Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs): multitargeted anticancer agents

Abstract: Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors are an emerging class of therapeutics with potential as anticancer drugs. The rationale for developing HDAC inhibitors (and other chromatin-modifying agents) as anticancer therapies arose from the understanding that in addition to genetic mutations, epigenetic changes such as dysregulation of HDAC enzymes can alter phenotype and gene expression, disturb homeostasis, and contribute to neoplastic growth. The family of HDAC inhibitors is large and diverse. It includes a range of naturally occurring and synthetic compounds that differ in terms of structure, function, and specificity. HDAC inhibitors have multiple cell type-specific effects in vitro and in vivo, such as growth arrest, cell differentiation, and apoptosis in malignant cells. HDAC inhibitors have the potential to be used as monotherapies or in combination with other anticancer therapies. Currently, there are two HDAC inhibitors that have received approval from the US FDA for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, Zolinza) and depsipeptide (romidepsin, Istodax). More recently, depsipeptide has also gained FDA approval for the treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Many more clinical trials assessing the effects of various HDAC inhibitors on hematological and solid malignancies are currently being conducted. Despite the proven anticancer effects of particular HDAC inhibitors against certain cancers, many aspects of HDAC enzymes and HDAC inhibitors are still not fully understood. Increasing our understanding of the effects of HDAC inhibitors, their targets and mechanisms of action will be critical for the advancement of these drugs, especially to facilitate the rational design of HDAC inhibitors that are effective as antineoplastic agents. This review will discuss the use of HDAC inhibitors as multitargeted therapies for malignancy. Further, we outline the pharmacology and mechanisms of action of HDAC inhibitors while discussing the safety and efficacy of these compounds in clinical studies to date.
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Introduction

Within eukaryotic cells, chromatin architecture consists of tightly packed DNA, histones, and nonhistone proteins. The basic organizing unit of chromatin is the nucleosome and comprises a histone octamer core containing two units each of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, with 147 base pairs of DNA wrapped tightly around the protein core 1.65 times. This allows for highly dynamic chromatin architecture. Chromatin undergoes a continual process of condensation and decondensation, which regulates the access of the cellular machinery to specific DNA sequences to facilitate metabolic processes, including transcription, replication, and repair. The amino acid...
N-terminal tails of each of the core histones are substrates for a variety of enzyme-catalyzed, reversible, posttranslational modifications, including acetylation, phosphorylation, methylation, and ubiquitination. The combined effect of these modifications creates an epigenetic marking system, or a “histone code,” which governs gene expression.  

Of the various posttranslational modifications, histone acetylation is relatively well characterized. The acetylation status of histones is controlled by the opposing actions of two classes of enzymes: histone acetyltransferases (HATs), which transfer acetyl groups to lysine residues within the N-terminal tails of core histones, and histone deacetylases (HDACs), which remove the acetyl groups. The acetylation status of histones influences chromatin conformation and affects the accessibility of transcription factors and effector proteins to the DNA, thereby modifying gene expression.

There are two well-characterized mechanisms by which histone acetylation increases transcriptional activity. Firstly, the transfer of the acetyl moiety of acetyl coenzyme A by HATs results in the acetylation of the ε-amino tails of lysine residue in histones. This neutralizes the positive charge of the histone tails and reduces the affinity of histones for the negatively charged DNA backbone, thereby loosening the structure of the chromatin. This enables the transcriptional machinery to access the DNA and enhances gene transcription. Conversely, HDACs remove the acetyl group from the histone tails, reversing the effects of HATs and altering transcription. Secondly, histone acetylation mediates the recruitment of nonhistone proteins to the DNA. Modification of histone tails creates sites that are recognized by effector proteins, which have bromodomains that specifically interact with the modified residues. Subsequently, the recruited effector proteins modulate DNA transcription. It has been widely accepted that enhanced histone acetylation is associated with transcriptionally active DNA, whereas hypoacetylation of histones is associated with transcriptional repression. However, recent findings have indicated that histone hyperacetylation may not necessarily translate to increased gene expression alone, but also has effects on gene repression or inactivation.

