and R399 at the bottom of the domain. Basic residues are colored in blue and V283 in green. Ca^{2+} ions are represented by yellow spheres. N and C represent the N- and C-termini, respectively. (**b**) ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY HSQC spectra of ^{2}H,^{15}N-C_2AB (50 μM) in the absence (black contours) and presence of 10, 20 or 40 μM SNARE complex (green, red and blue contours, respectively). (**c**) Expansions of the regions corresponding to the G175, V283, R322, K325, K326 and K327 cross-peaks in the spectra shown in (**b**).

Figure 2. $\Delta\chi$ tensors defined by the PCSs induced in the SNARE complex by Dy^{3+}-C2 labels on residue 166 or 41 of SNAP-25. (**a,d**) ^{1}H-^{15}N TROSY-HSQC spectra of SNARE complex samples containing ^{2}H,^{15}N-syntaxin-1 (**a**) or ^{2}H,^{15}N-synaptobrevin (**d**) and Dy^{3+}-C2 labels on residue 166 (**a**) or 41 (**d**) of SNAP-25 before (red contours) or after (black contours) removal of the tag. Blue lines connect selected corresponding red and black cross-peaks, illustrating the observed PCSs. (**b,e**) Correlation between experimental PCSs measured with Dy^{3+}-C2 labels on residue 166 (**b**) or 41 (**e**) of SNAP-25 and PCSs calculated with the $\Delta\chi$ tensors derived from the experimental values. Correlation coefficients (r) and slopes (m) are indicated. The values obtained for $\Delta\chi_{ax}$ and $\Delta\chi_{rh}$ (10^{-32} m^3) are 35.1 and 2.9, respectively, for the SC166 tensor (**b**), and 15.9 and 6.7, respectively, for the SC41 tensor (**e**). (**c,f**) Ribbon diagrams of the SNARE complex (syntaxin, yellow; synaptobrevin, red; SNAP-25 N-terminal and C-terminal SNARE

motifs, blue and green, respectively) with isosurfaces representing regions with positive (blue) and negative (red) PCSs, contoured at ± 0.8 ppm with the SC166 (**c**) and SC41 (**f**) tensors. The tensor centers are indicated with black spheres. The same color-coding for the SNAREs is used in all figures.

Figure 3. PCSs induced by the SC166Dy and SC41Dy on the Syt1 C_2B domain. (**a,b**) Leu,Val region of ^{1}H-^{13}C HMQC spectra of 30 μM ^{15}N,^{2}H-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-C_2B R398Q,R399Q mutant in the presence of 30 μM SC166Dy (**a**) or SC41Dy (**b**) before (red contours) or after (black contours) removal of the tag. Assignments of selected methyl cross-peaks are indicated. (**c,d**) Ribbon diagrams of the Syt1 C_2B domain illustrating the PCSs induced by SC166Dy (**c**) or SC41Dy (**d**). Amide hydrogens and methyl carbons are shown as spheres and color-coded according to the measured PCSs (dark blue, > 0.06 ppm; blue, 0.04/0.06 ppm; cyan, 0.02/0.04 ppm; pale cyan, 0.008/0.02 ppm; red, -0.04/-0.06 ppm; salmon, -0.02/-0.04 ppm; light pink, -0.008/-0.02 ppm). Ca^{2+} ions are represented by yellow spheres. (**e,f**) Models of C_2B bound to the SNARE complex built manually by trying to optimize the match between the positive/negative patterns of C_2B PCSs (shown in panels C,D) and the positive/negative lobes of the SC166 (**e**) and SC41 (**f**) tensors represented by isosurfaces as in Figs. 2c,f. The SNAREs are shown semi-transparent. We refer to these models as the 166- and 41-manual models. Note that, when we ascribe a 'good match', some of the nuclei with negative PCSs are not located in the negative lobes of the tensor but are near them such that dynamic motions can readily bring these nuclei into the negative lobes. (**g-j**) Correlations between experimental PCSs induced on C_2B by SC166Dy (**g,h**) or SC41Dy (**i,j**) and PCSs calculated with the 166- and 41-manual models using the optimized SC166 (**g**) and SC41 (**i**) tensors (illustrated in Figs. 2c,f, respectively) or slightly modified

