Abstract

Objectives: Antibody-mediated rejection in transplant recipients with preexisting donor-specific antibodies is a challenging clinical situation. However, we lack suitable animal models to study this scenario. The aim of this study was to develop an animal model of acute antibody-mediated rejection of renal allografts in sensitized recipients.

Materials and Methods: We used major histocompatibility complex class I and II incompatible rat strains (Dark Agouti RT1av1 and Lewis RT1l), which develop aggressive rejection. Recipient Lewis rats were immunized with donor strain spleen cells 5 days before surgery to induce donor-specific antibodies. Rats underwent bilateral nephrectomy and orthotopic transplant of the donor kidney. To minimize T-cell-mediated rejection while allowing the development of donor-specific antibodies, recipient animals were given tacrolimus starting the day before surgery.

Results: Hyperacute rejection was not seen, but acute graft dysfunction was evident on day 1 with a rapid deterioration of graft function by day 3. Histologic damage featured glomerulopathy, capillaritis, capillary thrombosis, and acute tubular injury. Recipients exhibited high serum levels of donor-specific antibodies and deposition of immunoglobulin G and C4d on graft endothelium. Immunostaining showed substantial endothelial damage, fibrin deposition in glomerular and peritubular capillaries, and infiltrates of macrophages, neutrophils, and natural killer cells. T-cell activation was efficiently suppressed by tacrolimus.

Conclusions: We have developed a clinically relevant model of acute antibody-mediated rejection in recipients with preexisting donor-specific antibodies, which is suitable for testing novel therapies.
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Introduction

Rejection remains the main cause of graft loss after renal transplant. Cellular rejection is now well understood and generally managed effectively by current immunosuppressive regimens, leaving antibody-mediated rejection (AMR) as the major issue facing clinicians.1 Acute AMR is a significant cause of acute graft loss, whereas chronic AMR is a major cause of death-censored graft loss.2,3 The mechanisms underlying AMR are not fully understood, and current therapies for AMR are suboptimal. Indeed, potential transplant opportunities are foregone due to the presence of donor-specific antibodies (DSAs) in highly sensitized patients because of the risk of AMR. As a result, such patients face extended wait times on the transplant wait list.

Antibody-mediated rejection is driven by DSAs, which either predate transplant or are produced de novo posttransplant.1,4 Anti-HLA antibodies are formed after sensitizing events such as blood transfusions, pregnancies, and prior organ transplant procedures. Alloantibodies, with or without the synergistic effects of complement, activate the donor graft endothelium, which is subsequently transformed from an anti-inflammatory barrier to a procoagulant and chemotactic surface.4 Infiltrating monocytes and neutrophils can mediate graft injury through the production of reactive oxygen species and proinflammatory cytokines. Platelet activation and natural killer (NK) cell infiltration are also prominent features of AMR.1,4
To date, relatively few models of AMR exist in kidney transplantation, and these have largely focused on inducing rejection by passive transfer of DSA once the graft is functioning normally. To enable investigation of acute AMR in sensitized patients, we aimed to create a more clinically relevant model in which renal allografts are performed in recipient animals with high levels of preexisting DSAs and in which the confounding effects of cellular rejection are suppressed. This study was undertaken in the rat using kidney transplant across major histocompatibility complex class I and II barriers.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Mouse antirat monoclonal antibodies used in this study included ED1 (CD68), RECA-1 (endothelium), CD161 (NK cells), R73 (rat αβ T-cell receptor) (Serotec, Oxford, UK), as well as RP1 (neutrophils) (Becton Dickinson, San Diego, CA, USA). Other antibodies included rabbit antifibrinogen (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat polyclonal antibodies against rat immunoglobulin G; (IgG; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), rabbit anti-C4d (Hycult Biotech, Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA), and Alexa594 conjugated donkey antirabbit IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Biotinylated secondary antibodies were goat antimouse IgG (Zymed, San Francisco, CA, USA) and goat antirabbit IgG (Invitrogen), which were detected using a Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Other secondary antibodies included horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG, goat antimouse IgG, and mouse peroxidase-conjugated antiperoxidase complexes (all from Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Tacrolimus was obtained in powder form from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). 

