

# Incident osteoarthritis associated with increased allied health services use in 'baby boomer' Australian women

Lynne Parkinson,<sup>1</sup> Rachael Moorin,<sup>2</sup> Geeske Peeters,<sup>3,4</sup> Julie Byles,<sup>5</sup> Fiona Blyth,<sup>6</sup> Gillian Caughey,<sup>7</sup> Michelle Cunich,<sup>8</sup> Parker Magin,<sup>9,5</sup> Lyn March,<sup>10</sup> Dimity Pond<sup>9,5</sup>

Osteoarthritis (OA), a degenerative joint disease, characterised by ongoing loss of cartilage and wear on the bony structures of joints, is a common, disabling and progressive chronic disease, which affects more women than men globally.<sup>1,2</sup> The Global Burden of Disease project found that OA ranks in the top 20 causes of disability in every region except central sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>3</sup> OA is also a costly condition, and was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion in direct health expenditure in Australia in 2008–09.<sup>4</sup>

The prevalence of OA is increasing due to population ageing. The United Nations estimates that 20% of the global population will be aged over 60 years by 2050.<sup>5</sup> Assuming a conservative estimate that 15% of that 20% will have symptomatic OA, with one-third of these people severely disabled,<sup>6</sup> we can expect that, by 2050, 130 million people will have OA worldwide and 40 million people will be severely disabled by OA.<sup>6,7</sup>

There is no cure for OA; consequently, treatment focuses on pain management and maintenance of joint mobility, function and wellbeing.<sup>8</sup> Thus, in an environment of constrained government spending, it is important for policymakers to understand the factors that enable people with OA to remain active and independent in the community with optimal functional capacity.

## Abstract

**Objective:** To explore impact of incident osteoarthritis (OA) on health services use by Australian women born 1946–51.

**Methods:** Secondary analysis of Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health survey data linked to Medicare Australia databases (2002 to 2011). Medicare services use was compared for two groups: OA group (n=761) – reported incident OA in 2007; Never group (n=4346) – did not report arthritis in time frame. Interrupted time series regression compared health services use over time.

**Results:** The OA group had higher health services use than the Never group. Rate of services use increased over time for both groups. Rate of increase in quarterly doctor attendances was significantly lower for the OA group after onset of OA, with no corresponding change for the Never group.

**Conclusions:** A pre-existing higher use of health services is associated with reporting incident OA, compared to those who never report arthritis. After onset of OA, rate of doctor use reduced and allied health use increased, consistent with recommended Australian treatment guidelines.

**Implications:** This study provides a rare insight into change in healthcare use for people reporting incident OA, against an appropriate comparison group, highlighting the importance of early diagnosis of OA to optimise effective use of health services.

**Key words:** osteoarthritis, arthritis, women, health services, aged

One of the factors affecting maintenance of functional capacity is access to healthcare services to manage OA. Population-level studies (using aggregated data) have shown that people who report musculoskeletal conditions use more out-of-hospital services than those with other types of chronic conditions.<sup>2,9</sup> Population-level estimates of health services use are essentially estimates

of the total expenditure for each condition by the health system. While these estimates provide good approximations of costs for specific populations and concordance with known expenditures, they cannot provide the detailed information on health service use for musculoskeletal conditions that comes from analysis of individual-level data.<sup>9</sup> Regularly released government reports on

1. Central Queensland University, Human Health and Social Sciences

2. Centre for Population Health Research, Curtin University of Technology, Western Australia

3. School of Population Health, The University of Queensland

4. School of Human Movement Studies, The University of Queensland

5. Research Centre for Gender, Health and Ageing, The University of Newcastle, New South Wales

6. Concord Clinical School, University of Sydney, New South Wales

7. Quality Use of Medicines and Pharmacy Research Centre, Sansom Institute for Health Research, University of South Australia

8. NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre, University of Sydney, New South Wales

9. Discipline of General Practice, The University of Newcastle, New South Wales

10. Department of Public Health, University of Sydney, New South Wales

**Correspondence to:** Professor Lynne Parkinson, CQ University Australia, Building 32, North Rockhampton, Queensland 4702; e-mail: l.parkinson@cqu.edu.au

Submitted: September 2015; Revision requested: November 2015; Accepted: January 2016

The authors have stated they have no conflict of interest.

healthcare use by people with arthritis, based on national and regional (aggregated) data,<sup>10</sup> cannot track change in healthcare use related to incidence of disease or increasing severity of disease. Individual level data is needed to understand the course of healthcare access and demand in relation to specific disease. There are few studies that have examined healthcare use using individually linked administrative datasets for people with arthritis,<sup>11–14</sup> and very few studies describing healthcare use by those reporting incident arthritis (that is, the first diagnosis of arthritis), and these do not consider OA.<sup>15</sup>

This study aimed to explore the effect of self-reported incident OA on patterns of health services use over time in a representative group of community living 'baby boomer' Australian women using person-level health survey data linked with administrative data.