tensors (**h,j**). Correlation coefficients (r) and slopes (m) are indicated. In (**h**), the SC166 tensor was re-calculated with the SNARE complex PCSs forcing the tensor center to move 4 Å with respect to the optimized center, away from C_2B, yielding r = 0.995 and m = 0.988 for the correlation between measured and calculated SNARE complex PCSs and values of $\Delta\chi_{ax}$ and $\Delta\chi_{rh}$ (10^{-32} m^3) equal to 24.8 and 8.7, respectively. Similarly, in (**j**), the SC41 tensor center was forced to move 2 Å away from C_2B, yielding r = 0.997 and m = 0.990 for the correlation between measured and calculated SNARE complex PCSs and values of $\Delta\chi_{ax}$ and $\Delta\chi_{rh}$ (10^{-32} m^3) equal to 15.7 and 6.1, respectively.

Figure 4. Analysis of the C_2B-SNARE complex by MD simulations. (**a**) Ribbon diagrams of the SNARE complex and C_2B in the positions corresponding to the 166-manual model (gray), the 166-HADDOCK model (purple) and the 166-MD model (orange). (**b**) Ribbon diagram of the SNARE complex and stick models showing $C\alpha$ traces of C_2B in a range of orientations visited during the MD simulation started from the 166-HADDOCK model (purple). One of the structures from the end of the simulation (in orange) is represented in panel (**a**) and is referred to as 166-MD model. (**c**) Ribbon diagrams of the SNARE complex and C_2B in the positions corresponding to the 166-MD model (orange) and the 41-manual model (cyan). (**d**) Ribbon diagram of the SNARE complex and stick models showing the $C\alpha$ traces of C_2B in a range of representative orientations visited during MD simulations incorporating chemical shift restraints. The structure of the CpxI(26-83)-SNARE complex (PDB code 1KIL) has been superimposed to show that CpxI would bump with C_2B in some of the positions in the MD simulations. N represents the N-terminus of the SNARE complex in (**a-d**). N and C represent the N- and C-termini of CpxI(26-83) in (**d**). (**e,f**) Correlations between experimental C_2B PCSs induced by

SC166Dy (**e**) or SC41Dy (**f**) and PCSs calculated as ensemble averages using different populations of structures from the 73 clusters visited during the chemical-shift restrained MD simulations. Correlation coefficients (r) and slopes (m) are indicated.

Figure 5. The Syt1 C_2B-SNARE complex binding mode. (**a,b**) Ribbon diagrams of the 166-MD model (**a**) and the 41-manual model (**b**) with C_2B shown in orange and Ca^{2+} ions represented by cyan spheres. Stick models show the side chains of basic (blue) and acidic (red) residues. Basic side chains from the polybasic strand and the concave side of C_2B are labeled. (**c,d**) Close-ups of the binding modes observed in the 166-MD model (**c**) and 41-MD model (**d**). Representation and color-coding are as in (**a,b**). Selected basic and acidic side chains in the interfaces are labeled. (**e**) Ribbon diagrams showing the positions of C_2B in the 166-MD model (orange) and the 41-manual model (cyan) after superposition with the structure of the CpxI(26-83)-SNARE complex (PDB code 1KIL). CpxI(26-83) is shown in pink (accessory helix) and gray (central helix). The dashed line represents a membrane surface and illustrates that binding of C_2B to a membrane would lead to steric and electrostatic repulsion of the CpxI accessory helix with the membrane. N represents the N-terminus of the SNARE complex in (**a,b**) and the N-terminus of CpxI(26-83) and C_2B in (**e**). C represents the C-termini of CpxI(26-83) and the SNARE complex in (**e**).

Figure 6. Mutagenesis verifies the C_2B-SNARE complex binding mode. (**a**) 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 10 μM ^{13}C-labeled WT or R322E,K325E mutant C_2AB in the absence or presence of 15 μM unlabeled SNARE complex (SC). (**b**) Plots of normalized intensities of the SMRs in 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 10 μM WT or mutant ^{13}C-C_2AB as a function of SNARE complex concentration. The mutations in C_2AB are indicated and color-coded. (**c**) Plots

