Evaluation of Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Model-Based Optimized Combination Regimens against Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a Murine Thigh Infection Model by Using Humanized Dosing Schemes
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**ABSTRACT** We previously optimized imipenem and tobramycin combination regimens against a double-resistant clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolate by using *in vitro* infection models, mechanism-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling (MBM), and Monte Carlo simulations. The current study aimed to evaluate these regimens in a neutropenic murine thigh infection model and to characterize the time course of bacterial killing and regrowth via MBM. We studied monotherapies and combinations of imipenem with tobramycin *in vivo* against the double-resistant clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolate by using humanized dosing schemes. Viable count profiles of total and resistant populations were quantified over 24 h. Tobramycin monotherapy (7 mg/kg every 24 h [q24h] as a 0.5-h infusion) was ineffective. Imipenem monotherapies (continuous infusion of 4 or 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose) yielded 2.47 or 2.57 log₁₀ CFU/thigh killing at 6 h. At 24 h, imipenem at 4 g/day led to regrowth up to the initial inoculum (4.79 ± 0.26 log₁₀ CFU/thigh), whereas imipenem at 5 g/day displayed 1.75 log₁₀ killing versus the initial inoculum. The combinations (i.e., imipenem at 4 or 5 g/day plus tobramycin) provided a clear benefit, with bacterial killing of ≥2.51 or ≥1.50 log₁₀ CFU/thigh compared to the respective most active monotherapy at 24 h. No colonies were detected on 3×MIC agar plates for combinations, whereas increased resistance (at 3×MIC) emerged for monotherapies (except imipenem at 5 g/day). MBM suggested that tobramycin considerably enhanced the imipenem target site concentration up to 2.6-fold. The combination regimens, rationally optimized via a translational modeling approach, demonstrated substantially enhanced bacterial killing and suppression of regrowth *in vivo* against a double-resistant isolate and are therefore promising for future clinical evaluation.
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The global spread of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, along with the drastic decline in new antibiotics, is causing a serious threat to human health (1–4). Over the past decade, carbapenem resistance has been increasing dramatically and resistant isolates have been found in hospitals worldwide (5, 6). Resistance to aminoglycosides is present in virtually all parts of the world and thus limiting...
the therapeutic potential of aminoglycoside monotherapies for pseudomonal infections (7). The high prevalence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolates that are resistant to carbapenems and aminoglycosides poses significant challenges for health care professionals, particularly when selecting antibiotic therapies against severe infections (7, 8). This situation is particularly worrying, given the shortage of new antibiotics and the rapid emergence of resistance to all available antibiotics in monotherapies in serious infections (9, 10). Several studies have suggested substantial benefits of \(eta\)-lactam and aminoglycoside combinations over monotherapies in patients with severe infections (11–14). Therefore, such combinations are considered a tangible option to combat this clinical challenge.

We have previously rationally optimized imipenem and tobramycin combination dosage regimens against a carbapenem- and aminoglycoside-resistant clinical \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolate via \textit{in vitro} infection models, mechanism-based modeling, and Monte Carlo simulations (15). The simulations were performed by using the mechanism-based model developed to characterize the time course of bacterial killing and resistance and human population pharmacokinetic models for imipenem and tobramycin (15–17). Our optimized combination regimens (i.e., tobramycin at 7 mg/kg every 24 h [q24h] as a 0.5-h infusion in combination with continuous infusion of imipenem at 4 or 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose) were predicted to achieve \(\geq 2 \log_{10}\) CFU/ml of bacterial killing without regrowth in 80.9 or 90.3%, respectively, of simulated patients at 24 and 48 h (15).

Studies on combinations of a \(eta\)-lactam plus an aminoglycoside in murine infection models (18–20) have demonstrated beneficial effects against susceptible isolates. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports of studies that have optimized combination regimens via modeling and subsequently prospectively evaluated them \textit{in vivo} against a carbapenem- and aminoglycoside-resistant clinical \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolate.