## Methods

### Design and setting

A retrospective population-based cohort study was undertaken, using data from participants in Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) with or without incident OA between 2001 and 2010. ALSWH is an Australian national study that began in 1996 with a random sample of more than 40,000 women in three birth cohorts.<sup>16</sup> The ALSWH surveys a range of health, social, psychological and demographic variables.<sup>17</sup> Retention rates over the duration of the study have been consistently high; more than 90% for each survey of the 1946–51 cohort.<sup>18</sup> Detailed methods for ALSWH are available from [www.alswh.org.au](http://www.alswh.org.au).

### Sample

This study included women from the 1946–51 cohort of the ALSWH who reported a diagnosis of incident OA in 2007 (when aged 56–61 years) and a comparison sample of women in the same cohort who never reported any form of arthritis (between 2001 and 2010). The sample comprised women living in the community whose healthcare use would include mostly doctor, allied health and self-managed care.

Ethical approval for data collection and analyses within the ALSWH project was obtained through the University of Queensland, the University of Newcastle, Central Queensland University and the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing.

### Data Sources

#### ALSWH survey data

This paper focuses on ALSWH's 'baby boomer' women (born 1946–51) who completed Survey 1 in 1996 (n=13,716), and three-yearly follow-up surveys since 1998. They were aged 45–50 years at Survey 1 and 59–64 years at Survey 6 (n=10,011) in 2010.

Sociodemographic variables included: year of birth, country of birth, Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA ranks areas in Australia according to relative socio-economic advantage and disadvantage, based on information from the five-yearly Census),<sup>19</sup> Australian state of residence, ARIA accessibility to services (area of residence), Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander status, education, marital status, ability to manage on income, current sources of income, current employment status, occupation, partner occupation, concessional health care card status, private health insurance (hospital or ancillary) and caregiver status. Health variables included: smoking status, alcohol use, WHO Body Mass Index,<sup>20</sup> physical activity, report of chest pain, headaches/migraine or pain in joints or back in the past 12 months, report of doctor diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, anxiety/depression, osteoporosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease/asthma, diabetes or other medical condition in the last three years, depression (SF36 Mental Component Scores: Score less than or equal to 52 means not depressed; score greater than 52 means depressed),<sup>21</sup> and SF36 Physical Component Scores.<sup>21</sup>

#### Medicare Australia data

Medicare Australia data includes claims for health services funded by Medicare and Department of Veterans' Affairs (see: <http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/provider/medicare/mbs.jsp>), and records of subsidised prescriptions under the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (see: <http://www.pbs.gov.au/pbs/home>). These datasets provide information about consumer beneficiary status, medical and allied health services, dates of services and cost of services. They do not include reason for service. For this study, ALSWH survey data are linked to Medicare Australia's health services records from 2002 to 2011 for consenting participants (67% of this ALSWH cohort). There are no specific health services items related to OA, so attendances are classified according to

Medicare Broad Type of Service,<sup>22</sup> and some specific higher volume services (general practitioners [GPs], specialists and allied health services). Medicines specifically related to arthritis were used to define the sample groups.

### Definition of study groups

At ALSWH Survey 5 (2007), women were asked: "In the past three years have you been diagnosed or treated for: a) osteoarthritis; b) rheumatoid arthritis; c) other arthritis". Women could report having more than one type of arthritis. "Doctor diagnosed arthritis" is an internationally accepted measure of self-reported arthritis, used in World Health Organization (WHO) surveys,<sup>23</sup> and an appropriate arthritis case definition for population level studies.