of normalized intensities of the SMRs in 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 10 μM WT ^{13}C-C_2AB as a function of WT or mutant SNARE complex concentration. The mutations in SNARE complex are indicated and color-coded (E24K,E27K and E52K,E55K are in SNAP-25; E228K,D231K is in syntaxin-1). The data in (**b,c**) were obtained in 25 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 125 mM KSCN and 1 mM $CaCl_2$, and were fitted to a single-site binding model[34] yielding the K_ds summarized in Figs. S6c,d, respectively. The intensities at 0 μM SNARE complex concentration calculated from the fitting of each data set were used to normalize all the data. (**d**) Binding of WT and mutant C_2AB to dansyl-labeled liposomes as a function of Ca^{2+} concentration measured from Trp-dansyl FRET. The data were fit with Hill equations. (**e**) Bar diagram illustrating the apparent Ca^{2+} K_ds obtained for WT and mutant C_2AB in Ca^{2+}-dependent phospholipid binding experiments such as those shown in (**d**). Bars show average K_ds calculated from two independent experiments, and error bars show standard deviations. (**f**) Bar diagram showing the intensities of the SMRs in 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 3 μM WT or mutant ^{13}C-C_2AB upon addition of liposomes containing 1% PIP_2 (1 mM total lipid), normalized by the intensities observed in the absence of liposomes. (**g**) Plots of normalized intensities of the SMRs in 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 10 μM WT or mutant ^{13}C-C_2AB as a function of SNARE complex concentration in the absence of Ca^{2+}. The mutations in C_2AB are indicated and color-coded. (**h**) 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 15 μM SNARE complex containing ^{15}N,^{2}H-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-labeled syntaxin-1 in the absence of Ca^{2+} without or with addition of liposomes containing 1% PIP_2 (3 mM total lipid) (+PIP_2) and/or 15 μM WT C_2AB (+C_2AB). (**i**) Normalized SMR intensities of 1D ^{13}C-edited ^{1}H-NMR spectra of 15 μM SNARE complex containing ^{15}N,^{2}H-ILV-$^{13}CH_3$-labeled syntaxin-1 in the absence of Ca^{2+} and the presence of 15 μM WT C_2AB plus different concentrations of liposomes containing 1% PIP_2. Points show averages calculated from two independent experiments, and

error bars show standard deviations. All experiments in panels (**d-i**) were performed in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) 100 mM NaCl.

Figure 7. Disruption of Syt1 function correlates with impairment of Syt1-SNARE complex binding. (**a,d**) Sample traces of evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (eIPSCs) observed in cultured Syt1 KO neurons without or with lentiviral expression of WT or mutant Syt1 as indicated. Stimulus onset is indicated by the tick mark. (**b,c,e,f**) Summary graphs of the eIPSC amplitudes and charge transfers observed in the rescue experiments with WT and mutant Syt1. (**g,j**) Sample traces of spontaneous release in excitatory (**g**) or inhibitory (**j**) neurons from Syt1 KO mice without or with lentiviral expression of WT Syt1 or selected Syt1 double mutants as indicated. (**h,i,k,l**) Summary graphs of spontaneous miniature EPSC (mEPSC) (**h,i**) and mIPSC (**k,l**) frequencies and amplitudes. All data are means ± SEM; numbers in bars indicate number of neurons/independent cultures analyzed. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA (***, $p<0.001$; n.s., not significant).

Figure 8. Disruption of Syt1-SNARE complex binding correlates with impairment of the Ca^{2+}-triggered step of release. (**a**) Sample traces of evoked IPSCs observed in Syt1 KO cultured neurons rescued with WT or double mutant Syt1 cDNAs as a function of extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration. The same neuron was recorded at the indicated Ca^{2+} concentrations by replacing the bath solution. (**b,c,f,g**) Peak eIPSC amplitude (**b,c**) or total charge transfer in a single action potential (**f,g**) observed as a function of extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration in the rescue experiments with WT or double mutant Syt1. The data from the plots were fit with Hill equations to determine EC50s and Hill coefficients. The data are presented in absolute values (**b,f**) or

normalized to the limiting values at infinite extracellular Ca^{2+} derived from the Hill equations fits (**c,g**). (**d,e,h,i**) Summary graphs of the EC50s and Hill coefficients calculated from the data in panels (**b,f**). (**j**) Co-immunoprecipitation with syntaxin-1. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed in Syt1 KO neurons rescued with WT or R322E,K325E mutant Syt1 cDNA by first incubating with a polyclonal syntaxin-1 antibody followed by Western blot analysis with monoclonal antibodies against Syt1 or Syb2. Syntaxin-1 co-immunoprecipitates SNAREs, confirmed by staining for Syb2, as well as Syt1 which is bound to SNAREs or syntaxin-1 directly. (**k**) Quantitative analysis of co-immunoprecipitation of WT and R322E,K325E mutant Syt1s with syntaxin-1 antibody. The amount of signal for each condition is quantified as a percent of the input levels in that condition. All data are means ± SEM. Statistical significance (**d,e,h,i**) was assessed by one-way ANOVA (**, $p<0.01$).

