The potentially beneficial central nervous system activity profile of ivacaftor and its metabolites
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ABSTRACT  Ivacaftor–lumacaftor and ivacaftor are two new breakthrough cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance modulators.

The interactions of ivacaftor and its two metabolites hydroxymethylivacaftor (iva-M1) and ivacaftorcarboxylate (iva-M6) with neurotransmitter receptors were investigated in radioligand binding assays. Ivacaftor displayed significant affinity to the 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin) 5-HT2C receptor (pK_i=6.06±0.03), ß3-adrenergic receptor (pK_i=5.71±0.07), δ-opioid receptor (pK_i=5.59±0.06) and the dopamine transporter (pK_i=5.50±0.20); iva-M1 displayed significant affinity to the 5-HT2C receptor (pK_i=5.81±0.04) and the muscarinic M3 receptor (pK_i=5.70±0.10); iva-M6 displayed significant affinity to the 5-HT2A receptor (pK_i=7.33±0.05). The in vivo central nervous system activity of ivacaftor (40 mg·kg−1 intraperitoneally for 21 days) was assessed in a chronic mouse model of depression. In the forced swim test, the ivacaftor-treated group displayed decreased immobility (52.8±7.6 s), similarly to fluoxetine (33.8±11.0 s), and increased climbing/swimming activity (181.5±9.2 s). In the open field test, ivacaftor produced higher locomotor activity than the fluoxetine group, measured both as mean number of paw touches (ivacaftor 81.1±9.6 versus fluoxetine 57.9±9.5) and total distance travelled (ivacaftor 120.6±16.8 cm versus fluoxetine 84.5±16.0 cm) in 600 s. Treatment of 23 cystic fibrosis patients with ivacaftor–lumacaftor resulted in significant improvements in quality of life (including anxiety) in all five domains of the AweScoreCF questionnaire (p=0.092–0.096).

Our findings suggest ivacaftor displays potential clinical anxiolytic and stimulating properties, and may have beneficial effects on mood.
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Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive genetic disease with an incidence of 1:2500–3500 in Caucasian populations [1]. CF affects the exocrine mucus glands of the lung, liver, pancreas and intestine, causing progressive multisystem failure, such as loss of lung function or pancreatic insufficiency [1]. CF results from mutations of the CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene that affect chloride ion channel function; this in turn leads to dysregulation of epithelial fluid transport in various organs, more specifically in the lung, pancreas and other organs. Complications include thickened mucus in the airways associated with frequent respiratory infections, and pancreatic insufficiency giving rise to malnutrition and diabetes. These conditions lead to chronic disease status, poorer quality of life and reduced quality of life. Despite significant advances in diagnosis and therapy, CF remains one of the most challenging diseases to manage. Although a slew of medications such as antibiotic, anti-inflammatory and mycolytic agents have increased the life expectancy of CF patients, quality of life is still significantly impaired [2]. It is recognised that with the inevitable progression of the disease, patients require more intensive therapies, that themselves may impact on quality of life [3].

A number of drugs act in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system as well as in many other systems/organisms by targeting ligand-gated or receptor-gated ion channels, receptors or transporters. The development of selective modulators of CFTR has changed the spectrum of the management of CF in patients. Ivacaftor 150 mg once every 12 h was the first clinically approved CFTR modulator with clinical efficacy showing a significant improvement in the lung function of CF patients bearing the specific mutation G551D-CFTR, found in 4–5% of CF patients [4]. Ivacaftor was later approved for other gating mutations, e.g. G1244E, G1349D, G178R, G551S, S1251N, S1255P, S549N or S549R [5]. The CFTR potentiator activity of ivacaftor results in increased CFTR channel opening probability to enhance chloride efflux [6]. Ivacaftor is extensively metabolised by cytochrome P450 enzymes, mainly to an active metabolite hydroxymethylivacaftor (iva-M1) and to an inactive form ivacaftorcarboxylate (iva-M6) [7]. The F508del mutation is another common mutation of CFTR, which produces a misfolded and defective protein that is present at the apical epithelial membrane, but is inactive [8]. Ivacaftor is ineffective on the F508del mutated form of CFTR. Ivacaftor–lumacaftor is a novel combination treatment that combines the potentiator function of ivacaftor with the CFTR corrector function of lumacaftor, the latter improving trafficking of the more functional CFTR to the cell surface [9]. This novel combination strategy effectively expands the therapeutic window to the broader CF patient collective [9]. Although ivacaftor and ivacaftor–lumacaftor have only recently entered clinical use, various independent laboratories have reported unexpected off-target effects ranging from the direct antimicrobial activity of ivacaftor [10], synergistic antimicrobial activity of ivacaftor–lumacaftor in combination with polymyxin B [11] to smooth muscle relaxation activity of ivacaftor in CF patients [12].

