

What do we know about the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia?

A systematic literature review

Sarah Whalan,¹ Sara Farnbach,² Lena Volk,² Josephine Gwynn,³ Mark Lock,⁴ Kathy Trieu,² Julie Brimblecombe,¹ Jacqui Webster²

Despite health outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples having improved in a number of key areas in recent years, these first Australians continue to experience greater health disadvantage.¹ In particular Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples suffer a disproportionately high burden of non-communicable diseases, with high rates of cardiovascular disease, diabetes and cancer.² Nutrition is an important determinant in the development and progression of these conditions, with dietary factors accounting for almost 10% of the total burden of disease.³

The colonisation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples saw a gradual shift from a traditional, varied and nutrient-dense diet, high in fibre and low in fat and refined carbohydrates, to an energy-dense westernised diet, high in fat and refined sugars.⁴⁻⁶ The changes in lifestyle have been problematic for many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Current inadequacies in nutritional intake are caused through a range of socioeconomic, environmental and geographic factors that influence the availability of healthy and affordable food.⁷ Food and beverage items from remote community stores, regional and urban supermarkets and fast food outlets have replaced traditional foods almost completely in many contexts, and are made up of a high proportion of energy-dense, nutrient-poor (EDNP) items.^{6,8,9}

Abstract

Objective: To provide an overview of published research on the dietary intake of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

Methods: Peer-reviewed literature from 1990 to October 2016 was searched to identify studies that measured the dietary intake of Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations. Study quality was assessed using a purposely devised quality appraisal tool. Meta-analysis was not possible due to the heterogeneity in dietary intake assessment methods. A narrative synthesis of study findings, where key themes were compared and contrasted was completed.

Results: Twenty-five articles from twenty studies with outcome measures related to dietary intake were included. Dietary intake was assessed by electronic store sales, store turnover method, 24-hour dietary recall, food frequency questionnaire and short questions. Consistent findings were low reported intakes of fruit and vegetables and high intakes of total sugar and energy-dense, nutrient-poor food and beverages.

Conclusions: While differences between studies and study quality limit the generalisability of the findings, most studies suggest that the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are inadequate.

Implications for public health: A more concerted approach to understanding dietary patterns of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples is required to inform policy and practice to improve diet and nutrition.

Key words: Aboriginal health, dietary intake, nutrition assessment

Government policy responses to date, which aim to specifically address the underlying determinants of poor nutrition such as food security, socioeconomic status and household infrastructure,⁷ have never been fully implemented¹⁰ and have been widely critiqued in relation to their limitations in addressing nutritional inequalities.^{11,12} Community-led programs to improve the food environment have the potential to

benefit health but need to be scaled up to optimise impact.¹³

Accurate, quantitative dietary intake data are required to plan and evaluate both national policies and community-led intervention programs.⁶ However, there are limitations in accurately assessing dietary intake⁶ and there are additional methodological issues associated with measuring dietary intake in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander

1. Menzies School of Health Research, Northern Territory

2. The George Institute for Global Health, New South Wales

3. Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, New South Wales

4. School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales

Correspondence to: Mrs. Sarah Whalan, Menzies School of Health Research, John Mathews Building (Bldg 58), Royal Darwin Hospital Campus, Rocklands Drv, Casuarina, Northern Territory 0810; e-mail: sarah.whalan@menzies.edu.au

Submitted: March 2017; Revision requested: June 2017; Accepted: July 2017

The authors have stated they have no conflict of interest.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Aust NZ J Public Health. 2017; 41:579-84; doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.12721

populations.^{6,7,14} The most recent National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (NATSINPAS) 2012-13 is the only nationally representative study reporting on remote and non-remote areas across Australia, but it wasn't designed to accurately capture dietary patterns of different regions or groups.¹⁵ Without high quality data, it is impossible to understand where best to intervene to achieve dietary improvement and measure the impact of government policy on diet and nutrition.¹⁶

The objective of this review is to provide an overview of the published research on the dietary intake of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia. This is with a view to identifying what further studies are needed to ensure that policies to improve the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander groups are based on robust, culturally appropriate assessments of current dietary patterns.