Therefore, we primarily aimed to prospectively evaluate the impact of the proposed optimized imipenem-plus-tobramycin dosage regimens from earlier \textit{in vitro} studies (13) in a neutropenic murine thigh infection model with a double-resistant \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolate using humanized dosing. Furthermore, we aimed to quantify the extent and time course of bacterial load reduction and regrowth \textit{in vivo} via a mechanism-based model for the humanized regimens of imipenem and tobramycin.

(Part of this work has been presented as a poster at the AAPS Annual Meeting and Exposition, 13 to 17 November 2016, Denver, CO, USA. This work was also subject to oral presentations at the Australian Society of Clinical and Experimental Pharmacologists and Toxicologists [ASCEPT], 28 to 30 November 2016, Melbourne, Australia, and the Annual Meeting of the Population Approach Group of Australia and New Zealand [PAGANZ], 6 to 8 February 2017, Adelaide, Australia.)

**RESULTS**

While the bacterial density was \(\sim 10^5\) CFU/thigh at initiation of antibiotic treatment, mice in the control and tobramycin monotherapy groups had growth of 3.1 to 3.4 \(\log_{10}\) CFU/thigh at 24 h (Fig. 1). The choice of the initial inoculum was driven by ethical considerations and a desire to maximize the yield of information from the modeling by ensuring that the survival of animals across all groups was not compromised. Tobramycin monotherapy was completely ineffective; however, imipenem monotherapies provided \(\sim 2.5 \log_{10}\) of bacterial load reduction at 6 h (Fig. 1A1 and B1). Imipenem-plus-tobramycin combinations (i.e., imipenem at 4 or 5 g/day as a continuous infusion plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg q24h as a 0.5-h infusion) provided a clear benefit, with \(\geq 2.51 \log_{10}\) and \(\geq 1.50 \log_{10}\) CFU/thigh of bacterial load reduction at 24 h compared to the respective most active monotherapy (imipenem) (Fig. 1A and B). The data presented as the change in \(\log_{10}\) CFU/thigh at 24 h relative to the bacterial load at 0 h demonstrated that the combinations of imipenem at 4 and 5 g/day plus tobramycin were the most effective treatment regimens. (Fig. 1A2 and B2).

Viable counts of resistant bacteria quantified on antibiotic-containing agar plates (3×MIC) and total populations of each treatment on drug-free plates at 24 h are shown.
in Fig. 2. All monotherapies (except the humanized imipenem 5-g/day regimen) resulted in resistant subpopulations (Fig. 2B to D), whereas no colonies (i.e., resistant subpopulations) were detected with the combination regimens (Fig. 2E and F).

MBM. Our mechanism-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) modeling (MBM) contained two preexisting bacterial populations with different susceptibilities to imipenem and tobramycin (Fig. 3). This MBM simultaneously described the effects of imipenem plus tobramycin and yielded unbiased and precise curve fits for all monotherapies and combinations (Fig. 1). The coefficient of correlation for the observed versus population-fitted log10 viable counts was $R^2 = 0.98$.

Subpopulation synergy was not sufficient to characterize the time course of bacterial load reduction and regrowth with combination dosage regimens. Mechanistic synergy due to tobramycin enhancing the target site concentration of imipenem (i.e., via the permeabilizing effect of tobramycin on the bacterial outer membrane) was essential to describe the time course of viable count profiles (Fig. 3). The inclusion of mechanistic synergy for both populations significantly enhanced the model’s performance. Mechanistic synergy was expressed as a decrease in the imipenem concentration causing 50% killing ($K_{C50,IPM}$) of both bacterial populations with increasing tobramycin concentrations. The estimated $K_{C50,IPM}$ values for both populations were plotted for a range of tobramycin concentrations, up to the maximal clinically achievable plasma unbound drug concentration following tobramycin administration at 7 mg/kg (q24h, 0.5-h infusion) in humans (Fig. 4). The $K_{C50,IPM}$ for both populations decreased by 2.6-fold in the presence of 32 mg/liter tobramycin compared to the $K_{C50,IPM}$ in the absence of tobramycin (Fig. 4). A decrease in $K_{C50,IPM}$ in the MBM is equivalent to an increase in the target site imipenem concentration. The model-estimated tobramycin concentration required for half-maximal permeabilization of the outer membrane ($IC_{50,OM,TOB}$) was 2.99 mg/liter (Table 1). All parameters were required to provide unbiased and precise curve fits.
DISCUSSION

This is the first study that presents a prospective evaluation of PK/PD model-based optimized imipenem-plus-tobramycin combination dosage regimens in a neutropenic murine thigh infection model against a carbapenem- and aminoglycoside-resistant clinical P. aeruginosa isolate. Furthermore, the extent and time course of bacterial load reduction and regrowth were characterized by an MBM for humanized regimens of imipenem and tobramycin.