Fundamentally, ivacaftor is an ion channel modulator and as such may impact on other ion channels, particularly in the CNS wherein ligand-gated ion channels mediate neurotransmission processes. In the present study, we investigated the receptor pharmacology and CNS activity of ivacaftor and its main metabolites, i.e. iva-M1 and iva-M6. Interactions of ivacaftor, iva-M1 and iva-M6 with a range of neurotransmitter receptors and transporters were investigated in radioligand binding assays together with molecular docking techniques to the 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin) 5-HT₂A and 5-HT₂C receptors. The 5-HT₂C receptor is the target of a number of antidepressants, most prominently agomelatine [13–15]. Agomelatine is a 5-HT₂C receptor antagonist and melatonin 1 and 2 receptor agonist, which is effective in major depression and general anxiety disorders [13, 14]. The 5-HT₂C receptor is also the target of drugs acting on eating disorders/obesity, e.g. lorcaserin [16–18], or schizophrenia, e.g. vabicaserin [19]; these compounds are typically 5-HT₂C receptor agonists, in contrast to agomelatine which is an antagonist [20]. The 5-HT₂C receptor has been linked to depression, anxiety, panic, suicide, schizophrenia, epilepsy, obesity and addiction based on behavioural effects observed in transgenic animals [20–25], using selective agonists [13–17, 26–32], genome-wide association studies [33, 34] or clinical studies with new drug candidates [18, 19]. The field is not without controversies, and both agonists and
antagonists may produce similar effects on, for example, depression readouts [15, 35]. However, it is clear that agonists will decrease food intake in contrast to antagonists and it appears that antagonists seem to increase dopaminergic function, which may explain the increase in locomotor activity that can be observed with such compounds, whereas agonists may reduce locomotor activity [13, 36, 37].

The drugs were profiled for neurotransmitter receptor and transporter binding affinity using radioligand binding assays. The in vivo antidepressant potential of ivacaftor was assessed using the forced swim test in a chronic non-CF mouse model of depression. Potential anxiolytic activity and effects on locomotor activity were evaluated in an open field test measuring spontaneous locomotor activity and exploration [38]. The M3 muscarinic receptor activity of ivacaftor and its metabolites was also assessed using contractile activity of the isolated colon as a functional readout. Furthermore, the effect of ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy on well-being and mental state in 23 CF patients was assessed via the AweScoreCF questionnaire. Our novel findings suggest that ivacaftor possesses unique off-target effects which translate into potential anxiolytic and stimulating properties that may be beneficial for alleviating mental health symptoms that commonly occur in eligible CF patients.

Methods

Primary radioligand binding assays
Primary radioligand binding assays were performed as described by Roth [39]. Please refer to the supplementary material for a more detailed description.

Molecular modelling of the ivacaftor–5-HT$_{2C}$ and iva-M6–5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor complexes
Please refer to the supplementary material.

Animals
Please refer to the supplementary material.

Forced swim test
Ivacaftor was injected intraperitoneally at a dose of 40 mg·kg$^{-1}$ once daily (between 9 and 11 a.m.) for 21 days. The control, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine, was administered at a dose of 10.2 mg·kg$^{-1}$ i.p. once daily (between 9 and 11 a.m.) for 21 days. Ketamine was injected subcutaneously at a dose of 10 mg·kg$^{-1}$ 1 h prior to the testing. On the day of the forced swim test (day 21), the mice received the treatment 60 min before the swim test.