Methods

Search strategy

The review methodology was registered with PROSPERO (ID number CRD42016032683). A

three-step strategy was employed to identify peer-reviewed literature published in English from 1990 to October 2016.

1) Electronic databases were searched: PubMed, HealthInfoNet and PsycInfo. Search terms included: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander, Australia and dietary intake. Key words used in combination were: Indigen* OR Aborigin* OR Torres Strait Islander AND Australia* AND diet* OR nutrit* OR food consum* OR eat* NOT virus OR bacteria OR infect* NOT genom* NOT plant* OR tree*. The search results were imported into Endnote (Thomson Reuters) where duplicate records were removed.

2) Titles and abstracts were assessed by two independent reviewers (SW and SF) against the inclusion criteria. To be included, studies needed to focus on Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia, of any age and living in any region of Australia, and include a baseline measurement of dietary intake.

3) Where eligibility was unclear, studies were further discussed or a third independent reviewer (JB) was consulted. Electronic searches were supplemented by manual cross checking of the reference lists of publications.

Data extraction

Data were extracted using a standardised table designed and tested for this review including: 1) population characteristics; 2) sample size; 3) study design; 4) measurement method; 5) primary outcome measure; and 6) main findings.

Quality assessment

Study quality was assessed using a purposely devised quality appraisal tool (Supplementary Table 1) developed from two existing tools.^{17,18} Additional domains added related to the involvement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the design and implementation of the studies.¹⁹ One reviewer (SW) had primary responsibility for quality assessment. For the first three studies, two reviewers (SW and SF) jointly completed quality assessment and the remaining extraction was completed by the first reviewer and checked by the second reviewer. Disagreements were resolved through discussion or a third independent reviewer (JB) was consulted until consensus was reached.

Results


Search results

The search strategy identified 129 articles (Figure 1). Following elimination of duplicates, initial assessment of titles and abstracts, and evaluation of retrieved articles against the inclusion criteria, twenty-five articles from twenty studies were identified for quality assessment and included in the review. Included studies were conducted between 1991 and 2016, but most studies were conducted in the early 1990s (n=5 studies) or after 2007 (n=14).

Description of studies

Twenty independent studies were included in the analysis but there was a total of twenty-five articles, as several used the same raw data but analysed for different purposes.^{9,20-25} The most common dietary intake assessment methods used were: electronic store sales data (n=6 studies) and store turnover method (n=3) to measure population-level intake; and 24-hour dietary recalls (n=4), food frequency questionnaire (n=4) and short questions (n=3) to measure individual intake. Fifteen were observational studies and five were intervention studies (Supplementary Table 2).

Figure 1: Flow diagram of article identification retrieval and inclusion for the systematic review.



Location and study population

Most studies were conducted in a remote setting (n=12 studies), with less in rural (n=4) or urban settings (n=4).²⁶ The studies were located in the Northern Territory (NT), n=5 studies; Western Australia (WA), n=4; New South Wales (NSW), n=4; Queensland (QLD), n=2; South Australia (SA) n=2; and Victoria (VIC), n=2. One study included three states and one territory²⁷ (Supplementary Figure 1). For population estimates using electronic store sales and the store turnover method, participant numbers ranged from one to six stores servicing approximately 149 to 5,000 residents. In studies assessing self-reported intake at an individual level, participant numbers ranged from 25 to 2,524 participants.

Quality assessment

Studies were most likely to be rated as low quality based on validity of dietary assessment measure (n=8 studies), participation rate (n=8) and representativeness of the study sample (n=5). 'Involvement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples throughout the study process' was not reported in nine out of 20 studies. Of the 10 studies that used a food composition table to link foods to nutrient

intakes, five studies did not report having a second person check over the food-nutrient linking (Table 1).

