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The National Heart Foundation of Australia and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand have developed new guidelines to assist Australian clinicians in the care of adult patients with heart failure (HF). The guidelines are based on current evidence, and replace the 2011 guidelines for the prevention, detection and management of chronic HF in Australia.1

This executive summary provides important recommendations together with their strength of evidence and guidance for their implementation in clinical practice (practice points). The full clinical guidelines are available in Heart, Lung and Circulation at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2018.06.1042.2

Definition of heart failure

HF is a complex clinical syndrome with typical symptoms and signs that generally occur on exertion but may also occur at rest (particularly when recumbent). HF is secondary to an abnormality of cardiac structure or function that impairs the ability of the heart to fill with blood at normal pressure or eject blood sufficient to fulfil the needs of the metabolising organs. Following clinical diagnosis, HF is generally categorised according to whether it is associated with a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) below 50% (heart failure with reduced ejection fraction [HFrEF]) or preserved LVEF of 50% or more (heart failure with preserved ejection fraction [HFpEF]) (Box 1).

Method

The National Heart Foundation of Australia, in partnership with the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand, appointed an expert writing group. The HF guideline development working group comprised an executive and four writing groups covering the topics of diagnosis; pharmacological management; devices and surgery; and non-pharmacological management. The working group comprised a broad mix of health professionals, including cardiologists (including an electrophysiologist), nurses, general practitioners, a clinical pharmacologist and general physician, an exercise health and professional epidemiologist, and a consumer representative.

In addition, a reference group including representatives from stakeholder groups, potential endorsing organisations and regional experts provided input into the scope and content of the guideline.

A draft of the guideline was open for a 21-day period of public consultation in April 2018 to capture stakeholder views and facilitate engagement. Appropriate governance processes were followed to ensure transparency, minimise bias, manage conflict of interest and limit other influences during guideline development.

Abstract

Introduction: Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome that is secondary to an abnormality of cardiac structure or function. These clinical practice guidelines focus on the diagnosis and management of HF with recommendations that have been graded on the strength of evidence and the likely absolute benefit versus harm. Additional considerations are presented as practice points.

Main recommendations:

• Blood pressure and lipid lowering decrease the risk of developing HF. Sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors decrease the risk of HF hospitalisation in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

• An echocardiogram is recommended if HF is suspected or newly diagnosed.

• If an echocardiogram cannot be arranged in a timely fashion, measurement of plasma B-type natriuretic peptides improves diagnostic accuracy.

• Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, β-blockers and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists improve outcomes in patients with HF associated with a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Additional treatment options in selected patients with persistent HF associated with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction include switching the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor to an angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; ivabradine; implantable cardioverter defibrillators; cardiac resynchronisation therapy; and atrial fibrillation ablation.

• Multidisciplinary HF disease management facilitates the implementation of evidence-based HF therapies. Clinicians should also consider models of care that optimise medication titration (eg, nurse-led titration).

Changes in management as a result of the guideline: These guidelines have been designed to facilitate the systematic integration of recommendations into HF care. This should include ongoing audit and feedback systems integrated into work practices in order to improve the quality of care and outcomes of patients with HF.
Guideline summary

1 Heart failure diagnostic criteria
Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction
- Symptoms ± signs of heart failure and
- LVEF < 50%*

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction
- Symptoms ± signs of heart failure and
- LVEF ≥ 50%
- Objective evidence of:
  - relevant structural heart disease (LV hypertrophy, left atrial enlargement)
  and/or
  - diastolic dysfunction, with high filling pressure demonstrated by any of the following:
    - invasive means (cardiac catheterisation)
    - echocardiography
    - biomarker (elevated BNP or NT proBNP)
    - exercise (invasive or echocardiography)

*If LVEF mildly reduced (LVEF, 41–49%), additional criteria required (eg, signs of heart failure; diastolic dysfunction with high filling pressure demonstrated by invasive means or echocardiography or biomarker testing). BNP = B-type natriuretic peptide; LV = left ventricular; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; NT = N-terminal.

Key evidence-based recommendations
Each recommendation is presented with a Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) strength of recommendation and quality of evidence. Practice points are also provided.

Prevention of heart failure
- Blood pressure^ and lipid^ lowering according to published guidelines is recommended, to decrease the risk of cardiovascular events and the risk of developing HF. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- Sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors are recommended in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus associated with cardiovascular disease and insufficient glycaemic control despite metformin, to decrease the risk of cardiovascular events and decrease the risk of HF hospitalisation. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors are recommended in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction, to decrease the risk of developing HF. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.