In this study, we found that tobramycin monotherapy was ineffective, while imipenem monotherapy (4 or 5 g/day) significantly decreased bacterial counts during murine thigh infections compared with those observed in untreated control mice. Monotherapy results were in agreement with the time for which the unbound (free) imipenem concentration remained above the MIC (fT$_{>}$MIC; i.e., 38 and 43%) for imipenem regimens (21) and the area under the unbound tobramycin concentration-time curve over 24 h divided by the MIC (fAUC/MIC; i.e., 3.1) (22). Combinations demonstrated substantially enhanced killing compared to the monotherapies. No colonies were detected on 3×MIC agar plates for combination regimens as opposed to monotherapies, except for imipenem 5-g/day monotherapy. Therefore, considered globally, our optimized combination dosage regimens were clearly beneficial in regard to enhancement of bacterial killing and suppression of resistance at 24 h in the murine thigh infection model compared to monotherapies.

We used a robust approach to develop humanized regimens of imipenem and tobra-
mycin in mice based on the human and murine PK of both antibiotics (23, 24). While we did not measure plasma drug concentrations, our humanized doses and dosing frequencies in mice over 24 h were within the range of total doses and frequencies reported in murine studies (18, 25, 26). Furthermore, our total daily doses in mice, expressed in mg/kg, were 10.1- to 10.9-fold higher than the corresponding human doses; this is similar to the factor for mouse-to-human animal scaling for dose equivalence (27, 28).

Our results considerably add to the findings from relatively few published studies on \(\beta\)-lactam-plus-aminoglycoside combinations in murine models of infection with \(P.\ aeruginosa\). In the past, studies with various in vivo infection models showed that \(\beta\)-lactam-plus-aminoglycoside combinations were synergistic against \(P.\ aeruginosa\) (18–20, 29–34). Studies evaluated the combinations of imipenem plus tobramycin in a murine sepsis model (19) and meropenem plus tobramycin in a murine pneumonia model (18) against double-susceptible \(P.\ aeruginosa\) isolates (imipenem MIC, 0.5 mg/liter; meropenem MIC, 0.5 to 1 mg/liter; tobramycin MIC, 0.5 to 1 mg/liter). Ticarcillin plus tobramycin was synergistic against \(P.\ aeruginosa\), prevented regrowth in intraperitoneal infections in mice, and was found to be more efficacious than carbenicillin-plus-tobramycin combinations (20). None of these studies prospectively evaluated rationally optimized combination dosage regimens in a murine thigh infection model against a \(P.\ aeruginosa\) isolate that was carbapenem and aminoglycoside resistant. Furthermore, the extent and time course of bacterial killing and regrowth have not been characterized on the basis of an MBM for the humanized regimens of imipenem and tobramycin.

Our MBM indicated that tobramycin enhanced the target site concentration of imipenem, expressed as an up to 2.6-fold decrease in \(KC_{50,IM}\) (Fig. 4). An approximately 2.99-mg/liter concentration of tobramycin was required to half-maximally permeabilize the outer membrane (\(IC_{50,OM,TOB}\) of this isolate and thus achieve the half-maximal extent of mechanistic synergy (Table 1). The mechanistic synergy term in the MBM was informed by studies that demonstrated a disruption of the outer membrane of \(P.\ aeruginosa\).
The outer membrane effect of tobramycin (i.e., tobramycin enhancing the target site penetration of imipenem) resulted in a decrease in the imipenem concentration required for half-maximal bacterial killing ($K_{C50,IPM}$) of both bacterial populations. The decrease in the $K_{C50,IPM}$ for both populations (SR in panel A and IR in panel B) was estimated up to the maximal clinically achievable plasma unbound tobramycin concentrations following a dose of 7 mg/kg q24h administered as a 0.5-h infusion. Parameters are explained in Table 1.