There are currently 18 mammalian HDAC enzymes that have been identified (Table 1). These enzymes are classified into four main classes, based on their homology to yeast. The “classical,” metal-dependent HDAC enzymes involve class I, II, and IV HDACs and the sirtuins; the nonmetal-dependent enzymes represent class III. The sirtuins (1–7) have homology to the yeast silent information regulator 2 and differ from the classical HDAC enzymes, as they require the consumption of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide to deacetylate the lysine residues. The sirtuins have been associated with cell proliferation and cell-cycle control. The classical HDAC enzymes are metal-dependent as they contain zinc catalytic binding domains. Class I enzymes contain HDAC1, -2, -3, and -8 and are expressed ubiquitously and share homology with the yeast transcriptional regulator RDP3. These isotypes are usually expressed within the nucleus and act as transcriptional corepressors. The class II enzymes share homology with the yeast HDAC1 and are subdivided into class IIA, consisting of HDAC4, -5, -7, and -9, and class IIB, containing HDAC6 and -10. These isotypes show tissue-specific distribution and are known to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm, although histone proteins broadly represent their main target. The class IIB enzymes differ in that they primarily localize to the cytoplasm and differ structurally by containing two catalytic sites. HDAC11 shares homology with the class I isotypes, but shows more tissue-specific distribution with cytoplasmic localization. As it shares relationships with both class I and class II HDACs and structural homology to yeast, it has been designated a distinct class IV.

Although HDACs cause the deacetylation of histones, phylogenetic studies indicate that histones are not the primary substrates for HDACs. In fact, HATs and HDACs can also regulate gene expression indirectly by mediating the posttranslational acetylation and deacetylation of various nonhistone protein substrates. HDACs have more than 50 nonhistone protein substrates, such as DNA-binding proteins, transcription factors, signal-transduction molecules, DNA-repair proteins, and chaperone proteins. (Table 2).

### Table 1 Classification of the eleven metal-dependent histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDAC enzyme</th>
<th>Size (amino acid)</th>
<th>Chromosomal size</th>
<th>Localization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I (Rpd3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC1</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>1p34.1</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC2</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>6q21</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC3</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>5q31.3</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC8</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>Xq13</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IIA (Hda1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC4</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>2q37.2</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC5</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>17q21</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC7</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>12q13.1</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC9</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>7p12.1</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IIB (Hda1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC6</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>Xp11.22</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC10</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>22q13.3</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV (Rpd3/Hda1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDAC11</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>3p25.2</td>
<td>Nucleus/cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The posttranslational modification of these nonhistone proteins can affect many vital regulatory processes, including gene expression, mRNA stability, protein activity, and protein stability. For example, HDAC-mediated deacetylation of DNA-binding transcription factors affects their DNA-binding activity, which in turn alters expression of the gene.

Abnormalities in the activity or expression of HDACs and HATs can lead to an imbalance between the acetylation and deacetylation of their substrates. Given the importance of histone acetylation and deacetylation in altering chromatin architecture and regulating gene transcription, it follows that abnormalities in histone acetylation status can play a significant role in human disease. Furthermore, HDACs and HATs have many nonhistone protein substrates, and consequently, the biological implications of HDAC and HAT dysregulation can extend beyond altered gene expression.

Irregularities in histone acetylation status have been implicated in the development and progression of many diseases, particularly cancer. In particular, loss of acetylation at Lys16 and trimethylation at Lys20 of histone H4 has
been found to be a common hallmark of human cancer.\textsuperscript{25} Furthermore, several lines of evidence have demonstrated the involvement of various HDACs in many malignancies. For example, overexpression of specific HDACs has been identified in a range of human cancers, including HDAC1 in gastric\textsuperscript{26} and prostate\textsuperscript{27} cancer, HDAC1 and -6 in breast cancer,\textsuperscript{28,29} and HDAC2 and -3 in colorectal cancer.\textsuperscript{30,31} Furthermore, murine knockout models have given rise to possible side effects from the absence of HDACs. Experiments using HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, HDAC4, or HDAC7 knockout mice showed either embryonic lethality or death soon after birth.\textsuperscript{32–36} HDAC3, HDAC5, and HDAC9 knockouts have shown severe cardiac defects involving hypertrophy and fibrosis, and HDAC8 has displayed craniofacial defects.\textsuperscript{34,37,38} In other cancers, HDAC enzymes are aberrantly recruited to gene promoters. One well-characterized example of aberrant HDAC recruitment in human cancer is that induced by the oncogenic PML–RARα fusion protein. PML–RARα causes acute promyelocytic leukemia by recruiting HDAC-containing complexes to specific target genes, which constitutively repress gene expression.\textsuperscript{39} This has led to the development of HDAC inhibitors (HDACIs) as anticancer therapies.