Diagnosis of heart failure
- Plasma B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N-terminal proBNP levels are recommended for diagnosis in patients with suspected HF when the diagnosis is uncertain. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- A transthoracic echocardiogram is recommended in patients with suspected HF, to improve diagnostic accuracy, and in patients with a new diagnosis of HF, to assess cardiac structure and function (including the measurement of LVEF), assist in classification and therefore guide management. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Low.

Practice point: The diagnostic work-up of a patient with suspected HF is summarised in Box 2. The single most useful investigation is the echocardiogram. However, if the diagnosis is unclear and an echocardiogram cannot be arranged in a timely fashion, measurement of either plasma BNP or N-terminal proBNP has been shown to improve diagnostic accuracy.

Practice point: Evaluation of coronary arteries should be guided by the presence or absence of symptoms of coronary artery disease and the pre-test probability of coronary artery disease.

Practice point: Box 3 lists red flags where early specialist referral may be considered.

Management of heart failure
The management of acute HF should be guided by the patient’s vital signs, oxygen saturation, and the presence or absence of congestion and hypoperfusion. Management includes intravenous diuretics in most patients accompanied by the selected use of oxygen therapy (if hypoxaemic), positive pressure ventilation, vasodilators and inotropes. Effective long term management of HF is key to decreasing hospitalisation and improving survival. Although a number of evidence-based interventions exist for HFrEF (Box 4 and Box 5), none have been shown to reduce mortality in HFrEF.

Pharmacological management of chronic heart failure
- An ACE inhibitor is recommended in all patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 40%, unless contraindicated or not tolerated, to decrease mortality and decrease hospitalisation. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- A β-blocker (specifically bisoprolol, carvedilol, controlled or extended release metoprolol or nebivolol) is recommended in all patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 40% unless contraindicated or not tolerated, and once stabilised with no or minimal clinical congestion on physical examination, to decrease mortality and decrease hospitalisation. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- A mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist (MRA) is recommended in all patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 40% unless contraindicated or not tolerated, to decrease mortality and decrease hospitalisation for HF. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- An angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) is recommended in patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 40% if an ACE inhibitor is contraindicated or not tolerated, to decrease the combined endpoint of cardiovascular mortality and HF hospitalisation. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.
- An angiotensin receptor neutriylsin inhibitor (ARNI) is recommended as a replacement for an ACE inhibitor (with at least a 36-hour washout window) or an ARB in patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 40% despite receiving maximally tolerated or target doses of an ACE inhibitor (or ARB) and a β-blocker (unless contraindicated), with or without an MRA, to decrease mortality and decrease hospitalisation. GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
- Ivabradine should be considered in patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 35% and with a sinus rate ≥ 70 bpm, despite receiving maximally tolerated or target doses of an ACE inhibitor (or ARB) and a β-blocker (unless...
2 Diagnostic work-up of a patient with suspected heart failure

Suspected heart failure based on history and examination

- Heart failure diagnosed
- Diagnosis uncertain
- Alternate cause identified

- Echocardiogram
- Serum BNP/NTproBNP

Heart failure diagnosed based on all available data

HFpEF
- Diuretics, HTN management (MRA + ACEI or ARB favoured)
- May require surgical / percutaneous intervention

HFpEF management algorithm

Consider investigation for underlying cause (including coronary artery disease)

Consider comorbidities, aggravating and precipitating factors

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BNP = B-type natriuretic peptide; CXR = chest x-ray; ECG = electrocardiogram; EUC = electrolytes/urea/creatinine; FBC = full blood count; HFpEF = heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HTN = hypertension; LFTs = liver function tests; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NTproBNP = N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide. Adapted with permission from Tomlinson S, Atherton JJ. Heart failure — the crucial role of the GP. Medicine Today 2018; 19: 19-27.

• A diuretic should be considered in patients with HF and clinical symptoms, or signs of congestion, to improve symptoms and manage congestion.\textsuperscript{19} GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.

• Unless a reversible cause has been corrected, neurohormonal antagonists (ACE inhibitors or ARBs or ARNIs, β-blockers and MRAs) should be continued at target doses in patients with HF associated with a recovered or restored ejection fraction, to decrease the risk of recurrence.\textsuperscript{20} GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Low.