![Graph](image)

**TABLE 1** Population mean parameter estimates for the imipenem-plus-tobramycin combination model against FADDI-PA088

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (unit)</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Population mean value (SE [%])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial inoculum (log$_{10}$ CFU/thigh)</td>
<td>$\text{Log}_{C,0}$</td>
<td>4.93 (2.08)$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{Log}_{C,0}$</td>
<td>4.78 (2.01)$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max population size (log$_{10}$ CFU/thigh)</td>
<td>$\text{Log}_{C,\max}$</td>
<td>8.00 (1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replication rate constant (h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$k_1$</td>
<td>50 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean generation time (min)</td>
<td>$k_{12,\text{SR}}$</td>
<td>142 (9.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{12,\text{IR}}$</td>
<td>142 (9.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log$_{10}$ mutation frequency of IPM</td>
<td>$\text{Log}_{MUT,IPM}$</td>
<td>$-3.09 (4.94)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max killing rate constant of imipenem (h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$k_{\max,IPM}$</td>
<td>3.38 (8.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem concn causing 50% of $K_{\max,IPM}$ (mg/liter)</td>
<td>$K_{C,50,SR,IPM}$</td>
<td>0.202 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM$^+$/TOB$^-$</td>
<td>$K_{C,50,IR,IPM}$</td>
<td>96.6 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM$^+$/TOB$^+$</td>
<td>$K_{C,50,SR,IPM}$</td>
<td>0.202 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM$^-/TOB^-$</td>
<td>$K_{C,50,IR,IPM}$</td>
<td>96.6 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeabilization of outer membrane by tobramycin</td>
<td>$l_{\max,OM,TOB}$</td>
<td>0.647 (0.44–0.816)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max fractional decrease of $K_{C,50,IPM}$ by tobramycin</td>
<td>$l_{\max,OM,TOB}$</td>
<td>0.647 (0.44–0.816)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via outer membrane disruption</td>
<td>$l_{C,50,OM,TOB}$</td>
<td>2.99 (38.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobramycin concn causing 50% of $l_{\max,OM,TOB}$ (mg/liter)</td>
<td>$l_{\max,OM,TOB}$</td>
<td>0.647 (0.44–0.816)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill coefficient for imipenem</td>
<td>$\text{Hill}_{IPM}$</td>
<td>2.05 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD of residual error on log$_{10}$ scale</td>
<td>$\text{SD}_{C,0}$</td>
<td>0.114 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Experiment 1, imipenem at 4 g/day with a 1-g loading dose plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg.

$^b$Experiment 2, imipenem at 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg.

$^c$95% confidence interval.
previously described (15) and thus translated well from in vitro static concentration time-kill (SCTK) experiments to in vivo data. The synergy mechanism proposed by our mechanism-based PK/PD model (i.e., tobramycin permeabilizing the outer bacterial membrane) was confirmed by electron micrographs of ultrastructural damage, loss of cytosolic green fluorescent protein (GFP) from a GFP-expressing strain, and nitrocefin uptake results from our recently published studies (41).

It has been reported that effective and early antibiotic therapy significantly increases survival in an immunocompetent murine infection model of septic shock (42, 43). The target endpoint (i.e., ≥2 log10 killing at 24 and 48 h) described in our Monte Carlo simulation (15) was based on a study by Drusano et al. (44). In the current study, in the neutropenic murine thigh infection model, our rationally optimized combination regimens provided >2.5 log10 killing at 24 h relative to the start of treatment. These regimens, which were optimized on the basis of SCTK, MBM, and Monte Carlo simulations, therefore translated well to the murine model. Thus, our results suggest that the optimized imipenem-plus-tobramycin regimens may provide a beneficial effect in reducing the bacterial load to an extent where the immune system in immunocompetent patients can achieve bacterial clearance and are worthy of future clinical evaluation. Future studies may be directed at evaluating the optimized combination regimen against other isolates.