**Pharmacology, classification, and functions of histone deacetylase inhibitors**

HDACIs are a family of naturally derived and synthetically produced compounds that target the classical HDAC enzymes. They are a diverse group of compounds, which vary in structure, biological activity, and specificity. Although histone hyperacetylation is generally associated with transcriptional activation, inhibition of HDACs (which in turn favors histone hyperacetylation) does not necessarily result in a global increase in gene transcription. It has been estimated that up to 20% of all known genes are affected by HDACIs, and of these genes, about half are downregulated and half are upregulated.\textsuperscript{9}

At present, two HDACIs – vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, Zolinza) and depsipeptide (romidepsin, Istodax) – have received approval from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), and more recently, depsipeptide has gained FDA approval for peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL).\textsuperscript{40,41} Developments have been made to create chemically distinct HDACIs, with several undergoing intensive clinical trials in various malignancies, many of them focusing on hematological entities, such as the leukemias, lymphomas, and myelodysplastic syndrome.\textsuperscript{42,43}

Broadly, HDACIs can be classified into different structural groups (Table 3): the hydroxamic acids, cyclic peptides, benzamides, and short-chain fatty acids. The hydroxamates include vorinostat, givinostat, abexinostat, panobinostat, belinostat, and the prototypical HDACI trichostatin A. The cyclic peptides include compounds such as depsipeptide and trapoxin. Benzamides include entinostat and mocetinostat, and together with the hydroxamates and cyclic peptides, have relatively potent inhibition activity within the nanomolar range. Generally, the hydroxamates exert nonspecific HDAC-inhibition activity affecting all classes of HDACs.\textsuperscript{44,45} Other compounds can exert their properties specifically on class I HDACs, eg, the benzamide entinostat (MS-275), or class I and IIa HDACs, as in the case for the short-chain fatty acids valproic acid (VPA) and butyrate.\textsuperscript{46} Isotype-selective compounds are also increasingly becoming available, eg, tubacin, mocetinostat, and PC-34501 selectively inhibit HDAC6, -1, and -8, respectively.\textsuperscript{47–50} However, there has been much debate over whether isotype and class-specific HDACIs are preferred over broad-spectrum HDACIs.

**Mechanisms of HDAC inhibitors**

The cellular response to HDACIs is complex and is likely to involve transcriptional and nontranscriptional phenomena. By blocking the activity of HDAC enzymes, HDACIs promote the acetylation of histones and nonhistone proteins. HDACI-mediated modification of histones can result in increased or decreased gene expression (Figure 1). In addition, targeting histones can influence other DNA-based processes, including DNA replication and repair. Alternatively, through their actions on nonhistone proteins, such as transcription factors and heat shock proteins, HDACIs can alter transcription indirectly, or they may modulate a wide range of cellular processes other than gene expression, through nontranscriptional mechanisms. As a result of these processes, HDACIs are able to elicit a multitude of biological effects on cells, such as apoptosis, cell-cycle arrest, necrosis, autophagy, differentiation, and migration.\textsuperscript{19,51}

HDACIs have been found to upregulate the cell cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 and subsequently block the cyclin/CDK complexes, leading to cell G\textsubscript{1} cycle arrest in malignant cell lines.\textsuperscript{52,53} Furthermore, HDACIs cause reduced cyclin-dependent kinase activity via the downregulation of cyclins, causing Rb dephosphorylation and indirectly effecting E2F transcription activity.\textsuperscript{54}
### Table 3 Characteristics of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors currently undergoing clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDAC inhibitor</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>HDAC class specificity</th>
<th>Potency</th>
<th>Clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydroxamic acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichostatin A (TSA)</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA)</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>FDA-approved (2006), phase II, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Givinostat (ITF2357)</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abexinostat (PCI-24781)</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinostat (PXD101)</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panobinostat (LBH589)</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase II, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resminostat (4SC-201)</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quisinostat (JNJ-26481585)</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II, IV</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cyclic peptide</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depsipeptide (romidepsin)</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>nM</td>
<td>FDA-approved (2009), phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benzamides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entinostat (MS-275)</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mocetinostat (MGCD0103)</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>HDAC1</td>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Phase I, II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatty acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid (VPA)</td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Phase I, II, III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="structure" /></td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many in vitro studies have shown the combination of HDACIs with DNA-damaging agents and ionizing radiation cause DNA double-strand breaks, measured by the induction of phosphorylated histone H2AX. Although HDACIs may not independently induce DNA double-strand breaks, their involvement in DNA damage may be via several mechanisms. One hypothesis suggests that following alteration of chromatin structure by hyperacetylation, exposure to and severity of DNA-damaging agents is increased. Secondly, genes involved in both the homologous recombination and nonhomologous double-strand break-repair pathways are downregulated by HDACIs, such as Ku86, BRCA1, and RAD51.

