Retinal Venular Caliber Predicts Visual Outcome after Intravitreal Ranibizumab Injection Treatments for Neovascular AMD
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PURPOSE. To examine whether baseline retinal vascular caliber predicts visual response to intravitreal ranibizumab injections in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

METHODS. In this prospective cohort study, patients with neovascular AMD received three monthly intravitreal injections of ranibizumab, followed by as needed dosing up to 1 year. Retinal vascular caliber was measured from digital fundus photographs at baseline and summarized as central retinal artery equivalent (CRAE) and venular equivalent (CRVE), representing average caliber of arterioles and venules, respectively. Visual outcome at 12 months was assessed and the relation to baseline retinal vascular caliber was determined.

RESULTS. A total of 88 eyes were analyzed at baseline. After accounting for age, sex, size of choroidal neovascularization, and number of injections, patients who deteriorated in visual acuity at 12 months had significantly larger baseline CRVE, 142.92 (95% CI, 140.67–159.57), compared with those who were stable, 205.79 (95% CI, 202.81–208.81), or gaining vision, 281.22 (95% CI, 278.33–284.11). Baseline CRAE did not differ significantly from eyes whose vision deteriorated, 150.12 (95% CI, 147.10–153.14), compared with those who were stable, 143.64 (95% CI, 141.64–145.65), or gaining vision, 142.92 (95% CI, 139.71–146.13); P = 0.69.

CONCLUSIONS. In eyes with neovascular AMD treated with intravitreal ranibizumab, larger baseline retinal venular caliber was significantly associated with a poorer response to treatment, possibly reflecting increased disease severity. (Invest Ophthalmo-vir Vis Sci. 2012;53:37–41) DOI:10.1167/iovs.11-7689

Antivascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agents have significantly improved the treatment of individuals with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD).1,2 In neovascular AMD, choroidal neovascularization (CNV) has been associated with ischemic changes in the choroid, with increasing levels of ischemia correlating with severity of AMD.3,4 as well as an overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor VEGF.5 Ranibizumab (Lucentis; Genentech, Inc., San Francisco, CA) is a recombinant, humanized, monoclonal antibody that neutralizes all isoforms of vascular endothelial growth factor-A, a key mediator of the neovascular process.3–7 The ANCHOR1 and MARINA2 studies demonstrated that ranibizumab was an effective treatment for neovascular AMD, and vision remained stable in approximately 90% and improved in approximately one third of treated patients. However, approximately 10% of treated patients continued to lose vision.1,2 Furthermore, trials assessing the efficacy of variable dosing regimens have generally shown a less favorable response, in terms of vision improvement compared with a monthly dosing regimen.8,9

Currently, it is unclear what factors predict response to treatment and identifying predictive factors would allow more precise methods to monitor, treat, and provide prognostic information to patients needing anti-VEGF treatment. Retinal vascular caliber is an indirect indicator of ocular blood flow that can be measured from fundus photographs.10 Changes in retinal vascular caliber have been linked with systemic and ocular diseases, and may reflect changes in retinal blood flow, inflammation, ischemia, and endothelial function.11,12 Thus, measurement of retinal vascular caliber may provide a marker of underlying disease severity in patients with neovascular AMD and may increase our understanding of which factors influence visual outcome in patients needing anti-VEGF treatment.

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between baseline retinal vascular caliber and visual response at 12 months, in eyes receiving anti-VEGF treatment by ranibizumab for neovascular AMD.

METHODS

Study Population

This study was based on data from a prospective study evaluating the predictors of outcome of intravitreal ranibizumab on patients with CNV secondary to AMD. The study was approved by the research and ethics committee of the Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients were recruited consecutively (January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008) from the retina clinics of the Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, a tertiary eye care hospital in Melbourne, Australia. The inclusion criteria for this study were eyes with subfoveal/juxtafoveal CNV secondary to AMD that were deemed suitable for treatment with an anti-VEGF drug. There were no visual acuity exclusions, although
the optical media needed to be clear enough to obtain sufficient quality photographs in the study eye.

**Study Eyes**

Over the 12-month recruitment period, all treatment naïve eyes with neovascular AMD were considered for inclusion, unless media opacity or mobility issues prevented adequate fundus visualization and in the view of the examiner would prevent adequate fundus photography.

**Measurement of Retinal Vascular Caliber**

All participants had fundus photography performed using a standardized protocol according to the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. An optic disc-centered photograph was taken of each eye at baseline and at three monthly intervals in all participants (Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study [ETDRS], Field 1). All photographs were taken before any treatment (TRC50 EX fundus camera; Topcon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). At baseline, photographs were taken within 48 hours of the first treatment. For each subsequent visit, photographs were taken before any treatment given, if required.