Dietary intake

All studies reported on several outcomes including: nutrient profile relative to requirement (n=12 articles), major food sources of nutrients (n=7), intake of fruit and vegetables (n=7) and traditional foods (n=2). Estimated per capita energy intakes varied widely depending on study type, sample population and location. Population measures ranged from 9,608kJ/person/day using electronic store sales data collected from stores and purchasing data collected for other food outlets and services in three communities in the NT from 2010 to 2011^{24,25} to 14,720kJ/person/day from electronic store sales data in five community stores in SA in 2012.¹³ Estimates from dietary recall ranged from 7,570kJ/person/day for children in three urban communities in NSW from 2008 to 2009²⁸ to 8,353.5kJ/person/day in girls and 9,689.2kJ/person/day in boys aged 10–12 years residing in three disadvantaged rural communities in NSW in 2012.⁹ The recent National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey 2012–13 (NATSINPAS) estimated average energy

intake as 7,261kJ/person/day for females and 9,175kJ/person/day for males.¹⁵

The recent studies in SA and NT using electronic store sales data showed protein, carbohydrate and fat (including saturated fat) were in or almost within the recommended ranges.^{13,24,25,27} In contrast, these three studies showed that total sugar provided 22–33.4% of total energy intake,^{13,24,25,27} which is two to three times that recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO).²⁹ The two NT studies^{24,25,27} that used electronic store sales data also showed sodium intakes greatly exceeded recommendations,³⁰ while calcium, magnesium, potassium and fibre fell below the population recommended levels.³⁰ Similarly, the children's dietary survey in rural NSW showed 74% of participants exceeded the upper limit for sodium, while a high proportion of participants did not meet the adequate intake for dietary fibre (77%), potassium (62%) or calcium (65%).⁹ The NATSINPAS also reported that, on average, the estimated sugar intake provided 21% of total energy intake and sodium intake was 2,379mg,¹⁵ which exceeds recommended limits.³⁰ Likewise, the estimated dietary fibre intake of 18 grams and calcium intake of 734mg (males) and 611 mg (females)¹⁵ was below recommendations.³⁰

Table 1: Quality assessment of studies, summarised.

Quality criteria	Ashman A, et al 2016	Wycherley T, et al 2016 & Brimblecombe J, et al 2013	Lee A, et al 2015	Russell S, et al 2015	Scelza B, et al 2014	Black A, et al 2013	Maxwell S, et al 2013	Brimblecombe J, et al 2012	Chun Yu Louise J, et al 2012 & Gwynn J, et al 2012	D'Onise K, et al 2012 & LIM, et al 2012 & McDermott R, et al 2009	Valery P, et al 2012	Butler R, et al 2011	Hamada A, et al 2011	Hodge A, et al 2011	Rowley K, et al 2001	Lee A, et al 1995 & Lee A, Bailey A, et al 1994	Lee A, O'Dea K, et al 1994	Rowse T, et al 1994	Guest C, et al 1993	Wahlqvist M, et al 1991
1. Inclusion and involvement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people throughout study process	L	U	L	L	U	L	U	U	L	L	L	L	U	L	L	U	U	U	U	U
2. Sample is representative of the underlying population	H	L	L	L	L	L	H	L	L	L	H	L	H	L	L	L	L	L	L	H
3. Participation rate is greater than 50% or attempt to quantify characteristics of non-responders	H	L	L	H	U	L	U	L	H	H	H	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	U	H
4. Reliable and valid dietary assessment measures used	L	L	L	H	H	L	H	L	L	U	L	H	H	H	L	L	L	L	H	H
5. Meets criteria for quality of the dietary assessment measure	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	H	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
6. Appropriate food composition tables used and second person has checked linking of foods	L*	L	L*	NA	NA	L	NA	L	L*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	H*	U	L*	NA	NA	H
7. Results appear in enough detail to permit checking for accuracy	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
8. Study limitations have been commented on and taken into consideration in results	H	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	H	L	L	L	L	L	H	L	H	L	H