Animals

Male Dark Agouti (DA/Arc, RT1^av1) and Lewis (Lew/Arc RT1^l) rats were obtained from the Animal Resources Centre (Perth, WA, Australia). The animal studies were approved by the Monash Medical Centre Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

Recipient sensitization

The spleen was retrieved after killing a donor DA rat, teased apart, and passed through a cell strainer. Red blood cells were removed by ammonium chloride lysis, and the spleen cells were washed and counted. Recipient Lewis rats were immunized by an intraperitoneal injection of \( 1 \times 10^8 \) spleen cells in phosphate-buffered saline 5 days before transplant.

Transplant surgery

Rat kidney transplant surgery was performed as previously described.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\) The donor rat was anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine, and the left kidney was retrieved and perfused with ice-cold Ross solution via the renal artery and stored in the ice-cold solution while the recipient was prepared. The recipient rat was anesthetized, and then the left kidney was removed and replaced orthotopically with the donor kidney. The recipient and donor ureters were attached using a polyethylene stent after revascularization of the kidney. The recipient right kidney was then removed. Before closure, the renal allografts were visualized to ensure reperfusion and lack of hyperacute rejection. The animals were injected with bupivacaine analgesia intraarterially before abdominal closure and again the following morning. The day of surgery was defined as day 0. A blood sample was collected on day 1 to assess early graft function. The right kidney from the donor rat was used as normal control. Serum creatinine levels were measured by the Department of Biochemistry, Monash Medical Centre.

Inhibition of T-cell-mediated rejection

The T-cell response was suppressed by daily subcutaneous injection of Lewis recipient rats with tacrolimus (1 mg/kg in saline) beginning on day -1 and continuing until animals were killed.

Detection of donor-specific antibodies

Donor strain DA thymocytes were incubated with 100-μL recipient serum samples diluted (1:80) in phosphate-buffered saline with 2% fetal calf serum and 1% bovine serum albumin for 60 minutes at room temperature. After 3 washes, cells were labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated mouse antirat IgG, washed, and analyzed on a MoFlo flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, NSW, Australia). Controls included serum from control Lewis rats (no experimentation) and use of the secondary antibody alone.

Histology and immunostaining

Sections (2 μm) of formalin-fixed kidney tissue were stained using periodic acid-Schiff reagent with
hematoxylin. Immunoperoxidase staining for macrophages and fibrinogen was performed on 4-μm formalin-fixed tissue sections using microwave-based antigen retrieval and an ABC amplification system as previously described. Immunoperoxidase staining for T cells, neutrophils, NK cells, and endothelium was performed on 5-μm cryostat sections of 2% paraformaldehyde-fixed tissue using the peroxidase-conjugated antiperoxidase amplification system. Detection of rat IgG and C4d was performed by immunofluorescence staining on cryostat sections of snap-frozen tissue.

**Real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction**

RNA was extracted from frozen kidney samples with the RiboPure RNA isolation kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and reverse transcribed using random hexamer primers (Superscript II, Invitrogen). Real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction was performed on a StepOne machine (Applied Biosystems, Scoresby, Victoria, Australia) with thermal cycling conditions of 37°C for 10 minutes and 95°C for 5 minutes, which was followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 20 seconds. Primers and probes for CD3ε and interleukin 2 (IL-2) were purchased from Applied Biosystems or used as previously described. The relative amount of mRNA was calculated using the comparative Ct method. All amplicons were normalized against the 18S RNA internal control (Applied Biosystems).

**Results**

The model that we developed is shown schematically in Figure 1. To induce DSA, recipient Lewis rats were immunized with 1 × 10⁸ DA spleen cells by intraperitoneal injection 5 days before transplant (termed day -5). Recipients were treated with tacrolimus to suppress T-cell activation beginning on day -1. This was designed to allow T-cell help for the production of DSA and then to suppress T-cell-mediated rejection. Recipient rats then underwent bilateral nephrectomy and transplant with a DA strain kidney (day 0) and were killed 3 days later.

