



Corrigendum: The Gambian Bone and Muscle Ageing Study: Baseline Data From a Prospective Observational African Sub-Saharan Study

Ayse Zengin^{1,2}, Anthony J. Fulford³, Yankuba Sawo⁴, Landing M. Jarjou⁴, Inez Schoenmakers^{1,5}, Gail Goldberg^{1,4}, Ann Prentice^{1,4†} and Kate A. Ward^{1,6*†}

¹Nutrition and Bone Health Group, MRC Elsie Widdowson Laboratory, Cambridge, United Kingdom, ²Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Sciences at Monash Health, Monash University, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, VIC, Australia, ³International Nutritional Group, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, ⁴Calcium, Vitamin D and Bone Health Group at MRC Unit The Gambia, Banjul, Gambia, ⁵Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Medicine, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom, ⁶MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giacomina Brunetti,
Università degli studi di Bari
Aldo Moro, Italy

Reviewed by:

Antonia Sophocleous,
University of Edinburgh,
United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Kate A. Ward
kw@mrc.soton.ac.uk

[†]These authors have joint
senior authorship.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted
to Bone Research,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 09 March 2018

Accepted: 26 March 2018

Published: 16 April 2018

Citation:

Zengin A, Fulford AJ, Sawo Y,
Jarjou LM, Schoenmakers I,
Goldberg G, Prentice A and Ward KA
(2018) Corrigendum: The Gambian
Bone and Muscle Ageing Study:
Baseline Data From a Prospective
Observational African
Sub-Saharan Study.
Front. Endocrinol. 9:160.
doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00160

Keywords: bone, ageing, Africa, muscle, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, non-communicable disease, Gambia

A corrigendum on

The Gambian Bone and Muscle Ageing Study: Baseline Data from a Prospective Observational African Sub-Saharan Study

by Zengin A, Fulford AJ, Sawo Y, Jarjou LM, Schoenmakers I, Goldberg G, et al. *Front Endocrinol* (2017) 8:219. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2017.00219

There was a mistake in the values in **Table 4** in the parameters total % fat, android fat mass, gynoid fat mass, aLM, android lean mass, and gynoid lean mass. The correct version of **Table 4** appears below. The authors apologize for the mistake. This error does not change the scientific conclusions of the article.

The nutritional intake data in **Table 2** was incorrectly labeled. The correct version of **Table 2** appears below. We have also edited the interpretation of the data in the Results section from:

Overall, women had higher intakes of all micronutrients. Some notable sex differences include a 21% greater daily habitual calcium intake in women than in men (**Table 2**). The greatest sex difference

TABLE 2 | Nutritional intake of men and women.

	Men (n = 225) ^a	Women (n = 242) ^a	p-value
Calcium (mg/day)	378.0 ± 176.0	295.9 ± 175.9	<0.0001
Phosphorus (mg/day)	836.4 ± 275.4	620.2 ± 243.4	<0.0001
Iron (mg/day)	37.2 ± 25.8	25.0 ± 16.5	<0.0001
Zinc (mg/day)	9.3 ± 3.0	7.0 ± 2.8	<0.0001
Dietary fibres (mg/day)	44.4 ± 14.2	33.9 ± 12.4	<0.0001
Phytate (g/day)	1.3 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4	<0.0001
Potassium (mg/day)	2,409.0 ± 868.9	1,800.1 ± 705.4	<0.0001
Magnesium (mg/day)	527.3 ± 192.9	388.4 ± 150.4	<0.0001

Values are mean ± SD.

Bold indicates significance.

Dietary intakes were estimated from 2-day weighed diet diaries, and intakes calculated from Gambian food tables.

^a21 participants did not have dietary information available.

TABLE 4 | Anthropometry and body composition in women.