Each item was rated as L=low bias, H=high bias, U=unclear, NA= not applicable

*Second person not checking linking of foods

The main contributors to dietary intake were similar both between the studies and over time. Two NT studies in the 1990s that used the store turnover method of dietary assessment identified white sugar, meat and meat products, white flour and bread as the four main foods contributing to available energy.^{6,31,32} More recent studies in SA¹³ and NT^{24,25,27} using electronic store sales data also found that white sugar, meat and meat products, and bread were the primary contributors to energy. A striking finding from these recent studies was the high expenditure on beverages and corresponding high intake of sugar-sweetened beverages.^{13,24,25,27} The intake of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods and beverages also appears to be high in children and youth, contributing as much as 40–50% of total energy intake.^{9,33} The NATSINPAS also reported a high intake of these foods where just over two-fifths (41%) of total daily energy intake was from discretionary foods and beverages, with almost two in five (37%) people reporting daily consumption of soft drinks and flavoured mineral water.¹⁵

All four individual-level dietary assessment studies reported that few participants were meeting the recommended two serves of fruit and five serves of vegetables per day.^{21–23,28,33,34} Similarly, the NATSINPAS¹⁵ showed that just over half (54%) of the participants met the recommended serves of fruit and only one in 12 (8%) participants met the recommended number of serves of vegetables per day.³⁰ Two studies (one in a remote community in WA and the other in three remote communities in the NT) both reported that fruit and vegetables made up the smallest portions of food and beverage purchasing in community stores, while beverages, particularly soft drink and juice, made up the largest percentage of money spent.^{24,25,35}

Only two studies provided data on the intake of traditional foods. One study in rural Southern Gumbaynggir Country, NSW, found 96% of the households surveyed regularly consumed food resources from the Nambucca River Estuary, particularly during periods of financial hardship.³⁶ An ethnographic survey undertaken in a remote community in WA in 2006 found 22.8% of households had at least one member participating in a hunt each day.³⁵ Traditional foods were not a feature of rural children's diet in the study of 10–12 year olds in NSW.⁹

Discussion

This is the first comprehensive overview of the evidence about the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander groups in Australia. The relatively low number of studies and varying quality means it is not possible to use the findings to make generalisations about the diets of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia other than those provided from the NATSINPAS. However, a number of important observations can be made to help inform future policy development.

Study populations

The studies were conducted in a variety of locations across Australia. Most studies have been undertaken in remote environments. Few studies have assessed dietary intake among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in urban areas despite one-third (233,100 people) of the total Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population in Australia living in this setting.³⁷ As a consequence, the wide variety of dietary practices of the different cultural groups that make up Indigenous Australia may not have been captured.

Dietary assessment methods

Around half of the studies included used electronic store sales data or store turnover method to assess population intake. Previous research has shown that these methods have less potential for bias compared to the weighed food record, 24-hour dietary recall, food frequency questionnaire and diet history, and are more acceptable to community members.^{27,38} In a rural or remote context the community store is a good setting from which to obtain a 'community dietary quality profile' or monitor the impact of dietary interventions,^{6,27} as this is where the majority of food is purchased.^{24,25} However, these approaches yield average per capita consumption estimates rather than taking into account differences relating to gender or age, or other variations of dietary intake patterns.³⁹

While dietary assessment methods such as 24-hour dietary recalls and food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) are much more useful for assessing variation between individuals, they also have their limitations. With self-reported data obtained from either 24-hour dietary recall or a FFQ, participants tend to under- or over-report their food intake.³⁹

While the FFQ can be used to assess dietary intake over periods of more than 24 hours, the development of an appropriate list of food items is crucial to the validity of this method and participants can have difficulty remembering their frequency of consumption of different foods and beverages.³⁹ These methods are further limited when used in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population.³⁸ Accurate assessments of diet require 24-hour recalls to be repeated several times. However, the resources required to do this, particularly in remote areas, means it is often not practical. While the recent NATSINPAS used a multiple-pass 24-hour dietary recall, it was decided that repeat surveys would not be performed in remote locations due to the costs involved.¹⁵ In such cases, a trade-off between accuracy and practicality often has to be made to ensure that adequate data is obtained in the most cost-effective way.