An important aspect of this model was the lack of hyperacute rejection. Transplanted kidneys appeared of a good color macroscopically upon reperfusion. Recipient rats showed acute graft dysfunction at day 1 with serum creatinine levels raised 5-fold over that in normal rats, and graft function further declined at day 3 (Figure 2a). On day 3, grafts exhibited the histologic lesions consistent with acute AMR: peritubular capillaritis, glomerulitis, microthrombosis, and acute tubular injury (Figure 2, b-e). Graft-dependent studies were not attempted beyond day 3 since pilot studies in recipients retaining 1 native kidney found that allografts were severely damaged with areas of infarction and massive leukocytic infiltration on days 5 and 7 (data not shown). In addition, pilot studies found that a 10-day period of sensitization with spleen cells caused severe rejection in some animals on day 3 (data not shown), leading us to use the 5-day sensitization period.

**Figure 1.** Outline of the Model of Antibody-Mediated Rejection of Kidney Allografts in Sensitized Recipient Rats

**Abbreviations:** DSA, donor-specific antibodies

**Figure 2.** Acute Renal Allograft Rejection

(a) Serum creatinine as a measure of graft function (n = 8). Analysis by one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni posttest: *P < .05, ***P < .001 versus normal. (b-e) periodic acid-Schiff staining of day 3 allografts. (b) Medium power showing lesions of peritubular capillaritis, glomerular thrombosis, and acute tubular injury. Higher power views show examples of (c) glomerular thrombosis, (d) glomerulitis, and (e) peritubular capillaritis (from panel b). Original magnification: (b) ×250, (c-e) ×400.

High serum levels of DSA in tacrolimus-treated recipient Lewis rats on day 3 posttransplant was confirmed by flow cytometry using DA donor strain thymocytes (Figure 3, a-c). Immunofluorescence
staining showed deposition of IgG in glomerular capillaries and along the walls of peritubular capillaries and larger blood vessels (Figure 3d). In addition, deposition of C4d was evident in glomerular and peritubular capillaries (Figure 3e).

Figure 3. Flow Cytometric Analyses of Donor-Specific Antibodies in the Antibody-Mediated Rejection Model

Monocytes/macrophages were by far the dominant infiltrating leukocyte population. Compared with the resident macrophage population, there was a florid infiltrate of CD68+ macrophages throughout the interstitium and within glomeruli (Figure 4, a and b). A patchy interstitial infiltrate of neutrophils and NK cells was also evident (Figure 4, c-f). Fibrin deposition was prominent in glomerular and peritubular capillaries (Figure 5, a and b). In addition, a loss of RECA-1 staining of glomerular and peritubular capillaries was seen in a patchy distribution, indicating significant endothelial damage (Figure 5, c and d).

An important aspect of the model is the use of tacrolimus to suppress T-cell activation. In pilot studies, we confirmed that a significant DSA response occurs within 5 days of a recipient rat being immunized with donor spleen cells and that once-daily 1 mg/kg tacrolimus, started 2 days before donor spleen cell immunization, prevented the DSA response, presumably through inhibition of T-cell help (Figure 6). In addition, we confirmed the ability of tacrolimus to inhibit the T-cell response when

Figure 4. Leukocyte Infiltration on Day 3 in the Antibody-Mediated Rejection Model

Figure 5. Endothelial Damage in the Antibody-Mediated Rejection Model

Abbreviations: AMR, antibody-mediated rejection; DSA, donor-specific antibodies; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate

Donor DA rat strain thymocytes were incubated with a 1:80 dilution of serum from (a) normal Lewis rats (control) or (b) day 3 (D3) recipient Lewis rats. (c) Quantification of the percentage of donor thymocytes stained. Immunofluorescence staining of a day 3 recipient Lewis rat shows deposition of (d) rat immunoglobulin G (IgG) and (e) C4d, along glomerular and peritubular capillaries. Original magnification: ×250 (d and e).