The forced swim test was performed as described by Porsolt et al. [40]. Briefly, experiments were performed in a quiet and slightly dimmed experimental room (light intensity $\sim$80 lux). Each mouse was placed into a transparent glass cylinder (diameter 20 cm, height 40 cm) filled with warm water (23±1.0 °C) and allowed to swim for 6 min while video recorded (PanLab Smart 3.0; Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA). Care was taken to ensure that the level and the depth of water did not allow the mouse to escape or to support itself from the bottom of the cylinder. The video recordings of the groups were analysed by an experimenter blinded to the treatment groups. The cumulative duration of immobility and swimming/climbing during the last 4 min of each trial was measured using a stop watch.

Assessment of spontaneous locomotor activity
The open field test was performed 1 day after the forced swim test trials. Spontaneous locomotor activity was assessed using a computerised iPad-based platform serving as a floor (21×13.5 cm) of a cage 15.5 cm in height. The system and the software recording the number of paw steps and the distance between them was purchased from Neurolytical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The recordings were performed in a quiet room and dim light conditions (10 lux). The mice were allowed to adapt in the test environment for at least 10 min before the start of the experiment.

Statistical analysis of the animal models
Please refer to the supplementary material.

Force contraction experiment
Please refer to the supplementary material.

AweScoreCF patient questionnaire
23 adult CF patients study participants (informed consent; study protocol ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02717650 was approved by the local ethics committee) completed the AweScoreCF questionnaire (The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia) 1 month before initiating ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy and again following 3 months of treatment. All 23 patients were clinically stable and did not receive
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psychotropic medication. The AweScoreCF is a questionnaire developed by BUTTON et al. [41] to evaluate patients’ own perception of the effects of ivacaftor–lumacaftor on their health and mental state. The questionnaire consists of five domains, with each domain consisting of two questions: CF clinical parameters (sputum and coughing), physical fitness (energy and exercise participation), physique (appetite and weight), psychological parameters (mood and anxiety) and general well-being (duration and quality of sleep and general health). Statistical analysis was performed using Prism (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). The values are presented as the mean±SEM and differences were considered to be statistically significant at p<0.05. The individual scores were analysed in a paired t-test using ANOVA.

Results
Neurotransmitter receptor and transporter radioligand binding assays
Initially, we performed a broad neurotransmitter receptor screen (primary neuroreceptor binding screen) using a single concentration (10 µM) of ivacaftor, its metabolites iva-M1 and iva-M6, and the CFTR correctors tezacaftor and lumacaftor (supplementary table S1). The respective radioligands for each receptor or transporter and cell lines, cell media or buffers are documented in supplementary table S2 [39]. Ivacaftor and iva-M1 showed significant displacement of the radioligand from the 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor (84.8% and 78.2%, respectively). Ivacaftor, iva-M1 and iva-M6 showed significant displacement of the radioligands to the $\alpha_{1A}$-adrenergic receptor (58.3%, 79.9% and 92.3%, respectively) and to the serotonin transporter (61.1%, 56.7% and 50.9%, respectively). Furthermore, ivacaftor displayed significant displacement of the $\beta_3$-adrenergic receptor (75.9%), $\delta$-opioid receptor (50.8%) and dopamine transporter binding (55.2%). Tezacaftor and lumacaftor showed significant displacement of the radioligands from the histamine H$_1$ receptor (53.3% and 71.2%, respectively) and to the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (63.7% and 82.9%, respectively). Secondly, we obtained secondary radioligand concentration–response curves on the neurotransmitter receptors and transporters that showed significant displacement, where supplementary table S3 documents the inhibition constant ($K_i$) obtained from nonlinear regression of the radioligand competition curves. Ivacaftor showed high-to-moderate affinity for the 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor ($K_i=866$ nM; $pK_i=6.06±0.03$) (figure 1) and muscarinic M$_4$ receptor ($K_i=1546$ nM; $pK_i=5.81±0.04$) (supplementary figure S1). Ivacaftor displayed moderate affinity for the $\beta_3$-adrenergic receptor ($K_i=1934$ nM; $pK_i=5.71±0.07$), dopamine transporter ($K_i=2935$ nM; $pK_i=5.50±0.20$) and $\delta$-opioid receptor ($K_i=2589$ nM; $pK_i=5.59±0.06$) (supplementary figure S1). Interestingly, iva-M1 displayed high-to-moderate affinity to the muscarinic M$_3$ receptor ($K_i=1832$ nM; $pK_i=5.70±0.10$) and the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor ($K_i=1546$ nM; $pK_i=5.81±0.04$) (supplementary figure S2). iva-M6 showed high affinity for the 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor ($K_i=47$ nM; $pK_i=7.33±0.05$) (figure 1 and supplementary figure S3). Ivacaftor, iva-M1 and iva-M6 displayed low-affinity binding to the $\alpha_{1A}$-adrenergic receptor and the serotonin transporter ($K_i>10$ µM).