Two groups were defined, from ALSWH survey and Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme medicines data:

- **OA Group met the criteria of:** Reported 'OA' or 'other arthritis' (i.e. not rheumatoid arthritis) at Survey 5 (2007); did not report arthritis of any type at Survey 3 (2001) or Survey 4 (2004); did not self-report use of self-defined "arthritis medicines" at any survey before Survey 5, did not use DMARDs (disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs) at any time (by self-report in ALSWH or Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme data). If a woman had used "arthritis medicines" before 2007, she was assumed to have arthritis before 2007. If a woman had used DMARDs, she was assumed to have rheumatoid arthritis.
- **Never Group met the criteria of:** Never reported arthritis of any kind at any survey (Survey 3 [2001] to Survey 6 [2010]); did not report use of medications for arthritis at any survey; did not use DMARDs at any time. This comparison group represents a "general population" without arthritis group. They would be expected to have a range of other chronic diseases. However, those with other arthritis are excluded as these conditions might be expected to have similar symptoms and affect health service use.

### Analyses

- Self-reported sociodemographic and health characteristics of the two groups (OA and Never) in 2007 were described and compared using chi-square analyses. Individual cell contribution to chi square,

with Bonferroni adjustment, were calculated where variables included more than two levels. SF36 Physical Component Score means were compared between groups using the Mann-Whitney U test.

- The rate of Medicare Broad Type of Service attendance (Unreferred–Vocationally Registered General Practitioners, Unreferred–Other, Specialists, Pathology Tests, Unreferred–Enhanced Primary Care, Allied Health)<sup>22</sup> was compared between groups, for two time segments (2002 to 2006 and 2007 to 2011), relative to onset of OA using person time at risk of utilisation as the denominator. Incidence rate differences between and within groups across the two time segments were compared with the exact test.
- Annual rates of specific Medicare service types (GP, Specialist and Allied Health services) were graphed to examine changes over time for both groups. Interrupted Time Series regression models were used to examine the differences in quarterly rates of use of GP and other Specialist services in relation to onset of OA (step at first quarter of 2007).<sup>24</sup> All tests were conducted at 5% significance level. The majority of analyses were undertaken in SPSS (v. 19); with Interrupted Time Series analyses undertaken in STATA (v. 11).

## Results

### Sample characteristics

There were 761 women who met the inclusion criteria for the OA group, and 4,346 women in the Never Group. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic and health variables that were significantly different between groups at the  $p < 0.05$  level, in 2007, when both groups were aged 56 to 61 years. The OA group had poorer sociodemographic indicators than the Never group, with more women reporting no formal education, difficulty managing on their income and greater dependence on government support payments; and fewer women reporting having private hospital insurance. The OA group also reported significantly poorer health than the Never group, with lower physical functioning, fewer women reporting a healthy weight and more reporting obesity, comorbid conditions, pain and depression. There were no significant differences between groups for SEIFA quintile, state of residence, country of birth, ATSI identity, accessibility to services by area of residence (ARIA), marital status, occupation

type, partner occupation, employment status, smoking status, alcohol use, physical activity levels or diagnosis of cancer.

### Medicare Broad Type of Service attendances

In the time period before onset of OA, the OA group had a significantly higher rate of vocationally registered (VR) GP ( $p < 0.0001$ ), other GP ( $p = 0.0044$ ) and Specialist ( $p < 0.0001$ ) attendances, compared to the Never group.

In the time period after onset of OA, the OA group had significantly higher rates than the Never group for VR GP ( $p < 0.0001$ ), and other GP ( $p = 0.0001$ ) attendances (see Table 2).

For the OA group, between time periods, attendance rates for VR GP ( $p < 0.015$ ) significantly decreased and attendance rates for Allied Health significantly increased ( $p = 0.0001$ ). For the Never group, between time periods, attendance rates for VR GP ( $p < 0.0016$ ) and Other GP ( $p < 0.0001$ ) significantly decreased and Specialist attendances increased ( $p < 0.0001$ ).

### Rates of specific Medicare health services attendances

Over the study period (2001 to 2011), the OA group always had higher General Practitioner and Specialist annual attendances compared to the Never group, and increasingly higher Allied health services annual attendances from onset of OA, as shown in Figure 1.

### Interrupted Time Series regression models

#### General Practitioner (GP) services

There was a significant difference in the trends for GP service use over time across the two groups. In the OA group, the quarterly rate of GP use was significantly higher than in the Never group prior to onset of OA, following which it reduced to be not significantly different to the Never group. In comparison, the rate of GP services in the Never group (representing the background rate of service use) did not change significantly over the two time periods (Figure 2).