Abbreviations: AMR, antibody-mediated rejection

Immunostaining for CD68 shows (a) resident macrophages in normal rat kidney and (b) a florid infiltrate of monocyte/macrophages in the interstitium and glomeruli of the rejecting allograft at day 3 (D3). Few neutrophils are seen in normal rat kidney (c), whereas patchy neutrophil infiltrates are seen in D3 allografts (d). Few natural killer (NK) cells are evident in normal rat kidney (e), whereas patchy NK cell infiltrates are present in D3 allografts (f). Original magnification: ×250.
started on day -1 in the AMR model. In this study, recipient rats either received, or did not receive, tacrolimus treatment starting on day -1 and were killed on day 3 after transplant, although the recipients retained 1 native kidney. Without tacrolimus treatment, grafts had a heavy T-cell infiltrate, as shown by immunostaining and CD3ε mRNA levels (Figure 7, a and c) and T-cell activation shown by marked increases in mRNA levels for IL-2, granzyme B, and IL-17A (Figure 7, d-f). Tacrolimus significantly reduced, but did not abrogate, T-cell infiltration into the allograft (Figure 7, b and c); however, this treatment did effectively suppress T-cell activation based on IL-2 and IL-17A mRNA levels, while the remaining increase in granzyme B mRNA levels may be due to production by NK cells (Figure 7, d-f).

Discussion

We have developed a model encompassing biochemical and histologic features similar to those of acute AMR in the sensitized patient. Preexisting DSA caused acute allograft rejection after kidney transplant but did not result in hyperacute rejection, making this a viable model. The rapid development of allograft rejection in sensitized recipient rats exhibited all 3 Banff criteria for acute AMR10 in terms of rejection.
of (1) histologic evidence of acute tissue injury, including microvascular inflammation (peritubular capillaritis), acute thrombotic microangiopathy, and acute tubular injury; (2) evidence of recent antibody interaction with the vascular endothelium, including endothelial IgG deposition, linear C4d staining of peritubular capillaries, and overt endothelial cell damage; and (3) serologic evidence of DSA, including high serum levels of DSA shown by flow cytometry. The development of acute AMR in this model was accompanied by macrophage infiltration and patchy infiltrates of neutrophils and NK cells.

Few studies in the literature have examined models of AMR in sensitized recipients. The most common approach is to perform organ transplant in immuno-deficient mice and subsequently induce rejection by administration of DSA. In addition, anti-C5 monoclonal antibodies have been tested in a mouse model of AMR utilizing major histocompatibility complex-mismatched cardiac allografts, although this was performed in the absence of preexisting DSA. In kidney allograft transplant, a model of chronic rejection has been described in Lewis rats, which developed donor-specific anti-mesangial cell antibodies upon receiving donor Fischer rat kidneys. The AMR model most closely resembling our present study is one in which recipient mice were sensitized with donor strain skin grafts 1 week before transplant. Lesions of AMR were evident in this model; however, the contribution of AMR to graft dysfunction was complicated by the use of low-dose cyclosporine, which only partly inhibited cell-mediated rejection.

An important feature of this model is the minimization of T-cell-mediated rejection, thereby allowing investigation of the mechanisms of AMR and potential therapies for AMR without the confounding contribution of cell-mediated rejection, which is generally well treated by current immunosuppressive drugs. We utilized tacrolimus to inhibit the T-cell response since this is used clinically and is a generally applicable approach across species. Our findings that tacrolimus reduced allograft T-cell infiltration and suppressed T-cell activation are consistent with the known actions of tacrolimus in allograft rejection. Tacrolimus was selected for use in our model versus cyclosporine since it is more effective in suppressing secondary T-cell responses.

One limitation of this model is that it focuses on the early stages of acute rejection caused by preexisting DSA. Further studies are warranted to develop a model of de novo DSA generation in the established transplant.

In conclusion, we have developed a clinically relevant model of AMR with the biochemical and histologic features of AMR consistent with the human condition. The model results in high levels of DSA mimicking the highly sensitized potential transplant recipient and results in AMR without significant cellular rejection. This model will be useful in investigating mechanisms of acute antibody-mediated allograft damage and in testing new therapies to block AMR in the sensitized recipient.
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