![Graph 1](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00127-2017)  
**FIGURE 1** a) Secondary binding data for ivacaftor. $[^3H]$mesulergine displacement data ($K_i=866$ nM) binding to the 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin) 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor. The reference compound is ritanserin ($K_i=0.57$ nM). b) Secondary binding data for ivacaftorcarboxylate [iva-M6]. $[^3H]$ketanserin displacement data ($K_i=147$ nM) binding to the 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor. The reference compound is clozapine ($K_i=2.4$ nM). CPM: counts per minute.
Tezacaftor (supplementary figure S4) and lumacaftor (supplementary figure S5) displayed moderate affinity to the α₁D-adrenergic receptor ($K_i=5451$ nM; $pK_i=5.26±0.08$ and $K_i=4838$ nM; $pK_i=5.32±0.09$, respectively) and the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor ($K_i=1015$ nM; $pK_i=5.99±0.07$ and $K_i=79$ nM; $pK_i=7.1±0.07$, respectively). Lumacaftor displayed binding to the α₁B-adrenergic receptor, albeit with low affinity ($K_i>10$ µM).

**Molecular modelling of the ivacaftor-5-HT₂C and iva-M6-5-HT₂A receptor complexes**

Ivacaftor and iva-M6 showed significant affinity for the 5-HT₂C and 5-HT₂A receptors, respectively. Intuitively, we modelled ivacaftor in complex with the 5-HT₂C and 5-HT₂A receptors, and the top-ranking binding poses were examined (figure 2). The models described fall within reasonable confidence values for a valid “modelled” structure. The receptor binding domains for each ligand in both receptors are primarily created via interactions with extracellular loop domains (in particular EXL2) and transmembrane domain helices designated TM3, TM5, TM6 and TM7. Notably, large differences were observed for EXL2 (figure 2) and the size of the transmembrane helices that help position the various ligands for these receptors. In 5-HT₂C and 5-HT₂A docking poses, the quinolone and hydroxyphenyl moieties nearly superimpose, differentiated mainly by the large number of hydrogen-bond interactions carried out by the carbonylate in the 5-HT₂A complex with the hydroxyl of Tyr370 (TM7; 3.1 Å), the amine of Trp367 (TM7; 3.0 Å) and the hydroxyl of Ser131 (TM2; 2.5 Å). The hydroxyl on the phenol ring also forms a hydrogen-bond contact with the side chain hydroxyl of Tyr370 (TM7; 3.1 Å), while the carbonyl of iva-M6 forms van der Waals contacts with the carbonylate of Asp155 (TM3; 3.4 Å). Similar interactions are seen between identical moieties with Tyr358 in the 5-HT₂C-iva-caftor complex (TM7; 2.7 Å) and Asp134 (TM3; 3.1 Å), while the hydroxyl of Ser110 (TM2; 2.9 Å) makes an additional hydrogen-bond contact with the hydroxyl on the phenol ring of ivacaftor. A hydrophobic cavity formed by TM3 (Val156 of 5-HT₂A and Val135 of 5-HT₂C), TM5 (Phe234 and Val235 of 5-HT₂A and Phe214 and Val215 of 5-HT₂C) and TM6 (Phe339 and Phe340 of 5-HT₂A and Phe327 of 5-HT₂C) mediates and stabilises extensive contact with the quinolone ring. Additional hydrophobic contacts occur via the tert-butyl moieties and the phenol ring, where in 5-HT₂A the phenol ring partially stacks Val366 on TM7, and makes hydrophobic contacts with Leu228 (EXL2), Leu362 (TM7), Trp151 and Ile152 (TM3), and Thr134 and Ile135 (TM2). In 5-HT₂C, ivacaftor makes no pertinent contact with EXL2 or TM2; all other interactions with hydrophobic tert-butyl moieties and phenol ring remain identical with corresponding residues Val354, Leu350, Trp130 and Ile131.