Quality assessment

The reliability and validity of dietary assessment methods, representativeness of the study population, and lack of comment on the inclusion and involvement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples throughout the study process were the main issues relating to study quality.

Several of the studies used assessment methods not specifically validated for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations. Validation studies are not always feasible as they tend to be large and costly. However, studies should acknowledge the limitations of the dietary assessment used and state in which populations the tool they are using has been validated. There have been only a small number of tools validated for the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population, including the store turnover method³² and a food frequency questionnaire.⁴⁰ Ideally, studies should draw from existing validated tools, or questionnaires should be adapted or modified to suit the population being sampled.

None of the identified studies were based on a nationally representative sample of the population, other than the NATSINPAS. That said, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander groups are not all the same and there is sometimes a trade-off between aiming for representative population-wide samples and obtaining accurate data on specific groups. More often the studies in

this review aimed to assess dietary intake in a particular community or a specific target population, or to assess the impact of a nutrition intervention on the participants involved in a study. In such cases, it is important not to generalise the results from the sample to the whole Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population. With the recent NATSINPAS, some discrete Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities with a small number of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander households were excluded in order to manage enumeration costs. The final sample was weighted to population benchmarks to account for these exclusions.¹⁵ This national level survey provides us with estimates at the population level, but it is difficult to generalise across different contexts such as very remote vs. remote, therefore it is important to have both nationally representative surveys and targeted studies to get more in-depth information.

The quality of research about Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples can be improved through the participation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the design and implementation of the research programs.⁴¹ Only half of the studies included in this review stated if or how Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples were involved in the study design or throughout the study process. While word limits for peer-review journals limit what can be reported, it would be helpful for community engagement processes to be better described to facilitate quality assessment of future studies.

Dietary intake

Overall, these studies suggest a diet of generally poor quality for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. In particular, total sugar intake has been remarkably high since the early 1990s, while fruit and vegetable intake is well below the recommendations. These findings are consistent with the recent National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey, which identified that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders in general consume too little of the five major food groups and too much sugar and other discretionary foods.¹⁵

Total sugar intake as a contribution to energy intake in studies included in this review consistently exceeded the WHO recommendation of $\leq 10\%$ of total energy intake²⁹ by up to three times.^{6,9,13,24,25,27,32}

Total sugar intake was also high at an average of 19.5% of total energy intake in the non-Indigenous population in the Australian National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (NNPAS) 2011-12.⁴² Total sugar intake was found to be higher in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population living in non-remote areas in the NATSINPAS¹⁵ compared to remote areas. Approximately two-thirds (67%) of all free sugars consumed by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples came from beverages including sugars added to beverages, i.e. tea and coffee, alcoholic beverages and milk beverages.¹⁵ This is consistent with results from studies using the store turnover method and electronic store sales data to estimate diet, which identified high expenditure on beverages and corresponding high intake of sugar-sweetened beverages.^{13,24,25,27,35}

In contrast, few Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander participants met the recommended two serves of fruit or five serves of vegetables a day.^{21-23,28,33,34} According to the NNPAS, a lower proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults 19 years and older met the recommendations for vegetable intake compared with non-Indigenous adults (4.4% compared with 6.8%).⁴² The proportions of participants meeting the recommendations for fruit intake were identical between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults and non-Indigenous adults (54% for both).⁴² The intake of fruit and vegetables was consistently low in studies undertaken in all regions: urban, rural and remote. The NATSINPAS found Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples living in remote areas were less likely than those in non-remote areas to have consumed fruit products and dishes (35% compared with 49%) or vegetable products and dishes (55% compared with 67%).¹⁵ In some very remote places, everyday access to affordable and quality fruit and vegetables is variable.⁴³ Remote store products were reported to be 60% more expensive than Darwin supermarket prices and 68% more expensive than Adelaide supermarket prices in a cross-sectional survey.⁴³