Retinal vascular caliber was measured by a masked grader, using a computer-based program (IVAN, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI), according to an established protocol. In all cases due to the disc centration of photographs, the macula status was not visible to the grader. For each photograph (Fig. 1), all arterioles and venules coursing through an area one-half to one-disc diameter from the optic disc margin were measured and vessel calibers of the largest six were summarized as the central retinal artery equivalent (CRAE) and the central retinal vein equivalent (CRVE) using formulas developed by Hubbard et al. and later modified by Knudsson et al. These equivalents are projected calibers for the central retinal artery and vein. Intragrader reproducibility of retinal vascular measurements for their study was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficients for CRAE and CRVE were both >0.98).

**Visual Acuity**

Visual acuity was measured at baseline and at 12 months by examiners masked to vessel measurements and to the treatments administered.

**RESULTS**

Eighty-eight eyes had gradable photographs at baseline and 74 had photographs and information on visual acuity available at 12 months. At baseline, the majority of patients were females.
TABLE 2. Characteristics of Eyes Treated with Intravitreal Ranibizumab Injections for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration over the 12-Month Study Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 Months</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>9 Months</th>
<th>12 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision (LogMAR)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT (D)</td>
<td>332 (95)</td>
<td>250 (61)</td>
<td>247 (75)</td>
<td>244 (78)</td>
<td>237 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual fluid, %</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of injections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAE (D)</td>
<td>146.03 (14.32)</td>
<td>147.27 (14.47)</td>
<td>147.43 (15.08)</td>
<td>145.99 (15.24)</td>
<td>146.36 (14.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRVE (D)</td>
<td>220.18 (25.77)</td>
<td>221.44 (25.31)</td>
<td>222.89 (25.35)</td>
<td>224.36 (24.05)</td>
<td>225.12 (21.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of injections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual fluid, %</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT at baseline, D</td>
<td>314.48 (74.92)</td>
<td>322.92 (104.31)</td>
<td>355.94 (77.37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRVE at baseline, D</td>
<td>223.96 (26.44)</td>
<td>219.04 (26.44)</td>
<td>245.02 (31.26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of injections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length, mm</td>
<td>25.26 (0.66)</td>
<td>23.24 (0.83)</td>
<td>22.99 (0.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical equivalent, D</td>
<td>−0.08 (1.12)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.88)</td>
<td>−0.38 (2.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean (SD) unless otherwise noted. Residual fluid indicates percentage of eyes with residual intraretinal or subretinal fluid based on qualitative assessment of optical coherence tomography scan, not applicable (N/A).

(64.2%) and the mean age was 79.1 years (SD 7.9). Most lesions were classified as nonpredominantly classic (62.9%) and were generally 2 disc diameters or less in size (71.3%).

Table 1 shows the visual acuity and CMT changes through the 12-month follow-up period as well as the number of treatments given at each time point.

Despite the group level results (represented by mean scores) indicating no significant difference in visual acuity between baseline and final follow-up, a two-line change in visual acuity was classified as nonpredominantly classic (62.9%) and the mean age was 79.1 years (SD 7.9). Most lesions were classified as nonpredominantly classic (62.9%) and the mean age was 79.1 years (SD 7.9). Most lesions were classified as nonpredominantly classic (62.9%) and the mean age was 79.1 years (SD 7.9). Most lesions were classified as nonpredominantly classic (62.9%) and the mean age was 79.1 years (SD 7.9).

Patient Characteristics Affecting Vision at 12 Months

The associations between patient/eye characteristics potentially influencing visual outcome at 12 months are summarized in Table 2. Sex, size of CNV lesion, and baseline CRVE were significantly associated with visual acuity outcome at 12 months (P < 0.05). Borderline associations were seen with baseline CMT, number of treatments given through to 12 months, and age of patient (P < 0.10). Eyes that deteriorated were found more frequently among males (56%) and had higher frequency of large CNV lesions at baseline (53%). After controlling for age, sex, and lesion size, baseline CMT, as well as the number of treatments given, eyes that deteriorated had larger average venular caliber, 243.10 μm (95% CI, 227.01–259.19), than that of those who were stable, 214.30 μm (95% CI, 205.79–222.81), and those who improved, 215.26 μm (95% CI, 204.69–225.84; P = 0.007). Arteriolar caliber was not associated with visual outcome, with no significant difference between eyes losing vision, 150.12 μm (95% CI, 140.67–159.57), compared with that of those remaining stable, 143.64 μm (95% CI, 138.64–148.63) or gaining vision, 142.92 μm (95% CI, 136.71–149.13; P = 0.69). No correlation between the baseline CMT and baseline CRVE (r² = 0.01, P = 0.33) or CRAE (r² = 0.01, P = 0.36) was noted. Similarly, CMT change
from baseline to 12 months was not associated with either CRVE change ($r^2 = 0.03, P = 0.20$) or CRAE change ($r^2 = 0.03, P = 0.18$). With respect to the treatment frequency, the mean number (range) of injections was 6.3 (3–15). In all, 19 eyes had four or fewer injections by 12 months. Of these the majority (11/19) were considered as “fluid-free” after the three injections and were found to have either improved or stable vision by 12 months, compared with baseline. A further 5 eyes were “fluid-free” after three injections and had no recurrence of intraretinal or subretinal fluid through 12 months. These eyes, however, lost vision due to progression of atrophy or subretinal fibrosis and were, in the opinion of the treating ophthalmologist, unlikely to benefit from further treatment. The final 3 eyes had recalcitrant fluid through the 12-month follow-up and continued to lose vision despite the initial “loading dose” injections. These eyes were found to have significant subretinal fibrosis and further treatment was also considered as unlikely to influence vision.