Apoptosis, the process of programmed cell death, is mediated by intrinsic and extrinsic pathways and is important for tissue homeostasis and development. Apoptosis has been characterized by plasma membrane blebbing and DNA degradation and fractionation of the cell into small vesicles, which are engulfed by phagocytes. HDACIs have been shown to induce apoptosis in both solid and hematological malignancies using both transcription-dependent and transcription-independent mechanisms.

HDAC inhibition modulates the balance between pro- and antiapoptotic proteins involved in cell death. Death receptors and ligands that characterize the extrinsic apoptotic pathways are upregulated by HDACIs and TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) sensitivity may be restored in TRAIL-resistant malignant cells. The intrinsic apoptotic pathway is characterized by mitochondrial disruption in response to stress. HDACIs downregulate prosurvival proteins such as Bcl-2 and Bcl-1, which maintain mitochondrial integrity, and upregulate proapoptotic proteins such as Bim, Bak, and Bax, which function as sensors of cellular stress and initiate the intrinsic pathway. Furthermore, hyperacetylation in malignant cells has shown to stabilize p53, promoting cell-cycle arrest and expression of proapoptotic genes.

The ability of HDACIs to produce these effects suggests that they may be utilized as effective anticancer drugs, eg, by causing apoptosis, DNA damage, or growth arrest in malignant cells. Notably, it has been widely reported that the actions of HDACIs demonstrate relative selectivity for transformed cells over normal cells. Furthermore, as well as their effects on tumor cells, HDACIs may also have indirect effects on tumor growth by regulating the host immune response and the tumor vasculature.

Angiogenesis – the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting vasculature – is driven by the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) from surrounding endothelial progenitor cells, macrophages, and fibroblasts. Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α mediates the expression of several genes involved in angiogenesis and other signaling pathways via the increased expression of VEGF, which induces tumor blood-vessel formation. HDAC inhibition has been found to regulate HIF-1α activity indirectly in hypoxic conditions by suppressing HIF-1α and VEGF in malignant in vitro and in vivo models, thus blocking angiogenesis. Studies performed under hypoxic conditions in malignant cell lines have shown HDAC1 to be upregulated, subsequently leading to the reduced expression of p53 and von Hippel–Lindau tumor-suppressor genes, with downstream effects of increased HIF-1α and VEGF expression and stimulating angiogenesis of endothelial cells. Treatments with the classical HDAC1 trichostatin A reversed these effects by upregulating p53 and von Hippel–Lindau tumor-suppressor genes and downregulating HIF-1α and VEGF.

Furthermore, HIF-1α can also be suppressed indirectly and independently by p300 acetylation. Hyperacetylation of chaperone protein Hsp90 via inhibition of HDAC6 by HDACIs leads to increased affinity to HIF-1α. As a result, HIF-1α disrupts Hsp90 chaperone function and exposes HIF-1α to proteasomal degradation by Hsp70.
Depsipeptide represents a bicyclic peptide that has demonstrated potent cytotoxic activity against malignant cells in both in vitro investigations and in vivo tumor xenograft models. A plethora of clinical trials have been undertaken with depsipeptide, representing phase I/II and III trials in patients with colorectal, renal, and breast neoplasms and sarcomas, as well as a wide range of hematological malignancies. Nonhematological toxicities have been mild to moderate, with no record of life-threatening or cardiac toxicities. In summary, depsipeptide can be administered with acceptable short-term toxicity; however, monotherapy appears to have limited clinical activity in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome patients.\textsuperscript{80–85}