**Forced swim test**

As ivacaftor and its metabolites bind to the 5-HT₂A receptor, 5-HT₂C receptor, δ-opioid receptor, serotonin transporter and dopamine transporter, which are all involved in mood behaviour, we examined the CNS activity of ivacaftor using the forced swim test, the gold standard non-CF murine model for testing antidepressant properties (figure 3) [42]. The forced swim test evaluates the activity of a compound to increase swimming and climbing and to decrease immobility compared with vehicle. The forced swim...
test is highly reliable and has strong predictive validity for antidepressant compounds \([40, 43]\). Mice treated with vehicle showed baseline immobility for 93.7±8.2 s (figure 3c). Mice treated with a clinically relevant ivacaftor dose (40 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) \([7]\) showed decreased immobility with an average immobility period of 52.8±7.6 s (figure 3b and c). Similarly, the mice treated with ketamine (10 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) or fluoxetine (10.2 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) showed decreased immobility of 39.2±10.5 and 33.8±11.0 s, respectively. In addition, mice treated with ivacaftor, fluoxetine and ketamine showed increased swimming and climbing activities (ivacaftor 181.5±9.2 s, fluoxetine 206.2±11.0 s and ketamine 200.8±8.1 s) compared with vehicle (146±8.2 s) (figure 3c).

**Open field test**

The open field test is a classic approach in which the novel environment concurrently evokes both anxiety and exploration \([44–46]\). The spontaneous locomotor activity of ivacaftor, fluoxetine and ketamine was assessed in mice with the open field test, which uses the number of paw touches and the total distance travelled as end-points (figure 3d and e) \([38]\). The mice treated with ivacaftor (40 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) showed higher locomotor activity (120.6±16.8 cm distance travelled; 81.1±9.6 paw touches) than the vehicle group (88.4±10.5 cm distance travelled; 62.4±7.2 paw touches) and fluoxetine (10.2 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) (84.5±16.0 cm distance travelled; 57.9±9.5 paw touches).

**AweScoreCF questionnaire**

We assessed the general improvement in quality of life and mental health (measured as the individual perception of each patient) in 23 adult CF patients before commencing and after 3 months of standard ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy using the AweScoreCF questionnaire (figure 4) \([41]\). All domain changes were in favour of improved quality of life. Changes were statistically significant: anxiety (mean of differences (MOD) 0.91 points), sleep (MOD 1.87 points), weight (MOD 1.44 points), cough (MOD 1.36 points), vitality (MOD 1.22 points) and depression (MOD 1.21 points).