Table 3 shows a GEE model assessing the combined effects of patient/eye characteristics and their influence on visual outcome at 12 months. Characteristics found to have marginally significant associations with the outcome were also initially included in the model because their addition changed the regression coefficients by >5%. By combining CNV size and type, however, the regression model produced singularity, possibly due to insufficient cell numbers. Consequently, only CNV size was included in the model, given that it had the stronger initial association with the outcome.

After adjusting for age, sex, baseline CMT, and number of injections received, only CNV size and baseline CRVE were able to differentiate those whose vision deteriorated at 12 months from those who stayed stable or improved. Eyes that had larger CRVE at baseline and larger CNV lesions had significantly lower odds of their vision improving or staying the same at 12-month follow-up.

With respect to the 14 eyes without images at 12 months, the reasons for lack of data included: failure of attendance or transfer to other ophthalmologists (11 eyes of 11 patients) and death of patients (3 eyes of 3 patients).

No significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were observed in age (78.5 vs. 79.4 years), sex (64.9% vs. 60.6% females), baseline vision (0.74 vs. 0.73), baseline CRAE (144.6 μm vs. 147.8 μm), CRVE (218.8 μm vs. 221.9 μm), or CMT (325 μm vs. 348 μm) between the study completers and noncompleters.

**DISCUSSION**

In this prospective study in eyes with active neovascular AMD treated with intravitreal ranibizumab injections, we found that baseline retinal venular caliber was a significant predictor of visual outcome at 12 months.

There are few studies that investigate the effect of ranibizumab on retinal vasculature. These studies were of small sample size (11 eyes of 11 patients), and found a decrease in retinal arteriolar diameter at both the 3-month time interval and 1 year after the initiation of treatment. No assessment was made of retinal venular caliber or any potential influence on visual outcome.

Factors such as patient age, sex, size of CNV, and baseline vision have all previously been shown to influence visual outcome in patients with neovascular AMD. In addition to these factors, we found that patients who lost two or more lines of vision at 12 months had significantly larger venular diameters at baseline compared with those who were stable or those who had significant visual improvement. This finding remained significant even after adjusting for age and sex (both of which have previously been shown to affect retinal vascular caliber) as well as CNV size, baseline CMT, and number of injections given during the 12-month follow-up.

The specific underlying mechanism for our observations is unclear, but it is possible that the degree of retinal venular dilatation at diagnosis of CNV may be a marker of disease activity. In keeping with this hypothesis, retinal venular dilatation has been described as a predictive factor in the development of cardiovascular diseases such as stroke and coronary heart disease, independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Additionally, retinal venular widening has been demonstrated in primates injected with vascular endothelial growth factor. As such, our observations of widening of retinal venules and poorer outcome of treatment with anti-VEGF treatment are interesting and may represent either more severe disease at baseline, with increased VEGF levels in the eye or, alternatively, a marker of end-stage disease, with neural disorganization or fibrosis, predicting poorer response to anti-VEGF therapy.

A weakness of our study was that only 80% of images were available at baseline at 12 months. We contend, however, that this is typical for this demographic of patients and no additional interventions were made (such as cataract surgery) purely to improve the data set. No significant differences were seen in CRAE, CRVE, and CMT in study eyes between those included in the analysis and those excluded at baseline. Accordingly, we feel that the general results were not substantially compromised. Another potential weakness of our study is the lack of standardized treatment protocol, with “as required” dosing allowed to maintain a “fluid-free” macula, rather than monthly dosing as seen in the pivotal randomized controlled trial.
trials. It is possible that monthly treatment may have influenced outcome, leading to better vision at 12 months. Finally, although the spherical equivalent of our patients did not differ significantly with respect to vision change at 12 months, it is possible that the axial magnification error due to refractive error may have influenced our results, although using a correction for refractive error based on the fundus camera (Zeiss \(1 - 0.016\) \(\times\) spherical equivalent),\(^{28}\) our results were unchanged, such that after controlling for age, sex, CNV size, baseline CMT, and number of injections, eyes that had significant deterioration in vision at 12 months still had a larger CRVE (234.44 \(\mu\)m; 95% CI, 218.32–250.56), compared with that of eyes that were stable (210.27 \(\mu\)m; 95% CI, 200.94–219.60) and those that improved (214.73 \(\mu\)m; 95% CI, 204.88–224.56; \(P = 0.04\)). This is consistent with our earlier work, indicating that the results are not affected by a potential bias due to axial magnification errors.

In summary, our prospective study shows that in eyes with neovascular AMD, baseline retinal venular caliber is an independent predictor of visual response at 12 months and may be related to the severity of disease.
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