Reference List

1. Brunger,A.T., Weninger,K., Bowen,M., & Chu,S. Single-molecule studies of the neuronal SNARE fusion machinery. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78**, 903-928 (2009).

2. Rizo,J. & Sudhof,T.C. The Membrane Fusion Enigma: SNAREs, Sec1/Munc18 Proteins, and Their Accomplices-Guilty as Charged? *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **28**, 279-308 (2012).

3. Poirier,M.A. *et al.* The synaptic SNARE complex is a parallel four-stranded helical bundle. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **5**, 765-769 (1998).

4. Sutton,R.B., Fasshauer,D., Jahn,R., & Brunger,A.T. Crystal structure of a SNARE complex involved in synaptic exocytosis at 2.4 A resolution. *Nature* **395**, 347-353 (1998).

5. Hanson,P.I., Roth,R., Morisaki,H., Jahn,R., & Heuser,J.E. Structure and conformational changes in NSF and its membrane receptor complexes visualized by quick-freeze/deep-etch electron microscopy. *Cell* **90**, 523-535 (1997).

6. Fernandez-Chacon,R. *et al.* Synaptotagmin I functions as a calcium regulator of release probability. *Nature* **410**, 41-49 (2001).

7. Sutton,R.B., Davletov,B.A., Berghuis,A.M., Sudhof,T.C., & Sprang,S.R. Structure of the first C2 domain of synaptotagmin I: a novel Ca2+/phospholipid-binding fold. *Cell* **80**, 929-938 (1995).

8. Ubach,J., Zhang,X., Shao,X., Sudhof,T.C., & Rizo,J. Ca2+ binding to synaptotagmin: how many Ca2+ ions bind to the tip of a C2-domain? *EMBO J.* **17**, 3921-3930 (1998).

9. Fernandez,I. *et al.* Three-dimensional structure of the synaptotagmin 1 c(2)b-domain. Synaptotagmin 1 as a phospholipid binding machine. *Neuron* **32**, 1057-1069 (2001).

10. Mackler,J.M. & Reist,N.E. Mutations in the second C2 domain of synaptotagmin disrupt synaptic transmission at Drosophila neuromuscular junctions. *J. Comp Neurol.* **436**, 4-16 (2001).

11. Arac,D. *et al.* Close membrane-membrane proximity induced by Ca(2+)-dependent multivalent binding of synaptotagmin-1 to phospholipids. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **13**, 209-217 (2006).

12. Xue,M., Ma,C., Craig,T.K., Rosenmund,C., & Rizo,J. The Janus-faced nature of the C(2)B domain is fundamental for synaptotagmin-1 function. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**, 1160-1168 (2008).

13. Pang,Z.P., Shin,O.H., Meyer,A.C., Rosenmund,C., & Sudhof,T.C. A gain-of-function mutation in synaptotagmin-1 reveals a critical role of Ca2+-dependent soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor complex binding in synaptic exocytosis. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 12556-12565 (2006).

14. Tang,J. *et al.* A complexin/synaptotagmin 1 switch controls fast synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Cell* **126**, 1175-1187 (2006).

15. Schaub,J.R., Lu,X., Doneske,B., Shin,Y.K., & McNew,J.A. Hemifusion arrest by complexin is relieved by Ca2+-synaptotagmin I. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **13**, 748-750 (2006).

16. Giraudo,C.G., Eng,W.S., Melia,T.J., & Rothman,J.E. A clamping mechanism involved in SNARE-dependent exocytosis. *Science* **313**, 676-680 (2006).

17. Reim,K. *et al.* Complexins regulate a late step in Ca2+-dependent neurotransmitter release. *Cell* **104**, 71-81 (2001).

18. Huntwork,S. & Littleton,J.T. A complexin fusion clamp regulates spontaneous neurotransmitter release and synaptic growth. *Nat. Neurosci.* **10**, 1235-1237 (2007).

19. Maximov,A., Tang,J., Yang,X., Pang,Z.P., & Sudhof,T.C. Complexin controls the force transfer from SNARE complexes to membranes in fusion. *Science* **323**, 516-521 (2009).