• Practice point: Aim for the target doses used in the randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that showed the benefits of these drugs. Most of the RCTs were conducted in patients with HF associated with an LVEF < 35–40%; however, post hoc analyses of patients with HF associated with a mild reduction in LVEF (LVEF, 41–49%) enrolled in RCTs have reported similar benefits with β-blockers and drugs that antagonise the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system.\textsuperscript{21–24}

• Practice point: Patients with HFpEF are generally older with multiple comorbidities. The main aims of treatment are to improve symptoms and quality of life and decrease hospitalisation. Although the evidence for neurohormonal antagonists is less robust, these agents are often used to manage comorbidities. Low dose spironolactone may be considered to decrease HF hospitalisation.\textsuperscript{25}

3 When to consider early referral in the community setting (red flags)

Symptoms
- Orthopnoea
- Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea
- Syncope
- Ischaemic chest pain

Signs
- Tachycardia (heart rate > 100 bpm)
- Bradycardia (heart rate < 40 bpm)
- Hypotension (systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg)
- Hypoxaemia
- Gallap rhythm
- Significant heart murmur

Investigations
- Evidence of ischaemia or infarction on 12-lead electrocardiogram
- Pulmonary oedema on chest x-ray
- Raised cardiac troponin level
- Moderate or severe valvular heart disease on echocardiography
- Left ventricular ejection fraction \( \leq 40\% \)
- Ischaemia on stress testing

Non-pharmacological management
- Referral to a multidisciplinary HF disease management program is recommended in patients with HF associated with high risk features, to decrease mortality and rehospitalisation.\textsuperscript{26} GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.
In areas where access to a face-to-face multidisciplinary HF disease management program after discharge is limited, patients should be followed up with a multidisciplinary tele-monitoring or telephone support program.27 **GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.**

**Practice point:** These programs should focus on high risk patients, especially those recently discharged after hospitalisation for HF. Patient and carer education, including self-management, should commence soon after diagnosis, be patient-centred appropriate to their level of health literacy, and be revised continually for life.

Nurse-led medication titration is recommended in patients diagnosed with HFrEF who have not achieved maximum tolerated doses of ACE inhibitors, ARBs, ARNIs, β-blockers or MRAs, to decrease hospitalisation.28 **GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.**

Regular performance of up to moderate intensity (ie, breathe faster but hold conversation) continuous exercise is recommended in patients with stable chronic HF, particularly those with reduced LVEF, to improve physical functioning and quality of life and to decrease hospitalisation.29 **GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.**

### 4 Evidence summary for management of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment effect</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Strong recommendation</th>
<th>Weak recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease morbidity/mortality</td>
<td>ACEI (or ARB*) β-blocker† MRA</td>
<td>Switch ACEI or ARB to ARNI (LVEF &lt; 40%)</td>
<td>ICD (DCM, LVEF &lt; 35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ivabradine (SR ≥ 70 bpm, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
<td>CRT (AF, QRS ≥ 130 ms, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multidisciplinary HF disease management</td>
<td>Hydralazine + nitrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nurse-led medication titration</td>
<td>N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICD (IHD, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRT (SR, QRS ≥ 130 ms, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AF ablation (paroxysmal/persistent AF, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CABG (IHD, LVEF ≤ 35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VAD (intractable severe HF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heart transplantation (intractable severe HF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve symptoms</td>
<td>Diuretics (congested)</td>
<td>Exercise training (also decreases hospitalisation)</td>
<td>Digoxin (refractory symptoms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise (also decreases hospitalisation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intravenous iron (iron deficient)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AF = atrial fibrillation; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI = angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CRT = cardiac resynchronisation therapy; DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; IHD = ischaemic heart disease; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; SR = sinus rhythm; VAD = ventricular assist device. *ARB should only be used if ACEI is contraindicated or not tolerated. † Carvedilol, bisoprolol, metoprolol succinate, nebivolol.