Imipenem at 4 g/day was predicted to be sufficient for a high percentage of patients, as evidenced by very high success rates in Monte Carlo simulations (15, 40); however, imipenem at 5 g/day may be required to achieve effective and early effects in serious infections, patients with high renal function, or both. Therapeutic drug monitoring may be a valuable strategy to optimize imipenem exposure and guide the therapy of critically ill patients (45–47).

Optimization of dosage regimens using in vitro infection models plus MBM with subsequent in vivo evaluation of the optimized regimens is highly beneficial to minimize the number of animals and in vivo studies required. The current in vivo study, together with our previously published in vitro study, supports the robustness of this translational approach. However, only very few studies have previously utilized in vitro to in vivo translation via modeling; these include studies on a meropenem-and-levofoxacin combination (48) and monotherapy with colistin (49) and meropenem (50).

In summary, the rationally optimized imipenem-plus-tobramycin combination dosage regimens demonstrated substantially enhanced killing in vivo against a double-resistant clinical P. aeruginosa isolate. Mechanism-based PK/PD modeling suggested that the permeabilizing effect of tobramycin contributed to this considerably increased activity. Thus, these imipenem-plus-tobramycin combination regimens, which were optimized via a robust translational modeling approach, are expected to be highly promising for future clinical studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibiotics. Stock solutions of imipenem (Merck Sharp & Dohme Pty. Ltd., NSW, Australia) and tobramycin (AK Scientific, Inc., Union City, CA) were prepared in sterile, distilled water and filter sterilized with a Millex-GV 0.22-μm polyvinylidene difluoride syringe filter (Merck Millipore Ltd., Cork, Ireland).

Bacterial isolate and susceptibility testing. The imipenem- and tobramycin-resistant P. aeruginosa isolate (FADDI-PA088; MICimipenem, 16 mg/liter; MICtobramycin, 32 mg/liter) was obtained from the collection at Monash University. All susceptibility testing was performed in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II broth (BBL, BD, Sparks, MD). Viable counting was conducted on cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton II agar (CAMHA; Medium Preparation Unit, The University of Melbourne). European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing breakpoints were used to define carbapenem and aminoglycoside resistance (51).

Humanized dosing scheme. Our previously optimized regimens for the simulated PK in critically ill patients were continuous infusion of imipenem at 4 or 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg q24h as a 0.5-h infusion (15). We performed simulations to identify the imipenem and tobramycin regimens in mice that approximate the plasma unbound drug exposures observed in humans. These simulations used the murine pharmacokinetics of imipenem and tobramycin (23, 24). Humanized regimens of imipenem at 60 and 77 mg/kg given to the mice subcutaneously (s.c.) every 2 h (i.e., total daily doses of 720 and 924 mg/kg) were selected to represent the imipenem 4- and 5-g/day continuous infusions with a 1-g loading dose in humans. The percentage of the dosing interval during which the T >MIC was 38 and 43% for the imipenem regimens of 60 and 77 mg/kg every 2 h. The humanized regimen of tobramycin (i.e., a total daily dose of 73 mg/kg) was fractionated in decreasing...
doses injected s.c. every 4 h as 33.3% at 0 h; 16.65% each at 4, 8, and 12 h; and 8.37% at 16 and 20 h to represent the human dose of tobramycin at 7 mg/kg q24h given as a 0.5-h infusion. The FAUC/MIC for the humanized regimen of tobramycin was 3.1 for this isolate. Each of the above imipenem and tobramycin regimens was evaluated alone and in combinations.