20. Chen,X. *et al.* Three-dimensional structure of the complexin/SNARE complex. *Neuron* **33**, 397-409 (2002).

21. Xue,M. *et al.* Distinct domains of complexin I differentially regulate neurotransmitter release. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **14**, 949-958 (2007).

22. Trimbuch,T. *et al.* Re-examining how complexin inhibits neurotransmitter release. *elife.* **3**, e02391 (2014).

23. Ma,C., Su,L., Seven,A.B., Xu,Y., & Rizo,J. Reconstitution of the vital functions of Munc18 and Munc13 in neurotransmitter release. *Science* **339**, 421-425 (2013).

24. Rizo,J., Chen,X., & Arac,D. Unraveling the mechanisms of synaptotagmin and SNARE function in neurotransmitter release. *Trends Cell Biol.* **16**, 339-350 (2006).

25. Bennett,M.K., Calakos,N., & Scheller,R.H. Syntaxin: a synaptic protein implicated in docking of synaptic vesicles at presynaptic active zones. *Science* **257**, 255-259 (1992).

26. Li,C. *et al.* Ca(2+)-dependent and -independent activities of neural and non-neural synaptotagmins. *Nature* **375**, 594-599 (1995).

27. Gerona,R.R., Larsen,E.C., Kowalchyk,J.A., & Martin,T.F. The C terminus of SNAP25 is essential for Ca(2+)-dependent binding of synaptotagmin to SNARE complexes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 6328-6336 (2000).

28. Chapman,E.R., Hanson,P.I., An,S., & Jahn,R. Ca2+ regulates the interaction between synaptotagmin and syntaxin 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 23667-23671 (1995).

29. Zhang,X., Kim-Miller,M.J., Fukuda,M., Kowalchyk,J.A., & Martin,T.F. Ca2+-dependent synaptotagmin binding to SNAP-25 is essential for Ca2+-triggered exocytosis. *Neuron* **34**, 599-611 (2002).

30. Rickman,C. *et al.* Conserved prefusion protein assembly in regulated exocytosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **17**, 283-294 (2006).

31. Dai,H., Shen,N., Arac,D., & Rizo,J. A Quaternary SNARE-Synaptotagmin-Ca(2+)-Phospholipid Complex in Neurotransmitter Release. *J. Mol. Biol.* **367**, 848-863 (2007).

32. Lai,A.L., Huang,H., Herrick,D.Z., Epp,N., & Cafiso,D.S. Synaptotagmin 1 and SNAREs form a complex that is structurally heterogeneous. *J. Mol. Biol.* **405**, 696-706 (2011).

33. Choi,U.B. *et al.* Single-molecule FRET-derived model of the synaptotagmin 1-SNARE fusion complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17**, 318-324 (2010).

34. Zhou,A., Brewer,K.D., & Rizo,J. Analysis of SNARE complex/synaptotagmin-1 interactions by one-dimensional NMR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **52**, 3446-3456 (2013).

35. Xu,J., Brewer,K.D., Perez-Castillejos,R., & Rizo,J. Subtle Interplay between Synaptotagmin and Complexin Binding to the SNARE Complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* **425**, 3461-3475 (2013).

36. Ruschak,A.M. & Kay,L.E. Methyl groups as probes of supra-molecular structure, dynamics and function. *J. Biomol. NMR* **46**, 75-87 (2010).

37. Otting,G. Protein NMR using paramagnetic ions. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **39**, 387-405 (2010).

38. Zhang,Y. & Cremer,P.S. Interactions between macromolecules and ions: The Hofmeister series. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **10**, 658-663 (2006).

39. Richens,J.L., Lunt,E.A., Sanger,D., McKenzie,G., & O'Shea,P. Avoiding nonspecific interactions in studies of the plasma proteome: practical solutions to prevention of nonspecific interactions for label-free detection of low-abundance plasma proteins. *J. Proteome. Res.* **8**, 5103-5110 (2009).

40. de la,C.L. *et al.* Binding of low molecular weight inhibitors promotes large conformational changes in the dengue virus NS2B-NS3 protease: fold analysis by pseudocontact shifts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 19205-19215 (2011).

41. Graham,B. *et al.* DOTA-amide lanthanide tag for reliable generation of pseudocontact shifts in protein NMR spectra. *Bioconjug. Chem.* **22**, 2118-2125 (2011).