**Entinostat**

Entinostat (formerly known as MS-2750) has been shown to exhibit many antitumor activities in a range of preclinical investigations. Phase I clinical studies were performed in patients with relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia or refractory solid tumors. Results demonstrated safety and were well tolerated up to 8 mg/m\textsuperscript{2}. No grade 4 toxicities were observed, and dose-limiting toxicities were reversible with no long-term adverse outcomes. Common low-grade toxicities included nausea/vomiting, constipation, fatigue, and cytopenias. HDAC inhibition was observed in PBMCs, and pharmacokinetic analysis suggested a 39 to 80-hour half-life.\textsuperscript{86–88}

**Valproic acid**

VPA is a short-chain fatty acid that has been used in the clinic for the treatment of epilepsy for more than 30 years. Given its HDAC-inhibition activities, VPA has been extensively tested as a monotherapy, but also in combination with other anticancer modalities. In phase I clinical trials, patients with acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome were treated with VPA, with improvement in 24% of patients. Patients who were either not responsive or who relapsed were also administered all-trans retinoic acid, and the response duration was halved with no additional side effects. Overall, the combination of epigenetic therapy appeared to be more successful in leukemias and was associated with a reverse of aberrant epigenetic marks.\textsuperscript{89} In separate studies, patients who had acute myeloid leukemia or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome were administered the combination therapy of the DNA hypomethylating agent azacitidine, all-trans retinoic acid, and VPA. The study reported significant clinical activity and a safe combination.\textsuperscript{90} Phase I clinical studies have also been performed on solid malignancies, with reports of well-tolerated toxicities.\textsuperscript{91–93} In a clinical trial to assess whether VPA can modulate the effectiveness of temozolomide radiochemotherapy in patients with glioblastoma, it was suggested the combined therapy with VPA was more effective over patients treated with an enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drug. Furthermore, patients treated with VPA had greater success over patients who were not administered any antiepileptics. This study suggests that the observed outcome of combining VPA with temozolomide-based chemoradiotherapy is due to the inhibition of HDAC by VPA. However further investigations are required.
to determine whether VPA increases temozolomide bioavailability or sensitizes for radiochemotherapy due to its HDAC-inhibition properties.\textsuperscript{94}

**Novel HDAC inhibitors**

Other than those mentioned earlier, some of the more recent HDACIs that have been tested include abexinostat, givinostat, and mocetinostat. Abexinostat (PCI-24781; formerly CRA-024781) is a broad-spectrum phenyl hydroxamate. Preclinical studies involving combination with radiotherapy have suggested it may act in DNA-repair mechanisms, leading to apoptosis.\textsuperscript{57,95} In a phase I clinical study involving refractory advanced solid tumors, patients were relatively successful, with adverse side effects including