	40–44 (n = 28)	45–49 (n = 32)	50–54 (n = 30)	55–59 (n = 31)	60–64 (n = 31)	65–69 (n = 33)	70–74 (n = 30)	75+ (n = 34)	β -coefficient (95% CI)	p-value
Weight (kg)	58.1 ± 11.5	60.8 ± 11.4	57.1 ± 10.8	53.8 ± 9.6	53.4 ± 7.2	53.5 ± 9.6	52.2 ± 9.9	49.3 ± 8.5	−0.26 (−0.35, −0.16)	<0.0001
Height (cm)	159.3 ± 5.1	159.8 ± 6.1	158.6 ± 6.2	158.1 ± 5.8	157.6 ± 4.9	160.1 ± 5.7	154.8 ± 5.7	154.0 ± 5.7	−0.14 (−0.20, −0.09)	<0.0001
Sitting height (cm)	81.7 ± 2.8	81.2 ± 3.5	80.4 ± 2.9	79.1 ± 3.8	79.5 ± 3.1	80.2 ± 3.5	77.8 ± 3.3	76.5 ± 3.3	−0.13 (−0.16, −0.09)	<0.0001
Sit:Stand height ratio	0.51 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.01	0.51 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.01	−0.0004 (−0.0005, −0.0002)	<0.0001
BMI	22.9 ± 4.4	23.9 ± 4.4	22.7 ± 4.3	21.4 ± 3.1	21.4 ± 2.3	20.8 ± 3.2	21.7 ± 3.7	20.7 ± 2.8	−0.07 (−0.10, −0.03)	<0.0001
Waist circumference (cm)	70.7 ± 10.1	75.7 ± 9.7	72.0 ± 8.6	70.6 ± 6.6	71.4 ± 6.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	71.0 ± 7.1 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	73.3 ± 8.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼²³⁾	68.4 ± 5.4 ⁽ⁿ⁼¹⁹⁾	−0.06 (−0.14, 0.03)	0.203
Total body fat mass (kg)	18.4 ± 8.7 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	20.7 ± 9.3	18.3 ± 8.3	16.3 ± 6.7 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	16.0 ± 4.8	16.1 ± 6.8	16.4 ± 6.7 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	14.1 ± 5.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	−0.12 (−0.20, −0.05)	0.001
Total % fat	30.3 ± 8.1 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	32.5 ± 10.0	30.8 ± 8.7	29.3 ± 8.2 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	29.6 ± 6.0	29.0 ± 7.6	30.4 ± 7.9 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	27.9 ± 7.1 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	−0.07 (−0.15, 0.01)	0.09
Android fat mass (kg)	1.1 ± 0.9 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	1.3 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.8	0.9 ± 0.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	0.9 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.6 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	0.8 ± 0.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼³¹⁾	−0.008 (−0.01, −0.001)	0.02
Gynoid fat mass (kg)	4.1 ± 1.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	4.3 ± 1.6	3.9 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.2	3.5 ± 1.0	3.4 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 1.0 ⁽ⁿ⁼³³⁾	−0.03 (−0.04, −0.02)	<0.0001
FMI (kg/m ²)	7.2 ± 3.4 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	8.1 ± 3.7	7.3 ± 3.4	6.5 ± 2.6 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	6.4 ± 1.8	6.2 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 2.7 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	6.0 ± 2.2 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	−0.04 (−0.07, −0.01)	0.009
Total body lean mass (kg)	36.7 ± 4.1 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	37.0 ± 4.4	35.7 ± 4.0	35.0 ± 4.5 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	34.7 ± 3.6	34.7 ± 3.4	33.4 ± 4.7 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	32.5 ± 4.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	−0.11 (−0.16, −0.07)	<0.0001
aLM (kg)	16.9 ± 2.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	16.9 ± 2.2	16.1 ± 2.3	15.6 ± 2.3	15.4 ± 2.1	15.3 ± 2.0	14.7 ± 2.4	14.1 ± 2.2	−0.07 (−0.10, −0.05)	<0.0001
Android lean mass (kg)	2.3 ± 0.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	2.4 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼³⁰⁾	2.2 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.4 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁹⁾	2.2 ± 0.3 ⁽ⁿ⁼³¹⁾	−0.006 (−0.009, −0.003)	0.001
Gynoid lean mass (kg)	5.2 ± 0.9 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	5.2 ± 0.7	5.0 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 0.7	4.8 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 0.7	4.4 ± 0.6 ⁽ⁿ⁼³³⁾	−0.02 (−0.03, −0.01)	<0.0001
aLMI (kg/m ²)	6.6 ± 0.8 ⁽ⁿ⁼²⁷⁾	6.6 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 0.6	6.2 ± 0.7	5.9 ± 0.6	6.1 ± 0.8	5.9 ± 0.7	−0.02 (−0.03, −0.01)	<0.0001

Values are mean ± SD.

β -coefficients are calculated with age as a continuous variable.

Superscript values indicate the group numbers.

Bold indicates significance.

BMI, body mass index; FMI, fat mass index, calculated as whole body fat mass divided by height squared; aLM, appendicular lean mass; aLMI, appendicular lean mass index, calculated as appendicular lean mass divided by height squared.

was seen in daily habitual iron intake, where women had a 33% greater daily iron intake compared to men. Across the age bands, daily habitual calcium intake [mean (SD)] was 295.9 (175.9) mg/day in men and 378.0 (176.0) mg/day in women (**Table 2**).

To:

Overall, men had higher intakes of all micronutrients. Some notable sex differences include a 21% greater daily habitual calcium intake in men than in women (**Table 2**). The greatest sex

difference was seen in daily habitual iron intake, where men had a 33% greater daily iron intake compared to women. Across the age bands, daily habitual calcium intake [mean (SD)] was 378.0 (176.0) mg/day in men and 295.9 (175.9) mg/day in women (**Table 2**).

This error does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

The original article has been updated.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Zengin, Fulford, Sawo, Jarjou, Schoenmakers, Goldberg, Prentice and Ward. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.