Energy-dense, nutrient poor foods tend to be convenient and easily accessed, and provide the cheapest options to satisfy hunger,⁴⁴ particularly in a remote context, while healthy foods can be in limited supply and at relatively high costs.⁴³ This energy-cost differential helps explain the

persistently poor dietary patterns reported in this population.⁴³ Despite this, research suggests that community dietary patterns can be improved through improved food supply and stock management in community stores.^{45,46} Consequently, the focus of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander nutrition-based initiatives has broadened to include improving food quality and access to healthy food in remote communities,^{45,46} rather than a sole focus on nutrition education.

Strengths and limitations of study

A limitation of this review was that it excluded grey literature that did not meet peer-review standards and academic publication quality. However, key policy documents, in particular the most recent NATSINPAS, have been considered throughout. While the search strategy was limited to three main databases, additional cross-checking was performed with the reference lists of studies included in this review; therefore, it is unlikely that studies have been missed from this review. Limited reporting of community engagement methods in the studies also meant it was challenging to assess the quality of the studies in relation to this domain. It would be helpful if future studies could more clearly identify such processes.

Conclusion

This is the first systematic review to collate and critique the quality of available data on the dietary intake of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia, and it has highlighted the varying quality of studies and limited generalisability of sample populations. Although caution is advised in interpreting the outcomes of these studies, consistent findings were low reported intakes of fruit and vegetables and high intakes of total sugar and energy-dense, -nutrient-poor food and beverages.

Implications for public health

The review demonstrates a clear need for policy and community interventions to improve dietary quality for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. However, the limited number of studies, variable quality and lack of diversity of communities involved could be a barrier to effective policy making and should be addressed.

Acknowledgements

SW had primary responsibility for writing the paper and final content. JB and JW were equal senior authors who contributed to the conceptualisation and development of the review. SW, LV, JB and JW made contributions to the development of the review protocol and search strategy. SW and SF were involved in study selection, data extraction and quality assessment. SW prepared the manuscript with review from SF, LV, JG, ML, KT, JB and JW. JB is supported by a National Heart Foundation (NHF) Future Leader Fellowship (ID:100085). JW is supported by a joint National Health and Medical Research Council/ National Heart Foundation Career Development Fellowship (App:1082924).