**Table 4** Partial list of current clinical trials involving histone deacetylase inhibitors as single and combination therapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Safety/efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single agents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndromes, bone marrow disease</td>
<td>A: 10 patients</td>
<td>A: 400 mg daily; 21-day cycles up to 8 cycles</td>
<td>Total SAEs, 3/22; lack of efficacy, 10/22; progressive disease, 1/22; response rate, 0/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B: 12 patients</td>
<td>B: 200 mg/3 per day; 21-day cycles up to 8 cycles</td>
<td>SAEs, 7/25; death, 2/25; lack of efficacy, 11/25; CR, 0/25; PR, 1/25; no response, 24/25; median PFS, 4.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
<td>25 patients</td>
<td>400 mg/day; 14 days of 21-day cycle</td>
<td>PR, 1.1%; median PFS, 4 months; median OS, 20 months; no safety issue PR, 2/25 thymoma patients; 0/16 thymic patients; SAEs, 6/41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romidepsin</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
<td>9 patients</td>
<td>13 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} intravenous injection over 4 hours on days 1, 8, and 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panobinostat</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>20 patients</td>
<td>45 mg/day, twice weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belinostat</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Thymoma, thymic carcinoma</td>
<td>41 patients</td>
<td>1000 mg daily; 5 days every 3 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combination therapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>6 cohorts</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200–400 mg/day, bexarotene 150–300 mg/day orally, 3 times a week</td>
<td>SAEs, 2/23; response rate, 4/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bexarotene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 400 mg/day/3 out of 4 weeks, tamoxifen 20 mg daily</td>
<td>OR, 8/43; TTP, 10.3 months; SAEs, 4/43; safety issue yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>43 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200–400 mg/day/3 out of 4 weeks, tamoxifen 20 mg daily</td>
<td>3 discontinued due to SAEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tamoxifen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200–400 mg/2 capsules daily/21-day cycles, bortezomib 0.7–1.3 mg/4 injections per 21-day cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Advanced multiple myeloma</td>
<td>6 cohorts</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days per week, erlotinib 150 mg/day</td>
<td>SAEs, 5/16; discontinued due to progressive disease, 9/16; terminated due to lack of efficacy and overall tolerance in patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bortezomib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days per week, erlotinib 150 mg/day</td>
<td>SAEs, 5/16; discontinued due to progressive disease, 9/16; terminated due to lack of efficacy and overall tolerance in patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>Relapsed/refractory non-small-cell lung cancer</td>
<td>2 cohorts</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days per week, erlotinib 150 mg/day</td>
<td>SAEs, 5/16; discontinued due to progressive disease, 9/16; terminated due to lack of efficacy and overall tolerance in patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erlotinib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days per week, erlotinib 150 mg/day</td>
<td>SAEs, 5/16; discontinued due to progressive disease, 9/16; terminated due to lack of efficacy and overall tolerance in patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Advanced solid cancers</td>
<td>4 cohorts</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200–400 mg/1 or 2 capsules daily/14 days out of 3 weeks, pemetrexed + cisplatin or pemetrexed</td>
<td>SAEs, 20/54 patients; progressive disease, 24/54 patients; safety and tolerability, 24/52 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pemetrexed and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52 total patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days out of 21-day cycle, decitabine 20 mg/5 days of 28-day cycle</td>
<td>SAEs, 15/71; lack of efficacy, 6/71; progressive disease, 42/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisplatin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days out of 21-day cycle, decitabine 20 mg/5 days of 28-day cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Leukemia, myelocytic, acute myelodysplastic syndromes</td>
<td>6 cohorts</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days out of 21-day cycle, decitabine 20 mg/5 days of 28-day cycle</td>
<td>SAEs, 15/71; lack of efficacy, 6/71; progressive disease, 42/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decitabine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71 patients total</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg/day/3 days out of 21-day cycle, decitabine 20 mg/5 days of 28-day cycle</td>
<td>SAEs, 15/71; lack of efficacy, 6/71; progressive disease, 42/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorinostat/</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>16 patients</td>
<td>Vorinostat 200 mg twice daily/14 days of 21-day cycle, trastuzumab 6 mg/kg daily, 1 day out of 21-day cycle</td>
<td>response rate, 0/10; TTP, 1.5 months; SAEs, 4/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trastuzumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PR, partial response; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; OR, objective response; TTP, time to progression; SAEs, serious adverse effects.
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anemia, thrombocytopenia, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and fatigue.  

Givinostat (ITF2357) is a synthetic HDAC inhibitor containing a hydroxamic acid moiety linked to an aromatic ring. Both in vitro and in vivo studies involving human tumor cell lines have shown ITF2357—used either alone or in combination with other agents—to have cytotoxic effects and inhibitory effects on proinflammatory cytokines.  

In a phase II open-label nonrandomized clinical study involving heavily pretreated, relapsed, or refractory Hodgkin’s lymphoma patients, preliminary data showed that the oral application of ITF2357 had antitumor activity with an acceptable safety profile. The toxicity profile included grade 1 leukopenia in 30%, grade 2 thrombocytopenia in 33%, fatigue in 50%, grade 1 diarrhea in 40%, and cardiac QT persistence leading to drug discontinuation in 20% of treated patients.  

Mocetinostat (MGCD0103) is a novel HDAC inhibitor that has strong isotype selectivity to HDAC1 and some weak inhibition to HDAC2, -3, and -11. Studies have found MGCD0103 regulates aberrant gene expression and controls tumorigenic growth in malignancies.  

Phase I and II clinical trials included treatment of advanced solid tumors, relapsed or refractory acute or chronic myeloid leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome, acute lymphocytic leukemia, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma, and Hodgkin’s lymphoma. MGCD0103 was well tolerated and had antileukemia activity, with side effects consisting mainly of fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and dehydration.  