**Table 1: Characteristics of Never group (women who never reported arthritis, 2001–10) compared to OA group (women who reported onset of OA [osteoarthritis] in 2007) in 2007 (significant variables only,  $p < 0.05$ ).**

Characteristic		Never Group		OA Group		p	
		N	%	N	%		
Education	No formal education	540	12.4%	128*	16.8%	0.005	
	High school level education	2092	48.1%	362	47.6%		
	Tertiary level education	1676	38.6%	266	35.0%		
Managing on income	Difficult	1272	29.3%	278	36.5%	<0.0001	
Current income source	Pension or Allowance	667	15.3%	174*	22.9%	<0.0001	
	Superannuation	519	11.9%	49*	6.4%		0.001
	Wage or salary	1589	36.6%	316	41.5%		
	Investment or business	1476	34.0%	207	27.2%		
	Other	61	1.4%	11	1.4%		
Caregiver	Yes	33	0.8%	14	1.8%	0.011	
PHI Hospital	Yes	3057	70.3%	488	64.1%	0.003	
PHI Ancillary	Yes	2728	62.8%	440	57.8%	0.029	
Health Care Card	Yes	1027	23.6%	208	27.3%	0.028	
WHO BMI	Underweight, BMI <18.5	75	1.7%	8	1.1%	<0.0001	
	Healthy weight, 18.5 ≤ BMI <25	1771	40.8%	237*	31.1%		
	Overweight, 25 ≤ BMI <30	1482	34.1%	266	35.0%		
	Obese, BMI ≥30	907	20.9%	231*	30.4%		
CVD	Yes	1160	26.7%	240	31.5%	0.006	
Anxiety/Depression	Yes	314	7.2%	177	23.3%	<0.0001	
Osteoporosis	Yes	207	4.8%	73	9.6%	<0.0001	
COPD/Asthma	Yes	417	9.6%	110	14.5%	<0.0001	
Diabetes	Yes	292	6.7%	80	10.5%	<0.0001	
Chest pain	Yes	742	17.1%	194	25.5%	<0.0001	
Headache or migraine	Yes	3025	69.6%	587	77.1%	<0.0001	
Pain in joints or back	Yes	3534	81.3%	720	94.6%	<0.0001	
Depression (SF36 MCS)	Yes	2697	62.1%	346	45.5%	<0.0001	
SF36 PCS	Mean (SD)	4279	49.69 (7.86)	734	43.37 (10.63)	<0.0001a	

BMI=body mass index; COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD=cardiovascular disease; SF36 MCS= SF36 Mental Component Score; PCS= Physical Component Score; PHI=private health insurance; WHO=World Health Organization

a: Mann-Whitney U Test

**Figure 1: Annual rate of Medicare Australia services, Never group (women who never reported arthritis, 2001 to 2010) compared to OA group (women with onset of OA [osteoarthritis] in 2007). (GP=General Practitioner).**



*Specialist services*

There was also a significant difference in the trends for Specialist services by OA status, with changes similar to those observed for GP service use. Before onset of OA, the OA group had a significantly higher number of Specialist services per person than the Never group (0.238 [95%CI:0.225–0.252] compared to 0.195 [95%CI:0.182–0.208]); and a significantly higher rate of Specialist services per person per quarter than the Never group (0.006 [95%CI:0.005–0.007] compared to 0.001 [95%CI:0.000–0.002]). However, after onset of OA, neither number nor rate of Specialist services were significantly different between groups.

**Discussion**

This study explored the effect of incident self-reported doctor diagnosed OA on health services use in a group of ‘baby boomer’ Australian women, using health survey and linked longitudinal administrative health services data from an ongoing cohort study (ALSWH). There was a pre-existing higher level of use of health services by women who reported OA in the period prior to first reporting having a doctor diagnosis of OA, compared to those who never reported arthritis during the study period. After onset of OA, the rate of increase in quarterly doctor

**Table 2: Rate of Medicare Australia Broad Type of Service attendances, in Never group (women who never reported arthritis 2001 to 2010) and OA group (women who reported incident OA [osteoarthritis] in 2007), relative to onset of OA.**