**FIGURE 3** Results of the murine forced swim test and spontaneous locomotor activity tests for ivacaftor, fluoxetine and ketamine. a) Schematic diagram depicting the forced swim test. b) Effect of ivacaftor treatment [40 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. for 21 days] on swimming and climbing in the forced swim test (n=10). Fluoxetine [10.2 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) i.p. for 21 days] and ketamine [10 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) s.c.] were used as the comparators. c) Effect of ivacaftor, fluoxetine and ketamine on immobility in the forced swim test (n=10), *: p<0.05. d) Effect of ivacaftor and fluoxetine on spontaneous locomotor activity in the open field test measuring the distance (cm) travelled for 10 min (n=10). e) Effect of ivacaftor, fluoxetine and ketamine on spontaneous locomotor activity in the open field test measuring the number of touches for 10 min (n=10).
points), appetite (MOD 1.32 points), energy (MOD 1.28 points), general health and well-being (MOD 1.17 points), exercise participation (MOD 1.13 points), and total improvement in well-being (MOD 11.59 points), whereas amount of sputum (MOD 0.96 points) and mood (MOD 0.86 points) showed trends for improvement ($p=0.092–0.096$).

Discussion
The goal of this study was to identify off-target actions of ivacaftor and ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy by investigating the receptor pharmacology of the active ingredients ivacaftor and its major metabolites, i.e. iva-M1 and iva-M6, and lumacaftor. The clinical benefit (improved lung function, weight and exacerbation frequency) and safety profiles of ivacaftor and lumacaftor, reported in the TRAFFIC and TRANSPORT studies [9], are reassuring; however, WAINWRIGHT et al. [9] also reported an increase in respiratory adverse events including dyspnoea and chest tightness compared with placebo. Additionally, various independent laboratories have previously reported beneficial and unfavourable off-target effects of ivacaftor. ADAM et al. [12] have reported the rapid and relaxing effects of ivacaftor on smooth muscle in human airway distensibility and vascular tone. REZNIKOV et al. [10] showed that ivacaftor displayed antistaphylococcal activity in vitro. SCHNEIDER et al. [11] reported synergistic antibacterial activity between polymyxin B in combination with ivacaftor and ivacaftor–lumacaftor combination against polymyxin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates. Furthermore, evidence has emerged from two independent laboratories suggesting that prolonged exposure to ivacaftor counteracts the corrector function of lumacaftor by destabilising the lumacaftor-rescued mature glycoform of F508del-CFTR [47–49]. The in vitro studies by CHOLON et al. [47] suggested that chronic administration of ivacaftor caused a dose-dependent reversal of lumacaftor-mediated CFTR correction in homozygous F508del human primary bronchial epithelial cells. These findings demonstrate that chronic treatment with CFTR potentiators and correctors may have unexpected effects that cannot be predicted from short-term studies. Therefore, it is essential that we gain further knowledge of the interaction and interference between CFTR potentiators and CFTR correctors.
The data from the primary and secondary binding screen for ivacaftor, iva-M1 and iva-M6 clearly show that ivacaftor and iva-M1 display a high affinity to the 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor, and iva-M6 showed very high affinity to the 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} receptor (supplementary tables S1 and S3, and figure 1). Although further studies have yet to prove the implications of these findings, various benefits may exist for widespread binding at serotonin receptors in addition to the serotonin transporter interaction (Ki≥10 µM for ivacaftor, iva-M1 and iva-M6). We have shown that ivacaftor is a multifaceted drug with multimodal activity. Although these pre-clinical findings clearly indicate ivacaftor produces an antidepressant/anxiolytic-like profile, behavioural consequences of the chronic administration of ivacaftor have not yet been described. Serotonin receptors and ion channels, primarily sodium, potassium, calcium and chloride channels, have been associated with many neuronal processes, and are currently being targeted and/or pursued as potential targets for the treatment of depression [50]. Antidepressives reduce immobility time in the forced swim test by increasing the swimming/climbing time [51]. It is known that drugs affecting noradrenergic neurotransmission, i.e. imipramine, increase the climbing tendencies, whereas drugs affecting the serotonergic neurotransmission, i.e. fluoxetine, increase the swimming behaviour [51]. Animal models are crucial tools for investigating the aetiology of depression, as well as the influence of effective therapeutic targets [52, 53]. Moreover, our findings from the forced swim test and open field test against the control fluoxetine clearly show the CNS activity of ivacaftor at the clinically relevant dose of 40 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1} i.p. for 21 days (figure 3). Interestingly, non-CF mice treated with ivacaftor also displayed increased climbing/swimming activity, which could be translated into the mice having higher activity levels and correlates with the higher well-being scores seen in the AweScoreCF in patients treated with ivacaftor–lumacaftor. In addition, mice treated with the anaesthetic, psychostimulant and fast-acting antidepressant ketamine (10 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1} i.p. once) showed reduced immobility (39.2±10.5 s) and increased swimming/climbing activity (200.8±8.1 s), comparable to what was observed in the ivacaftor treatment group (figure 3b and c). Evidence suggests that N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists such as ketamine could be efficacious in treating depression and anxiety [54–56]. In addition to its N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonism, ketamine possesses various other potential targets, such as effects on metabolism and transport of serotonin, noradrenaline and dopamine [57]. In the open field test, mice treated with ivacaftor showed higher locomotor activity than the fluoxetine group measured both as the number of paw touches and the total distance travelled. This is consistent with the theory of the higher well-being scores under ivacaftor therapy (figure 3d and e).