References

1. Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet. *Overview of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Status: 2015* [Internet]. Perth (AUST): Edith Cowan University Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet; 2017 [cited 2017 Feb 1]. Available from: <https://www.healthinfonet.ecu.edu.au/uploads/docs/2015-overview.pdf>
2. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *The Health and Welfare of Australia's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples: 2015*. Catalogue No.: IHW 147. Canberra (AUST): AIHW; 2015.
3. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Australian Burden of Disease Study: Impact and Causes of Illness and Death in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People: 2011*. Australian Burden of Disease Study Series No.: 6. Canberra (AUST): AIHW; 2016.
4. O'Dea K. Westernisation, insulin resistance and diabetes in Australian aborigines. *Med J Aust*. 1991;155(4):258-64.
5. O'Dea K. Marked improvement in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in diabetic Australian Aborigines after temporary reversion to traditional lifestyle. *Diabetes*. 1984;33:596-603.
6. Lee A, O'Dea K, Mathews J. Apparent dietary intake in remote Aboriginal communities. *Aust J Public Health*. 1994;18(2):190-7.
7. Burns J, Thomson N. *Review of Nutrition and Growth among Indigenous People* [Internet]. Perth (AUST): Edith Cowan University Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet; 2008 [cited 2015 Apr 11]. Available from: <http://www.healthinfonet.ecu.edu.au/health-risks/nutrition/reviews/our-review>
8. Lee A. *Survival Tucker: Aboriginal Dietary Intake and a Successful Community-based Nutrition Intervention Project* [thesis]. Sydney (AUST): University of Sydney; 1992.
9. Gwynn J, Flood V, D'Este C, et al. Poor food and nutrient intake among Indigenous and non-Indigenous rural Australian children. *BMC Pediatr*. 2012;12(12):1-14.
10. Strategic Inter-Governmental Nutrition Alliance. *National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Nutrition Strategy and Action Plan*. Canberra (AUST): National Public Health Partnership; 2001.
11. Department of Health and Ageing. *Nutrition and Healthy Eating: National Public Health Partnership's Nutrition Strategy and Action Plan*. Canberra (AUST): Government of Australia; 2010.
12. Browne J, Hayes R, Gleeson D. Aboriginal health policy is nutrition the 'gap' in 'Closing the Gap'? *Aust NZ J Public Health*. 2014;38(4):362-9.
13. Lee A, Rainow S, Tregenza J, et al. Nutrition in remote Aboriginal communities: Lessons from Mai Wiru and the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Lands. *Aust NZ J Public Health*. 2016;40 Suppl 1:81-8.
14. Coles-Rutishauser IHE. Food Intake Studies in Australian Aborigines: Some Methodological Considerations. *Proceedings of the 13th International Congress of Nutrition*; 1985 Aug 18-23; Brighton, UK. London: John Libbey; 1985. p. 706-10.
15. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *4727.0.55.005 - Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Survey: Nutrition Results - Food and Nutrients, 2012-13*. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2015.
16. Steering Committee for the Review of Government Service Provision. *Overcoming Indigenous Disadvantage: Key Indicators 2016*. Canberra (AUST): Productivity Commission; 2016.
17. Centre for Occupational and Environmental Health. *Critical Appraisal* [Internet]. University of Manchester Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health; 2015 [cited 2015 Nov 1]. Available from: <http://www.population-health.manchester.ac.uk/epidemiology/COEH/undergraduate/specialstudymodules/criticalappraisal/>
18. The George Institute for Global Health. *Quality Assessment Tool for Studies Measuring Population*. Sydney (AUST): The Institute; 2015.
19. Black A. *Evidence of Effective Interventions to Improve the Social and Environmental Factors Impacting on Health: Informing the Development of Indigenous Community Agreements* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): Australian Department of Health; 2007 [cited 2016 Jun 1]. Available from: [https://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/BEC831EE70AE71E7CA257BF0001E8C31/\\$File/social-enviro-report.pdf](https://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/BEC831EE70AE71E7CA257BF0001E8C31/$File/social-enviro-report.pdf)
20. Chun-Yu J, Gwynn J, Turner N, et al. Dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load among Indigenous and non-Indigenous children aged 10-12 years. *Nutrition*. 2012;28:14-22.
21. McDermott R, Campbell S, Li M, et al. The health and nutrition of young indigenous women in north Queensland – intergenerational implications of poor food quality, obesity, diabetes, tobacco smoking and alcohol use. *Public Health Nutr*. 2009;12(11):2143-9.
22. D'Onise K, McDermott R, Leonard D, et al. Lack of folate improvement in high risk indigenous Australian adults over an average of 6.5 years: A cohort study. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2012;21(3):431-9.