### 5 Management of patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF)*

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI = angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; CRT = cardiac resynchronisation therapy; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist. *HFrEF refers to patients with symptoms ± signs of heart failure associated with and LVEF < 50% (unless otherwise specified). † ARB should only be used if ACEI is contraindicated or not tolerated. Carvedilol, bisoprolol, metoprolol succinate, nebivolol. **Commencing MRA usually avoided if serum K > 5 mmol/L or CrCl < 30 mL/m. ‡ ICD and/or CRT. Adapted with permission from Tomlinson S, Atherton JJ. Heart failure – the crucial role of the GP. Medicine Today 2018; 19: 19-27.
Devices, surgery and percutaneous procedures

- Cardiac resynchronisation therapy (CRT) is recommended in patients with HFrEF associated with sinus rhythm, an LVEF ≤ 35% and a QRS duration ≥ 150 ms despite optimal medical therapy, to decrease mortality, decrease hospitalisation for HF, and improve symptoms.30,31 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- CRT should be considered in patients with HFrEF associated with sinus rhythm, an LVEF ≤ 35% and a QRS duration of 130–149 ms despite optimal medical therapy, to decrease mortality, decrease hospitalisation for HF, and improve symptoms.30,31 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- CRT should be considered in patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF of ≤ 50% accompanied by high grade atrioventricular block requiring pacing, to decrease hospitalisation for HF.32 GRADE: Weak; Evidence: Moderate.

- CRT is contraindicated in patients with a QRS duration < 130 ms, because of lack of efficacy and possible harm.33 GRADE: Strong Against; Evidence: Moderate.

- An implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) should be considered as a primary prevention indication in patients with HFrEF associated with ischaemic heart disease and an LVEF ≤ 35%, to decrease mortality.36,37 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- An ICD may be considered as a primary prevention indication in patients with HFrEF associated with dilated cardiomyopathy and an LVEF ≤ 35%, to decrease mortality.36,37 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- Coronary artery bypass graft surgery should be considered in patients with HFrEF associated with ischaemic heart disease and an LVEF ≤ 35% if they have surgically correctable coronary artery disease, to improve symptoms (eg, relief of angina and HF symptoms) and decrease morbidity and long term mortality.20 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- Surgical aortic valve replacement is recommended in patients with severe aortic stenosis or severe aortic regurgitation and HF in the absence of major comorbidities or frailty, to improve symptoms and decrease mortality.44 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Low.

- Transcatheter aortic valve implantation should be considered in patients with severe aortic stenosis and HF at intermediate to high operative mortality risk, or considered inoperable for surgical aortic valve replacement, and who are deemed suitable for transcatheter aortic valve implantation following assessment by a heart team, to improve symptoms and decrease mortality.42-45 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- Referral to a specialist centre for consideration of ventricular assist device implantation should be considered in patients with intractable, severe HF despite guideline-directed medical and pacemaker therapy, and who do not suffer from major comorbidities, to decrease mortality.46 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- Referral for heart transplant assessment should be considered in patients with HF associated with intractable New York Heart Association class III–IV symptoms who have exhausted all alternative therapies and who do not have overt contraindications, to decrease mortality.47 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Low.

Comorbidities in heart failure

- Pharmacological therapy aiming for a resting ventricular rate of 60–100 bpm should be considered in patients with HF associated with AF and a rapid ventricular response.48,49 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Low.

- Catheter ablation for AF (either paroxysmal or persistent) should be considered in patients with HFrEF associated with an LVEF ≤ 35%, who present with recurrent symptomatic AF, to decrease mortality and hospitalisation for HF.49,50 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

- Adaptive servoventilation is not recommended in patients with HFrEF and predominant central sleep apnoea because of increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality.51 GRADE: Strong Against; Evidence: Moderate.

- Erythropoietin should not be used routinely for the treatment of anaemia in patients with HF, because of an increased risk of thromboembolic adverse events.52 GRADE: Strong Against; Evidence: Moderate.

- In patients with HFrEF associated with persistent symptoms despite optimised therapy, iron studies should be performed and, if the patient is iron deficient (ie, ferritin < 100 μg/L, or...
ferritin 100–300 μg/L with transferrin saturation < 20%), intravenous iron should be considered, to improve symptoms and quality of life.33 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: Moderate.

Practice point: If iron deficiency is diagnosed, one should consider investigation for gastrointestinal pathology, including peptic ulcer and malignancy (especially if also anaemic). Intravenous ferric carboxymaltose was evaluated in most of the RCTs, usually involving one to two doses between 500 mg and 1000 mg. Re-check iron studies after 4 months.

Palliative care in heart failure

- Referral to palliative care should be considered in patients with advanced HF to alleviate end-stage symptoms, improve quality of life and decrease rehospitalisation. Involvement of palliative care should be considered early in the trajectory towards end-stage HF.34 GRADE: Strong; Evidence: High.

Practice point: In patients with an ICD, discussions concerning deactivation should occur between the patient, family and cardiologist. Patients should be encouraged to have an advanced care plan, regardless of clinical status and soon after diagnosis.
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