42. Schmitz,C. & Bonvin,A.M. Protein-protein HADDocking using exclusively pseudocontact shifts. *J. Biomol. NMR* **50**, 263-266 (2011).

43. Shishmarev,D. & Otting,G. How reliable are pseudocontact shifts induced in proteins and ligands by mobile paramagnetic metal tags? A modelling study. *J. Biomol. NMR* **56**, 203-216 (2013).

44. Camilloni,C., Robustelli,P., De,S.A., Cavalli,A., & Vendruscolo,M. Characterization of the conformational equilibrium between the two major substates of RNase A using NMR chemical shifts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 3968-3971 (2012).

45. De,S.A., Montalvao,R.W., & Vendruscolo,M. Determination of Conformational Equilibria in Proteins Using Residual Dipolar Couplings. *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.* **7**, 4189-4195 (2011).

46. Arac,D., Murphy,T., & Rizo,J. Facile detection of protein-protein interactions by one-dimensional NMR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **42**, 2774-2780 (2003).

47. Bai,J., Tucker,W.C., & Chapman,E.R. PIP2 increases the speed of response of synaptotagmin and steers its membrane-penetration activity toward the plasma membrane. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **11**, 36-44 (2004).

48. Radhakrishnan,A., Stein,A., Jahn,R., & Fasshauer,D. The Ca2+ affinity of synaptotagmin 1 is markedly increased by a specific interaction of its C2B domain with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 25749-25760 (2009).

49. Xu,J., Mashimo,T., & Sudhof,T.C. Synaptotagmin-1, -2, and -9: Ca(2+) sensors for fast release that specify distinct presynaptic properties in subsets of neurons. *Neuron* **54**, 567-581 (2007).

50. Xu,J., Pang,Z.P., Shin,O.H., & Sudhof,T.C. Synaptotagmin-1 functions as a Ca2+ sensor for spontaneous release. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 759-766 (2009).

51. Mittermaier,A.K. & Kay,L.E. Observing biological dynamics at atomic resolution using NMR. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **34**, 601-611 (2009).

52. Kim,J.Y. *et al.* Solution single-vesicle assay reveals PIP2-mediated sequential actions of synaptotagmin-1 on SNAREs. *EMBO J.* **31**, 2144-2155 (2012).

53. Rickman,C. *et al.* Synaptotagmin interaction with the syntaxin/SNAP-25 dimer is mediated by an evolutionarily conserved motif and is sensitive to inositol hexakisphosphate. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 12574-12579 (2004).

54. Malsam,J. *et al.* Complexin arrests a pool of docked vesicles for fast Ca2+-dependent release. *EMBO J.* **31**, 3270-3281 (2012).

55. Seven,A.B., Brewer,K.D., Shi,L., Jiang,Q.X., & Rizo,J. Prevalent mechanism of membrane bridging by synaptotagmin-1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **110**, E3243-E3252 (2013).

56. Shin,O.H., Xu,J., Rizo,J., & Sudhof,T.C. Differential but convergent functions of Ca2+ binding to synaptotagmin-1 C2 domains mediate neurotransmitter release. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* **106**, 16469-16474 (2009).

57. Lee,J., Guan,Z., Akbergenova,Y., & Littleton,J.T. Genetic analysis of synaptotagmin C2 domain specificity in regulating spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 187-200 (2013).

58. Lynch,K.L. *et al.* Synaptotagmin C2A loop 2 mediates Ca2+-dependent SNARE interactions essential for Ca2+-triggered vesicle exocytosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **18**, 4957-4968 (2007).

59. Delaglio,F. *et al.* Nmrpipe - A Multidimensional Spectral Processing System Based on Unix Pipes. *Journal of Biomolecular Nmr* **6**, 277-293 (1995).

60. Johnson,B.A. & Blevins,R.A. Nmr View - A Computer-Program for the Visualization and Analysis of Nmr Data. *Journal of Biomolecular Nmr* **4**, 603-614 (1994).

61. Maximov,A., Pang,Z.P., Tervo,D.G., & Sudhof,T.C. Monitoring synaptic transmission in primary neuronal cultures using local extracellular stimulation. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **161**, 75-87 (2007).

62. Pang,Z.P., Cao,P., Xu,W., & Sudhof,T.C. Calmodulin controls synaptic strength via presynaptic activation of calmodulin kinase II. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 4132-4142 (2010).