Broad Type of Service	n	IR (Poisson 95% CI)	IRD (95% CI)			n	IR (Poisson 95% CI)	IRD (95% CI)		
			Never IR – OA IR before onset of OA	p				Never IR – OA IR after onset of OA	p	Before IR – after IR (within group)
<b>Never Group</b>										
Unreferred attendances – VR GP	5,5126	3.98 (3.95–4.10)	-1.72 (-1.81– -1.63)	<0.0001	43,167	3.90 (3.86–3.94)	-1.61 (-1.72– -1.50)	<0.0001	0.08 (0.03–0.13)	0.0016
Unreferred attendances – Other	2,631	0.19 (0.18–0.20)	-0.03 (-0.05– -0.009)	0.0044	1,540	0.14 (0.13–0.15)	-0.07 (-0.09– -0.05)	<0.0001	0.05 (0.04–0.06)	<0.0001
Specialist Doctor attendances	11,932	0.86 (0.85–0.98)	-0.38 (-0.42– -0.34)	<0.0001	10,118	0.91 (0.89–0.93)	-0.36 (-0.41– -0.31)	0.72	-0.05 (-0.07– -0.03)	<0.0001
Pathology tests	8,229	0.59 (0.58–0.60)	-0.24 (-0.28– -0.20)	0.711	12,820	1.16 (1.14–1.18)	-0.26 (-0.32– -0.20)	0.82	-0.57 (-0.59– -0.55)	0.51
Enhanced Primary Care Program	281	0.02 (0.02–0.02)	-0.03 (-0.04– -0.02)	0.40	945	0.09 (0.08–0.10)	-0.10 (-0.12– -0.08)	0.47	-0.07 (-0.08– -0.06)	0.22
Allied Health	61	0.01 (0.01–0.01)	-0.08 (-0.09– -0.07)	0.11	586	0.05 (0.05–0.05)	-0.10 (-0.11– -0.09)	0.33	-0.04 (-0.05– -0.03)	0.20
<b>OA Group</b>										
Unreferred attendances – VR GP	11,601	5.70 (5.60–5.81)	See above		8,975	5.51 (5.40–5.63)	See above		0.19 (0.04–0.35)	0.015
Unreferred attendances – Other	441	0.22 (0.20–0.24)			344	0.21 (0.19–0.23)			0.01 (-0.02–0.04)	0.52
Specialist Doctor attendances	2,526	1.24 (1.19–1.29)			2074	1.27 (1.22–1.33)			-0.03 (-0.01–0.04)	0.98
Pathology tests	1,682	0.83 (0.79–0.87)			2311	1.42 (1.36–1.48)			-0.59 (-0.66– -0.52)	0.59
Enhanced Primary Care Program	105	0.05 (0.04–0.06)			317	0.19 (0.17–0.21)			-0.14 (-0.16– -0.12)	0.26
Allied Health	76	0.09 (0.07–0.11)			247	0.15 (0.13–0.17)			-0.06 (-0.09– -0.03)	0.0001

CI=confidence interval; IR= incidence rate; IRD=incidence rate difference; n = number of services; VR GP=vocationally registered general practitioner.

attendances significantly decreased for those reporting OA. There was no corresponding change in rate of doctor attendances over this time period for those not reporting arthritis. Women reduced their rate of GP and Specialist visits and increased visits to Allied health services, consistent with current Australian treatment guidelines.<sup>8</sup> This difference occurred despite higher levels of comorbidity in the group with OA.

While government reports on the use of healthcare by people with arthritis from national and regional (aggregated) data are released regularly,<sup>10</sup> this study is exceptional in that it examines change in healthcare use over a substantial time period for people reporting incident arthritis, with individually linked administrative datasets, against an appropriate comparison group.

There are some limitations to this study that may affect interpretation of results. ALSWH surveys are administered every three years, so while “incident OA” is defined as a “first report” at the 2007 survey, OA may have been incident for some time between administration of surveys. We cannot track when this occurred precisely, thus this is a ‘gross’ measure of incidence. However, arthritis, like other chronic diseases, does develop on a continuum, so it is always difficult to define when it first occurred. Our definition of first report of OA is as valid and as robust as possible from a community-based survey. Defining arthritis by self-report is always problematic; however, our previous research has shown that women were much more likely to report joint symptoms (pain and stiffness) when they reported


having OA than when they did not report having OA (odds ratio for within-person association: 7.3 [95%CI:7.1–7.5]),<sup>25</sup> and we can argue that self-report OA is a measure of symptomatic OA. Furthermore, a recent systematic review of diagnostic accuracy showed that self-report has acceptable validity when used in population-based studies where rheumatologist examination is not feasible.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, this study does not base case definition of OA on self-report only, as we have excluded those with DMARD use, defined from the PBS and self-report, providing some confidence that women do have OA rather than rheumatoid or other inflammatory arthritis.