A phase I/II trial with MGCD0103 alone or in combination with gemcitabine was performed in patients with solid tumors recently. Preclinical studies found the combination therapy to be more effective than using MGCD0103 alone.  

In summary, extensive cell-based assays and clinical studies with HDAC inhibitors have been shown to reduce proliferation, induce cell death and apoptosis, cause cell-cycle arrest, and prevent differentiation and migration selectively in malignant and transformed cells with little effect in normal cells.  

This provides them with an advantageous stand-alone therapeutic window in oncology. In addition to their intrinsic cytotoxic properties when tested as a single treatment, HDAC inhibitors have been shown to induce additive cytotoxic effects when used in combination with conventional anticancer therapies, such as chemotherapy (anthracyclines and retinoic acid) and radiotherapy.  

Furthermore, studies with HDAC inhibitors in combination with ultraviolet radiation and potent iodinated DNA minor groove-binding ligands have been shown to augment photosensitization and cytotoxicity in tumor cells.  

Efficacy and safety issues with the use of HDAC inhibitors  

Currently, within clinical trials, the overall response rate of patients to HDACIs has been promising, with generally approximately 30% patient success. However, the outcomes from long-term case studies have yet to be reported. There has also been little indication whether class-specific HDACIs such as MS-275 or panspecific HDACIs such as vorinostat have been more successful. The toxicity profiles of HDACIs can be compared between the different types, with side effects mainly consisting of diarrhea, myelosuppression and cardiac QT persistence. Most HDACIs have a half-life of 2–8 hours in plasma and will undergo hepatic metabolism and subsequent intestinal excretions.  

Furthermore, the use of HDACIs in nononcological models, such as heart disease including cardiac hypertrophy and myocardial ischemia/reperfusion, has been investigated with the therapeutic potential remaining controversial.  

Investigations from our laboratory aiming to explore the combinatorial effects of the broad-spectrum HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A with chemotherapy using the anthracycline doxorubicin to induce hypertrophy in rat cardiac myocytes also suggested detrimental effects caused by the HDACI.  

We reported that trichostatin A augmented doxorubicin-induced hypertrophy by altering the expression of hypertrophy-associated genes. In addition, further investigations indicated that pretreatment but not posttreatment of cardiac myocytes exposed to trichostatin A and the short-chain fatty acids, VPA, and sodium butyrate augmented DNA damage induced by doxorubicin.  

It has been proposed that the uncertainty around the therapeutic potential of HDAC inhibitors in heart disease stems from the disparate actions of class I and II HDACs.  

Given the differential findings and the disparity of the actions of the HDACs, particularly in the heart, it is suggested that using isotype- or class-specific HDACIs over broad-spectrum inhibitors may be more successful in this regard.  

Conclusion  

HDACIs are a promising new group of anticancer agents that have shown positive responses in preclinical and clinical trials. HDACIs have been shown to induce malignant cell death over a large range of solid and hematological malignancies, with generally normal cell resistance. The mechanism of action of HDACIs requires further precise investigations, and normal cell resistance is not understood. However, this will provide an advantageous therapeutic potential over current conventional oncological modalities,
which display adverse side effects in normal cells. Furthermore, HDACIs display their biological effects across multiple pathways within the malignant cell, including extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis, autophagy, inhibiting proliferation, migration, and tumor angiogenesis and effects in the immune response. The fact the normal cells are relatively resistant combined with the multiple defects induced in cancer cells has allowed for relatively high tolerance within clinical investigations. Although clinical trials have been promising, a proportion of patients appear to be unresponsive to HDACI therapy. Accumulating evidence suggests that HDACI therapy may be more successful in combination with other targeted anticancer agents.

To date, a range of structurally different inhibitors has been developed that broadly inhibits several HDACs. By developing HDACIs and increasing our understanding of their target HDAC enzymes as well as the effects of targeted inhibitors, we will foster anticancer modalities that are safer and more effective over the current nontargeted agents and current conventional oncological modalities. This will also provide justification for the use of HDACIs as potential therapies for nononcological applications, where we can gain fewer off-target effects by targeting effective biological pathways and processes to reverse or inhibit disease states.
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