Murine thigh infection model. All animal experiments were approved by the Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences animal ethics committee (approval number MIPS.2016.01). The animals were maintained in accordance with the criteria of the Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes. Seven-week-old male, 25- to 30-g Swiss mice were obtained from Monash Animal Services (Clayton, Victoria, Australia). Mice were rendered neutropenic by intraperitoneal administration of 150 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide (Baxter Healthcare Pty. Ltd., New South Wales, Australia) 4 days before infection plus 100 mg/kg 1 day before infection (52, 53). Thigh infections were established by injecting 50 μl of a freshly prepared bacterial suspension at a density of 2 × 10^6 CFU/ml of FDAI-PAD88 (i.e., ~10^5 CFU) into each posterior thigh muscle of the mice under isoflurane anesthesia (52, 53). The bacterial inoculum was confirmed by quantitative cultures. Several clinical studies reported similar bacterial densities in blood, soft tissue, wound, and urinary tract infections in patients (54–59). Previously published animal studies have also used similar inocula to simulate bacterial infections in patients (60–62). Two hours after bacterial inoculation (t = 0 h) and before initiation of therapy, two mice were euthanized to determine the baseline quantitative cultures of thigh homogenates. Other animals were treated with humanized dosage regimens of imipenem and tobramycin as described above in monotherapy and in combinations. The s.c. injection site was rotated over the neck and flank for animal welfare. For each dosage regimen and sampling time point, two mice (i.e., four thighs) were studied. Following euthanization, both entire posterior thigh muscles from each mouse were aseptically collected at 2, 6, and 24 h after initiation of the treatments and individually homogenized as described previously (52). An untreated control group (n = 2 mice) was included at each time point. Viable counts were determined by manual plating of 100 μl of an undiluted or appropriately diluted thigh homogenate in saline onto CAMHA plates (15, 40). Aliquots of the undiluted or diluted samples at 24 h were plated on agar plates supplemented with imipenem or tobramycin at 3×MIC to quantify resistant subpopulations. Overall, two separate murine thigh infection model experiments were conducted, experiment 1 mimicking imipenem at 4 g/day with a 1-g loading dose plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg and experiment 2 mimicking imipenem at 5 g/day with a 1-g loading dose plus tobramycin at 7 mg/kg, both using FADDI-PAD88.

Mechanism-based PK/PD modeling of imipenem and tobramycin combination regimens. (i) Pharmacokinetic model. The plasma drug concentration-time profiles of imipenem (23) and tobramycin (24) following s.c. administration to mice were described by one-compartment models. Differential equations (1) (A_SC, amount of antibiotic in the s.c. compartment) and 2 (A_Plasma, amount of antibiotic in the central compartment) describe the pharmacokinetics of imipenem and tobramycin. The plasma antibiotic concentrations (C_PM and C_M) were calculated via equation 3.

\[
\frac{d(A_{SC})}{dt} = -k_s \cdot A_{SC}
\]

\[
\frac{d(A_{Plasma})}{dt} = k_s \cdot A_{SC} - k_i \cdot A_{Plasma}
\]

\[
C_{Plasma} = \frac{f_u \cdot A_{Plasma}}{V_F}
\]

The absorption rate constant (k_s), elimination rate constant (k_i), apparent volume of distribution (V/F), and unbound fraction in plasma (f_u) of imipenem (23) and tobramycin (24) were incorporated into the pharmacodynamic model to drive the time course of bacterial killing in the murine thigh infection model.

(ii) Pharmacodynamic model. Models with two and three preexisting bacterial subpopulations with different susceptibilities to imipenem and tobramycin were considered. The number of preexisting subpopulations was selected on the basis of model diagnostic plots, biological plausibility of parameter estimates, and the objective function. Two preexisting subpopulations were essential to describe the time course of bacterial killing and resistance. A life cycle growth model was utilized to define the underlying biology of bacterial replication via a vegetative state (i.e., state 1) and a replicating state (i.e., state 2), as described previously (63–65). The first population (IPM/TOB) was susceptible to imipenem and resistant to tobramycin, while the second population (IPM/TOB) was imipenem intermediate and aminoglycoside resistant (Fig. 3). Each population was described by the two states (i.e., compartments) of the life cycle growth model. Thus, the full model contained four compartments. The total concentration of all viable bacteria (CFU_all) is described by the following equation:

\[
CFU_{all} = CFU_{SR1} + CFU_{SR2} + CFU_{IR1} + CFU_{IR2}
\]