This study demonstrates that, after onset of OA, women reduce doctor visits while increasing allied health services, relative to the rates observed in those without a diagnosis. This change in the rate of type of health services utilised following an OA onset is consistent with clinical guidelines for OA treatment in Australia.<sup>8</sup> The increase in allied health services items is of particular interest, as these items are limited by both eligibility and in number (they are rebated only for community-based patients with a chronic or terminal medical condition where their GP has initiated a Chronic Disease Management plan [which can include OA as the chronic disease]; and rebate is available for an absolute maximum of five services each calendar year).<sup>27</sup> Thus, we could hypothesise that the decrease in doctor visits and increase in allied health service may have been greater without these restrictions. These findings suggest the importance of making a positive diagnosis of OA and have particular significance to GP practice. The overall higher use of services by women who eventually report OA could in part be explained by higher comorbidity compared to those who never report arthritis, but the differential change in rate of use of services happens despite this disparity. The comorbidity profile of women travelling along the continuum to diagnosis of OA could perhaps delay the eventual diagnosis, with OA-related symptoms misinterpreted, leading to frequent GP visits until the diagnosis of OA is made.

While this study provides much-needed information on the nature of health service change for people with OA, it has not been able to provide details about the context of medical attendances, as this is a limitation of the source data. Studies on the frequency of

**Figure 2: Interrupted Time Series regression models for Medicare General Practitioner (GP) services (step at 2007), Never group (women who never reported arthritis, 2001 to 2010) compared to OA group (women with onset of OA [osteoarthritis] in 2007). [CI=Confidence Interval; Coeff=coefficient; S5=survey 5; SE =standard error]**  
**General Practitioner (GP) services per person per quarter**

		Newey-West				
	Rate	Coeff.	SE	t	p> t	95% CI
<b>OA Group</b>	Intercept (initial No of GP services per person)	1.222	0.025	48.2	0	1.170-1.273
	Initial Rate of GP service use	0.013	0.002	7.1	0	0.009-0.016
	Immediate change in use of GP services Intercept at S5	-0.051	0.030	-1.7	0.096	-0.112-0.010
	Change in rate of GP use	-0.007	0.003	-2.3	0.028	-0.013-- -0.001
<b>NEVER Group</b>	Intercept (initial No of GP services per person)	0.945	0.0104	91.1	0	0.924-0.966
	Initial Rate of GP service use	0.0002	0.001	0.3	0.804	-0.002-0.002
	Immediate change in use of GP services Intercept at S5	0.051	0.022	2.3	0.028	0.006-0.096
	Change in rate of GP use	0.004	0.002	1.8	0.085	-0.0005-0.008



OA as the main reason for the consultation, and the decision process by which OA patients reach a particular treatment option, are needed to address the current paucity of research on how individuals with OA make informed decisions regarding their treatment options. Information on the way in which informed OA patients are likely to navigate through the health system may assist policymakers in planning for future costs related to this disease; costs that are expected to increase substantially as the population ages.

## Conclusion

Prior to reporting incident OA, there is a pre-existing need for health services by those who report OA, in comparison to those who never report arthritis. After incident reporting of OA, rate of increase in doctor use was reduced but allied health use increased, consistent with recommended treatment guidelines.<sup>8</sup> This study highlights the importance of making an early diagnosis of OA to optimise effective use of health services and potential for health benefits.