23. Li M, McDermott R, D'Onise K, et al. Folate status and health behaviours in two Australian Indigenous populations in north Queensland. *Public Health Nutr*. 2012;15(10):1959-65.
24. Brimblecombe J, Ferguson M, Liberato S, et al. Characteristics of the community-level diet of Aboriginal people in remote northern Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2013;198(7):380-4.
25. Wycherley T, Ferguson M, O'Dea K, et al. Store turnover as a predictor of food and beverage provider turnover and associated dietary intake estimates in very remote Indigenous communities. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2016;40(6):569-71.
26. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *1270.0.55.005 - Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Volume 5 - Remoteness Areas, July 2011*. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2013.
27. Brimblecombe J, Liddle R, O'Dea K. Use of point-of-sale data to assess food and nutrient quality in remote stores. *Public Health Nutr*. 2012;16(7):1159-67.
28. Black A, Vally H, Morris P, et al. Nutritional impacts of a fruit and vegetable subsidy programme for disadvantaged Australian Aboriginal children. *Br J Nutr*. 2013;110:2309-17.
29. World Health Organization. *Sugar Intake for Adults and Children Guideline* [Internet]. Geneva (CHE): WHO; 2015 [cited 2016 Oct 5]. Available from: http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/guidelines/sugars_intake/en/
30. National Health and Medical Research Council and New Zealand Ministry of Health. *Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand. Summary. Recommendations to Reduce Chronic Disease Risk* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): NHMRC; 2006 [cited 2016 May 11]. Available from: <http://www.nrv.gov.au/disease/summary.htm>
31. Lee A, Bailey A, Yarmirr D, et al. Survival tucker: Improved diet and health indicators in an Aboriginal community. *Aust J Public Health*. 1994;18(3):277-85.
32. Lee A, Bonson A, Yarmirr D, et al. Sustainability of a successful health and nutrition program in a remote Aboriginal community. *Med J Aust* 1995;162:632-5.
33. Valery P, Ibiebele T, Harris M, et al. Diet, physical activity, and obesity in school-aged Indigenous youths in northern Australia. *J Obes*. 2012;2012:893508.
34. Hodge A, Cunningham J, Maple-Brown L, et al. Plasma carotenoids are associated with socioeconomic status in an urban Indigenous population: An observational study. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11(76):1-10.
35. Scelza B, Bird D, Bird R. Bush tucker, shop tucker: Production, consumption, and diet at an Aboriginal outstation. *Ecol Food Nutr*. 2014;53(1):98-117.
36. Russell S, Sullivan C, Reichelt-Brushett A. Aboriginal; consumption of estuarine food resources and potential implications for health through trace metal exposure: A study in Gumbaynggirr country, Australia. *Plos One*. 2015;10(6):1-17.
37. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *3238.0.55.001 - Estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, June 2011*. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2013.
38. Lee A, Smith A, Bryce S, et al. Measuring dietary intake in remote Australian Aboriginal communities. *Ecol Food Nutr*. 1995;34:19-31.
39. Australasian Child and Adolescent Obesity Research Network. *Assessment Methods* [Internet]. Sydney (AUST): The University of Sydney ACAORN; 2010 [cited 2016 Feb 20]. Available from: <http://www.acaorn.org.au/streams/nutrition/assessment-methods/index.php>
40. Gwynn J, Flood V, D'Este C, et al. The reliability and validity of a short FFQ among Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and non-Indigenous rural children. *Public Health Nutr*. 2011;14(3):388-401.
41. Jamieson M, Paradies Y, Eades S, et al. Ten principles relevant to health research among Indigenous Australian populations. *Med J Aust*. 2012;197(1):16-18.
42. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *4364.0.55.007 - Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First Results - Foods and Nutrients, 2011-12*. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2014.
43. Ferguson M, O'Dea K, Chatfield M, et al. The comparative cost of food and beverages at remote Indigenous communities, Northern Territory, Australia. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2016;40 Suppl 1:21-6.
44. Brimblecombe J, O'Dea K. The role of energy cost in food choices for an Aboriginal population in northern Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2009;190:549-51.
45. Lee A, Bonson A, Powers J. The effect of store managers on Aboriginal diet in remote areas. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 1996;20:212-14.
46. Ferguson M, O'Dea K, Holden S, et al. Food and beverage price discounts to improve health in remote Aboriginal communities: Mixed method evaluation of a natural experiment. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2016;41(1):32-7.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Supplementary Table 1: Quality appraisal tool.

Supplementary Table 2: Summary of included studies.

Supplementary Figure 1: Map of study locations.