The CFU_{SR1} denotes the concentration of viable bacteria for population NN in state x. The differential equation for state 1 of the first population (CFU_{SR1}) incorporates bacterial killing by imipenem (initial conditions are described below) as follows:

\[
\frac{d(CFU_{SR1})}{dt} = 2 \cdot PLAT \cdot k_{11} \cdot CFU_{SR2} - k_{12} \cdot CFU_{SR1} - \left( \frac{K_{maxIPM}}{C_{IPM}^{KIPM_{IPM}}} + \left( \frac{OM_{effect}}{K_{GIPM_{IPM}}^{GIPM_{IPM}}} \right) \cdot CFU_{IR1} \right)
\]

The plateau factor (PLAT) is defined as 1 - (CFU_{IPM}/(CFU_{all} + CFU_{max})), with CFU_{max} being the maximum population size. The factor 2 represents doubling of bacteria during replication (63). The
maximum killing rate constant for imipenem ($K_{\text{max,IM}}$), the imipenem concentration causing 50% of $K_{\text{max}}$ for the first population ($K_{\text{IC50,SR},\text{IM}}$), and the Hill coefficient for imipenem ($H_{\text{IM}}$) affected both states (i.e., states 1 and 2) of the population. The effect of tobramycin on the outer membrane (OM effect; i.e., the synergy term) is described in equation 7 below. The differential equation for state 2 of the first population (CFU$_{SR2}$) is as follows:

$$\frac{d(\text{CFU}_{SR2})}{dt} = -k_{21} \cdot \text{CFU}_{SR2} + k_{12R} \cdot \text{CFU}_{SR1} - \left( \frac{K_{\text{max,IM}} \cdot C_{\text{IM}}}{C_{\text{IM}} + (\text{OM}_{\text{effect}} - k_{C\text{IC50,SR,IM}}) C_{\text{IM}}} \right) \cdot \text{CFU}_{SR2} \quad (6)$$

Similar differential equations were used for states 1 and 2 of the second population (i.e., IPM/TOB), but with different parameters for $K_{\text{IC50,SR},\text{IM}}$ and $k_{ij}$ (15, 40, 66).

**Subpopulation synergy.** We considered subpopulation synergy (i.e., imipenem killing bacteria resistant to tobramycin and vice versa) in the model to describe the time course of bacterial killing and prevention of regrowth as previously reported (15, 40, 66).

**Mechanistic synergy.** The presence of mechanistic synergy (i.e., one antibiotic enhancing killing by the other antibiotic of one or multiple bacterial populations) was evaluated as described previously (15, 40, 66). Mechanistic synergy was incorporated by assuming that the permeabilizing effect of tobramycin on the bacterial outer membrane (35, 36, 39) enhanced the target site penetration of imipenem. This permeabilizing effect of tobramycin was implemented in the model via the term OM$_{\text{effect}}$ (15) (parameters are explained in Table 1) as follows:

$$\text{OM}_{\text{effect}} = 1 - \left( \frac{IC_{50,\text{OM,Tob}}}{IC_{50,\text{OM,Tob}} + K_{C\text{IC50,OM,TOB}}} \right) \quad (7)$$

**Initial conditions.** We estimated the total initial inoculum (Log$_{10,n0}$) and the log$_{10}$ mutation frequencies for the second population (IR) (Fig. 3). Initial conditions were implemented as described previously (40, 63).

**Observation model.** The log$_{10}$ viable counts were fitted by using an additive residual error model. For observations below 100 CFU/thigh (equivalent to fewer than 10 colonies per plate), a previously developed residual error model was utilized to fit the number of colonies per plate (67).

**Estimation.** Simultaneous estimation of all PD parameters was performed by using the importance sampling algorithm (pmeme = 4) in the parallelized S-ADAPT software (version 1.57) (68) facilitated by the SADAPT-TRAN tool (69, 70). The between-curves variability of the PD parameters was fixed to a final, small coefficient of variation (67). Competing models were evaluated by the objective function ($-1 \times$ log-likelihood in S-ADAPT), the biological plausibility of the parameter estimates, standard diagnostic plots, and visual predictive checks (71–74).
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