## References

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Impairments and Disability Associated with Arthritis and Osteoporosis*. Canberra (AUST): AIHW; 2007.
2. Centers for Disease Control. National and state medical expenditures and lost earnings attributable to arthritis and other rheumatic conditions - United States [Internet]. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2007 [cited 2014 Jun 30];56(01):4-7. Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5601a2.htm?s\\_cid=mm5601a2\\_e](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5601a2.htm?s_cid=mm5601a2_e)
3. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. *The Global Burden of Disease: Generating Evidence, Guiding Policy*. Seattle (WA): IHME; 2013.
4. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *How Much is Spent on Osteoarthritis?* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): AIHW; 2013 [cited 2014 Mar 27]. Available from: <http://www.aihw.gov.au/osteoarthritis/expenditure/>
5. United Nations. *World Population Ageing 2013*. Contract No.: ST/ESA/SER.A/348. Paris (FRA): UN; 2013.
6. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *3201.0. - Population by Age and Sex, Australian States and Territories* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2010 [cited 2014 Jun 30]. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/3201.0>
7. Giles L, Crotty M, Cameron I. Disability in older Australians: Projections for 2006-2031. *Med J Aust*. 2003;179:130-3.
8. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. *Guideline for the Non-surgical Management of Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis*. South Melbourne (AUST): RACGP; 2009.
9. National Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Conditions Advisory Group. *Evidence to Support the National Action Plan for Osteoarthritis, Rheumatoid Arthritis and Osteoporosis*. Canberra (AUST): Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing; 2004.
10. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *A Snapshot of Arthritis in Australia 2010*. Canberra (AUST): AIHW; 2010.
11. Kahn M, MacLean CH, Liu H, Rubenstein LZ, Wong AL, Harker JO, et al. The complexity of care for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Metrics for better understanding chronic disease care. *Med Care*. 2007;45:55-65.
12. Hagglund K, Clark MJ, Hilton SA, Hewett JE. Access to healthcare services among persons with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;84:702-11.
13. Girard F, Guillemin F, Novella J, Valckenaere I, Krzanowska K, Vitry F, et al. Health-care use by rheumatoid arthritis patients compared with non-arthritic subjects. *Rheumatology*. 2002;41:167-75.
14. Dominick KL, Ahern FM, Gold CH, Heller DA. Health-related quality of life and health service use among older adults with osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;51:326-31.
15. McDonald DD, Walsh S. Older adult osteoarthritis pain management: Results from the 2008 National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*. 2012;24:107-12.
16. Brown W, Bryson L, Byles JE, Dobson AJ, Lee C, Mishra G, et al. Women's Health Australia: Recruitment for a national longitudinal cohort study. *Women Health*. 1998;28:23-40.
17. Lee C, Dobson AJ, Brown WJ, Bryson L, Byles J, Warner-Smith P, et al. Cohort profile: The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2005;34:987-91.
18. Young A, Powers J, Wheway V. Working with longitudinal data: Attrition and retention, data quality, measures of change and other analytical issues. *Int J Mult Res Approaches*. 2007;2:175-87.
19. Australian Bureau of Statistics. *Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): ABS; 2011 [cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/seifa>
20. World Health Organisation. *Mean Body Mass Index (BMI)* [Internet]. Geneva (CHE): WHO; 2013 [cited 2013 Feb 28]. Available from: [http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk\\_factors/bmi\\_text/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/bmi_text/en/index.html)
21. Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. *SF-36 Physical and Mental Health Summary Scales: A Users Manual*. Boston (MA): The Health Institute New England Medical Centre; 1994.
22. Medicare Australia. *Appendix 2: Mapping of Medicare items to Broad Type of Service* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): Australian Government Department of Human Services; 2014 [cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: [http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/cgi-bin/broker.exe?\\_PROGRAM=sas.std\\_btos\\_map.sas&\\_SERVICE=default&\\_DEBUG=0&start\\_dt=0&END\\_DT=0](http://www.medicareaustralia.gov.au/cgi-bin/broker.exe?_PROGRAM=sas.std_btos_map.sas&_SERVICE=default&_DEBUG=0&start_dt=0&END_DT=0)
23. World Health Organization. *World Health Survey 2002 - Individual Questionnaire* [Internet]. Geneva (CHE): WHO; 2002 [cited 2014 Jun 30]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/healthinfo/survey/instruments/en/index.html>
24. Wagner A, Soumerai SB, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of interrupted time series studies in medication use research. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2002;72:299-309.
25. Peeters G, Parkinson L, Badley E, Jones M, Brown WJ, Dobson AJ, et al. Contemporaneous severity of symptoms and functioning reflected by variations in reporting doctor-diagnosed osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res*. 2013;65:945-53.
26. Peeters G, Alshurafa M, Schaap L, de Vet HCW. Diagnostic accuracy of self-reported arthritis in the general adult population is acceptable. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;68:452-9.
27. Department of Health. *Chronic Disease Management - Individual Allied Health Services under Medicare - Provider Information* [Internet]. Canberra (AUST): Australian Government Department of Health; 2014 [cited 2014 Sep 25]. Available from: [http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/health-medicare-health\\_pro-gp-pdf-allied-cnt.htm](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/health-medicare-health_pro-gp-pdf-allied-cnt.htm)