Our data suggest that ivacaftor and iva-M6 also bind to both M3 and β\textsubscript{3} receptors (supplementary tables S1 and S3, and supplementary figures S7–S9). When the effects of ivacaftor and iva-M6 were tested on muscarinic receptors in the ex vivo rat colon preparation, no significant effects on colonic contractions were observed. Interestingly, when the colon was pre-treated with the β\textsubscript{3} receptor selective antagonist SR9230A, ivacaftor and iva-M6 evoked a significant contractile response in the colon. The literature suggests that β\textsubscript{3} receptor agonists are involved in smooth muscle relaxation in the colon and therefore may be involved in the inhibition of the contractile activity evoked by muscarinic receptor agonists [58]; it would appear that ivacaftor and iva-M6 could potentially simultaneously bind to both muscarinic and β\textsubscript{3} receptors in the colon. This interaction suggests complicated pharmacology as seen with ivacaftor could be acting as an allosteric modulator at the β\textsubscript{3} receptor. Further studies are currently underway to investigate this.

To confirm the findings from the in vitro binding assays and in vivo mice studies, the effect on well-being was assessed in 23 adult CF patients using the AweScoreCF questionnaire (consisting of five domains with a total of 10 questions) 1 month prior to and 3 months after ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy (figure 4). The improvements reported were in all domains, i.e. less coughing and sputum production; improved sleep amount and quality and general health; increased levels of energy and exercise participation; increased appetite with ensuing weight gain; elevated mood levels and reduced anxiety. Treatment with ivacaftor–lumacaftor resulted in significant improvements in quality of life by 11.59 points out of a maximum of 100 points (p=0.0026). Over the analysed timeframe of 3 months, patients receiving standard ivacaftor–lumacaftor therapy experienced a global improvement in their quality of life compared with before initiating therapy (measured as each patient’s own perception of general improvement in quality of life and mental health).

Conclusions
Recent evidence from different research groups indicates that ivacaftor and/or lumacaftor display off-target effects that may affect the clinical outcomes of ivacaftor single therapy or combination therapy with lumacaftor or tezacaftor. In the present study, we have investigated the receptor neuropharmacology of ivacaftor and its main metabolites, i.e. iva-M1 and iva-M6, to shed light on potential off-target effects including mental health parameters that could potentially affect the clinical efficacy of ivacaftor, ivacaftor–lumacaftor or future ivacaftor–tezacaftor combinations currently undergoing phase 3 studies (EVOLVE and EXPAND) [59].
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We have shown that ivacaftor is a multifaceted drug with multimodal activity. Ivacaftor displayed a sustained CNS active profile, especially with affinity to 5-HT2 receptor subtypes. Treatment with ivacaftor–lumacaftor resulted in significant improvements in the quality of life and increased well-being according to the AweScoreCF questionnaire. The current study supports the notion that ivacaftor could potentially offer the benefits for the treatment of CF patients who commonly suffer from mental health issues. Further investigations of the value of these off-target CNS activities are needed to determine their benefits for the treatment of CF patients who commonly suffer from mental health issues.
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